
*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

| Total <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |  |  |  |
| QN12: Percentage of students who carried a weapon (such as a gun, knife, or club, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22.4 | 20.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 13.8 | 15.5 | 12.0 | 15.8 | 15.5 | 14.0 | Decreased, 1993-2017 | Decreased, 1993-2011 <br> No change, 2011-2017 | No change |
| QN13: Percentage of students who carried a weapon on school property (such as a gun, knife, or club, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10.3 | 8.4 |  |  |  |  |  | 3.4 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 3.7 | Decreased, 1993-2017 | Decreased, 1993-2007 <br> No change, 2007-2017 | No change |
| QN15: Percentage of students who did not go to school because they felt unsafe at school or on their way to or from school (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.8 | 3.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5 | 5.7 | 3.5 | 7.7 | 5.5 | 8.8 | Increased, 1993-2017 | No change, 1993-2007 <br> Increased, 2007-2017 | Increased |
| QN16: Percentage of students who were threatened or injured with a weapon on school property (such as a gun, knife, or club, one or more times during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7.0 | 7.5 |  |  |  |  |  | 6.9 | 7.8 | 7.0 | 8.4 | 6.3 | 7.3 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

2017 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Illinois (Excluding Chicago) High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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Trend Analysis Report

| Total <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2015-2017 ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |  |  |  |
| QN40: Percentage of students who ever drank alcohol (at least one drink of alcohol, on at least 1 day during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 79.8 | 80.7 |  |  |  |  |  | 74.7 | 71.1 | 69.9 | 67.3 | 61.3 | 57.6 | Decreased, 1993-2017 | Decreased, 1993-2007 <br> Decreased, 2007-2017 | No change |
| QN41: Percentage of students who had their first drink of alcohol before age 13 years (other than a few sips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 31.6 | 35.8 |  |  |  |  |  | 23.2 | 20.2 | 16.8 | 18.0 | 15.4 | 16.6 | Decreased, 1993-2017 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN42: Percentage of students who currently drank alcohol (at least one drink of alcohol, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 49.0 | 49.7 |  |  |  |  |  | 45.0 | 40.1 | 37.6 | 36.4 | 30.7 | 28.2 | Decreased, 1993-2017 | No change, 1993-2007 <br> Decreased, 2007-2017 | No change |
| QN43: Percentage of students who usually got the alcohol they drank by someone giving it to them (during the 30 days before the survey, among students who currently drank alcohol) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41.2 | 32.4 | 37.1 | 32.8 | 41.5 | 41.3 | No linear change | Decreased, 2007-2013 <br> Increased, 2013-2017 | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| Total <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2015-2017 ${ }^{+}$ |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |  |  |  |
| QN46: Percentage of students who ever used marijuana (one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 28.2 | 41.7 |  |  |  |  |  | 37.8 | 38.4 | 37.6 | 39.0 | 35.0 | 33.3 | No linear change | No change, 1993-2007 <br> Decreased, 2007-2017 | No change |
| QN47: Percentage of students who tried marijuana for the first time before age 13 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6.0 | 6.6 |  |  |  |  |  | 8.2 | 8.3 | 6.4 | 8.2 | 6.5 | 6.4 | No linear change | No change, 1993-2007 <br> Decreased, 2007-2017 | No change |
| QN48: Percentage of students who currently used marijuana (one or more times during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14.5 | 26.1 |  |  |  |  |  | 20.4 | 20.7 | 22.7 | 23.5 | 17.6 | 20.6 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN49: Percentage of students who ever used cocaine (any form of cocaine, including powder, crack, or freebase, one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.9 | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 6.9 | 6.7 | 5.7 | 7.9 | 5.4 | 6.3 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| Total <br> Sexual Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | $\underset{\text { Change from }}{\text { 2015-2017 }}$ |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |  |  |  |
| QN59: Percentage of students who ever had sexual intercourse |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $53.1$ | $46.8$ |  |  |  |  |  | 49.1 | 47.5 | 43.6 | 43.4 | 37.7 | 38.3 | Decreased, 1993-2017 | No change, 1993-2007 Decreased, 2007-2017 | No change |
| QN60: Percentage of students who had sexual intercourse for the first time before age 13 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.0 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 4.7 | 3.0 | 3.6 | Decreased, 1993-2017 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN61: Percentage of students who had sexual intercourse with four or more persons during their life |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17.6 | 15.4 |  |  |  |  |  | 15.4 | 14.0 | 11.6 | 12.9 | 8.6 | 9.1 | Decreased, 1993-2017 | No change, 1993-2007 Decreased, 2007-2017 | No change |
| QN62: Percentage of students who were currently sexually active (had sexual intercourse with at least one person, during the 3 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 38.7 | 32.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 37.1 | 35.9 | 31.8 | 32.6 | 29.4 | 28.6 | Decreased, 1993-2017 | No change, 1993-2007 Decreased, 2007-2017 | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {s O O O }}$, 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

| Total <br> Physical Activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | $\underset{2015-2017^{\dagger}}{\text { Change from }}$ |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |  |  |  |
| QN79: Percentage of students who were physically active at least 60 minutes per day on 5 or more days (in any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50.9 | 52.4 | 51.6 | 51.6 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\S}$ | No change |
| QNPA0DAY: Percentage of students who did not participate in at least 60 minutes of physical activity on at least 1 day (in any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.6 | 11.3 | 13.0 | 15.4 | Increased, 2011-2017 | Not available | No change |
| QNPA7DAY: Percentage of students who were physically active at least 60 minutes per day on all 7 days (in any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24.0 | 26.3 | 28.0 | 24.5 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| QN80: Percentage of students who watched television 3 or more hours per day (on an average school day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32.9 | 33.9 | 27.6 | 27.7 | 20.5 | 17.1 | Decreased, 2007-2017 | Decreased, 2007-2013 <br> Decreased, 2013-2017 | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| Total <br> Other |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{*}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
'Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{*}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\top}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2017 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Illinois (Excluding Chicago) High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

| Male <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2015-2017 |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |  |  |  |
| QN26: Percentage of students who seriously considered attempting suicide (ever during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20.5 | 19.7 |  |  |  |  |  | 8.8 | 11.6 | 10.7 | 12.8 | 13.6 | 10.5 | Decreased, 1993-2017 | Decreased, 1993-2007 <br> No change, 2007-2017 | No change |
| QN27: Percentage of students who made a plan about how they would attempt suicide (during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14.5 | 14.6 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 12.2 | 12.6 | 9.3 | Decreased, 1993-2017 | Decreased, 1993-2007 Increased, 2007-2017 | No change |
| QN28: Percentage of students who attempted suicide (one or more times during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.1 | 7.4 | 4.8 | 10.7 | 9.8 | 6.9 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN29: Percentage of students who had a suicide attempt that resulted in an injury, poisoning, or overdose that had to be treated by a doctor or nurse (during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.1 | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 3.3 | Increased, 1993-2017 | No quadratic change | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| Male <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change from } \\ 2015-2017^{\dagger} \end{gathered}$ |
| QN40: Percentage of students who ever drank alcohol (at least one drink of alcohol, on at least 1 day during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 80.8 | 79.6 |  |  |  |  |  | 71.1 | 69.3 | 67.5 | 64.9 | 58.3 | 50.3 | Decreased, 1993-2017 | Decreased, 1993-2013 <br> Decreased, 2013-2017 | No change |
| QN41: Percentage of students who had their first drink of alcohol before age 13 years (other than a few sips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 40.4 | 42.7 |  |  |  |  |  | 25.1 | 24.1 | 17.5 | 19.6 | 18.5 | 17.2 | Decreased, 1993-2017 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN42: Percentage of students who currently drank alcohol (at least one drink of alcohol, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 51.3 | 50.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 41.9 | 41.0 | 36.7 | 33.5 | 30.0 | 24.8 | Decreased, 1993-2017 | Decreased, 1993-2009 <br> Decreased, 2009-2017 | No change |
| QN43: Percentage of students who usually got the alcohol they drank by someone giving it to them (during the 30 days before the survey, among students who currently drank alcohol) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36.9 | 23.7 | 29.7 | 26.2 | 36.9 | 30.5 | No linear change | No change, 2007-2011 <br> No change, 2011-2017 | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| Male <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2015-2017 ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |  |  |  |
| QN50: Percentage of students who ever used inhalants (sniffed glue, breathed the contents of aerosol spray cans, or inhaled any paints or sprays to get high, one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 23.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 12.2 | 11.8 | 9.3 | 12.7 | 7.0 | 8.3 | Decreased, 1995-2017 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN51: Percentage of students who ever used heroin (also called "smack," "junk," or "China White," one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 3.8 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN52: Percentage of students who ever used methamphetamines (also called "speed," "crystal," "crank," or "ice," one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.7 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 6.0 | 5.2 | 4.4 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN53: Percentage of students who ever used ecstasy (also called "MDMA," one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.0 | 9.2 | 7.9 | 10.7 | 7.6 | 5.3 | Decreased, 2007-2017 | No change, 2007-2013 <br> Decreased, 2013-2017 | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

2017 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Illinois (Excluding Chicago) High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

| Male <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors <br> Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {s O O O }}$, 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

| Male <br> Physical Activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2015-2017 ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |  |  |  |
| QN79: Percentage of students who were physically active at least 60 minutes per day on 5 or more days (in any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57.4 | 58.3 | 58.3 | 59.3 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\S}$ | No change |
| QNPA0DAY: Percentage of students who did not participate in at least 60 minutes of physical activity on at least 1 day (in any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.1 | 10.1 | 13.3 | 11.4 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| QNPA7DAY: Percentage of students who were physically active at least 60 minutes per day on all 7 days (in any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31.9 | 32.7 | 34.9 | 32.6 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| QN80: Percentage of students who watched television 3 or more hours per day (on an average school day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35.4 | 35.8 | 29.5 | 26.7 | 21.1 | 14.1 | Decreased, 2007-2017 | Decreased, 2007-2013 <br> Decreased, 2013-2017 | Decreased |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

2017 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
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Trend Analysis Report

| Male <br> Other | Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | $\underset{2015-2017^{\dagger}}{\text { Change from }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |  |  |  |
| QN86: Percentage of students who saw a dentist (for a check-up, exam, teeth cleaning, or other dental work, during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 77.4 | 69.8 | Decreased, 2015-2017 | Not available ${ }^{\text {}}$ | Decreased |
| QNNODNT: Percentage of students who never saw a dentist (for a check-up, exam, teeth cleaning, or other dental work) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | 2.0 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| QN87: Percentage of students who had ever been told by a doctor or nurse that they had asthma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18.6 | 22.8 | 20.9 | 23.2 | 21.0 | 19.8 | No linear change | Increased, 2007-2013 <br> Decreased, 2013-2017 | No change |
| QN88: Percentage of students who got 8 or more hours of sleep (on an average school night) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26.3 | 24.9 | No linear change | Not available | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\top}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2017 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Illinois (Excluding Chicago) High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\top}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

2017 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Female <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |  | Heal | Risk | chavio | and | reenta |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2015-2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |  |  |  |
| QN26: Percentage of students who seriously considered attempting suicide (ever during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 32.6 | 30.8 |  |  |  |  |  | 17.0 | 18.2 | 17.6 | 26.6 | 18.4 | 23.3 | Decreased, 1993-2017 | Decreased, 1993-2007 Increased, 2007-2017 | No change |
| QN27: Percentage of students who made a plan about how they would attempt suicide (during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24.4 | 21.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 10.8 | 13.5 | 16.1 | 22.8 | 15.6 | 19.7 | Decreased, 1993-2017 | Decreased, 1993-2007 Increased, 2007-2017 | No change |
| QN28: Percentage of students who attempted suicide (one or more times during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12.3 | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 8.4 | 8.5 | 8.4 | 15.0 | 9.0 | 11.6 | No linear change | Decreased, 1993-2007 <br> No change, 2007-2017 | No change |
| QN29: Percentage of students who had a suicide attempt that resulted in an injury, poisoning, or overdose that had to be treated by a doctor or nurse (during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.2 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 6.3 | 2.8 | 3.9 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| Female <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2015-2017 |
| QN46: Percentage of students who ever used marijuana (one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24.2 | 37.7 |  |  |  |  |  | 36.9 | 34.2 | 34.6 | 34.2 | 35.0 | 35.1 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN47: Percentage of students who tried marijuana for the first time before age 13 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.6 | 3.7 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.3 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 5.8 | 3.8 | 5.5 | No linear change | Increased, 1993-2007 <br> Decreased, 2007-2017 | No change |
| QN48: Percentage of students who currently used marijuana (one or more times during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11.4 | 22.6 |  |  |  |  |  | 19.0 | 18.9 | 18.0 | 20.2 | 18.9 | 23.2 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN49: Percentage of students who ever used cocaine (any form of cocaine, including powder, crack, or freebase, one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.1 | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 6.1 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 5.6 | 2.7 | 5.8 | No linear change | No quadratic change | Increased |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| Female <br> Sexual Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | $\underset{\text { Change from }}{\text { 2015-2017 }}$ |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |  |  |  |
| QN59: Percentage of students who ever had sexual intercourse |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $49.3$ | $45.8$ |  |  |  |  |  | 48.0 | 44.1 | 45.2 | 41.5 | 34.8 | 38.4 | Decreased, 1993-2017 | No change, 1993-2011 Decreased, 2011-2017 | No change |
| QN60: Percentage of students who had sexual intercourse for the first time before age 13 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.4 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 2.0 | Decreased, 1993-2017 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN61: Percentage of students who had sexual intercourse with four or more persons during their life |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13.8 | 11.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 13.2 | 11.4 | 10.4 | 12.1 | 7.1 | 7.0 | Decreased, 1993-2017 | No change, 1993-2013 Decreased, 2013-2017 | No change |
| QN62: Percentage of students who were currently sexually active (had sexual intercourse with at least one person, during the 3 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 37.9 | 33.4 |  |  |  |  |  | 39.6 | 35.9 | 35.3 | 32.8 | 28.5 | 30.1 | Decreased, 1993-2017 | No change, 1993-2007 Decreased, 2007-2017 | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {s O O O }}$ orweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

| Female <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors <br> Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

2017 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Illinois (Excluding Chicago) High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{*}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Female <br> Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | $\underset{2015-2017}{ }{ }^{\dagger}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |  |  |  |
| QN89: Percentage of students who described their grades in school as mostly A's or B's (during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 80.2 | 77.7 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {B Based on }} \mathrm{t}$-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

| Female <br> Site-Added |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
'Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{*}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| White* <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Change from 2015-2017 ${ }^{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |  |  |  |
| QN26: Percentage of students who seriously considered attempting suicide (ever during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 27.2 | 25.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 12.2 | 14.5 | 13.8 | 19.8 | 14.6 | 17.1 | Decreased, 1993-2017 | Decreased, 1993-2007 Increased, 2007-2017 | No change |
| QN27: Percentage of students who made a plan about how they would attempt suicide (during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 19.5 | 18.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 8.3 | 10.3 | 12.4 | 17.6 | 13.2 | 13.7 | Decreased, 1993-2017 | Decreased, 1993-2007 Increased, 2007-2017 | No change |
| QN28: Percentage of students who attempted suicide (one or more times during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9.1 | 8.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.3 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 11.0 | 7.0 | 8.3 | No linear change | Decreased, 1993-2007 Increased, 2007-2017 | No change |
| QN29: Percentage of students who had a suicide attempt that resulted in an injury, poisoning, or overdose that had to be treated by a doctor or nurse (during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.2 | 1.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 4.6 | 3.0 | 3.0 | Increased, 1993-2017 | Decreased, 1993-2007 <br> Increased, 2007-2017 | No change |
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| White* <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  | Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Change from 2015-2017 ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |  |  |  |
| QN46: Percentage of students who ever used marijuana (one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 27.3 | 41.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 35.8 | 36.8 | 35.3 | 36.4 | 29.4 | 30.2 | No linear change | No change, 1993-2007 <br> Decreased, 2007-2017 | No change |
| QN47: Percentage of students who tried marijuana for the first time before age 13 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5.7 | 5.6 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 | 6.4 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 4.9 | 4.6 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN48: Percentage of students who currently used marijuana (one or more times during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 25.5 |  |  |  |  |  | 21.2 | 19.9 | 22.2 | 22.6 | 15.4 | 18.2 | No linear change | No change, 1993-2011 <br> Decreased, 2011-2017 | No change |
| QN49: Percentage of students who ever used cocaine (any form of cocaine, including powder, crack, or freebase, one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.9 | 7.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.6 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 6.5 | 2.8 | 5.3 | No linear change | No change, 1993-2007 <br> Decreased, 2007-2017 | No change |

[^8]

[^9]

[^10]2017 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Illinois (Excluding Chicago) High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report


[^11]2017 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Illinois (Excluding Chicago) High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report


[^12]| White* <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors <br> Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

"Non-Hispanic.
Nonsed on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\text {8}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{1}$ Overweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.
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[^18]| White* <br> Physical Activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Change from 2015-2017 ${ }^{8}$ |
| QN79: Percentage of students who were physically active at least 60 minutes per day on 5 or more days (in any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 52.6 | 55.8 | 56.6 | 55.2 | No linear change | Not available ${ }^{\text {fl }}$ | No change |
| QNPAODAY: Percentage of students who did not participate in at least 60 minutes of physical activity on at least 1 day (in any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.4 | 8.8 | 10.2 | 13.7 | Increased, 2011-2017 | Not available | No change |
| QNPA7DAY: Percentage of students who were physically active at least 60 minutes per day on all 7 days (in any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time during the 7 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25.3 | 28.4 | 30.5 | 27.1 | No linear change | Not available | No change |
| QN80: Percentage of students who watched television 3 or more hours per day (on an average school day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26.4 | 29.0 | 19.5 | 26.5 | 14.9 | 15.3 | Decreased, 2007-2017 | No quadratic change | No change |
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[^28]
*Non-Hispanic.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Based on $t$-test analysis, $p<0.05$.
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[^33]
*Non-Hispanic.
${ }^{*}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
'Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| Black* <br> Sexual Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 | Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Change from 2015-2017 ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ |
| QN59: Percentage of students who ever had sexual intercourse |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 84.2 | 69.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 71.6 | 58.5 | 70.8 | 52.3 | 51.1 | 49.2 | Decreased, 1993-2017 | Decreased, 1993-2011 <br> Decreased, 2011-2017 | No change |
| QN60: Percentage of students who had sexual intercourse for the first time before age 13 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 28.8 | 26.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 21.3 | 15.2 | 16.9 | 9.8 | 8.3 | 9.2 | Decreased, 1993-2017 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN61: Percentage of students who had sexual intercourse with four or more persons during their life |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 37.2 | 39.6 |  |  |  |  |  | 34.8 | 20.1 | 27.3 | 24.4 | 9.2 | 16.3 | Decreased, 1993-2017 | No change, 1993-2007 <br> Decreased, 2007-2017 | No change |
| QN62: Percentage of students who were currently sexually active (had sexual intercourse with at least one person, during the 3 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 58.3 | 43.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 53.3 | 42.3 | 46.5 | 40.1 | 33.4 | 38.1 | Decreased, 1993-2017 | No change, 1993-2007 <br> Decreased, 2007-2017 | No change |
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[^35]| Black* <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors <br> Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

"Non-Hispanic.
Nonsed on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\text {8}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
IOverweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.

| Black* <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors <br> Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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| Black* <br> Other |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |
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[^45]

## "Non-Hispanic.

${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {T}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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[^46]
*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{~ B a s e d ~ o n ~ t-t e s t ~ a n a l y s i s, ~} \mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Hispanic <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2015-2017 ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |  |  |  |
| QN13: Percentage of students who carried a weapon on school property (such as a gun, knife, or club, on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.6 | 5.5 | 3.7 | 8.7 | 3.6 | 5.0 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN15: Percentage of students who did not go to school because they felt unsafe at school or on their way to or from school (on at least 1 day during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.2 | 8.7 | 5.1 | 13.2 | 9.3 | 10.9 | Increased, 2007-2017 | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN16: Percentage of students who were threatened or injured with a weapon on school property (such as a gun, knife, or club, one or more times during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.7 | 9.5 | 10.4 | 13.0 | 8.3 | 8.9 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN17: Percentage of students who were in a physical fight (one or more times during the 12 months before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 44.6 | 35.8 | 32.7 | 28.5 | 25.5 | 20.6 | Decreased, 2007-2017 | No quadratic change | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Hispanic <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2015-2017 |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |  |  |  |
| QN46: Percentage of students who ever used marijuana (one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46.1 | 41.2 | 41.3 | 49.1 | 48.8 | 40.1 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN47: Percentage of students who tried marijuana for the first time before age 13 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.8 | 14.6 | 7.9 | 14.6 | 9.9 | 8.9 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN48: Percentage of students who currently used marijuana (one or more times during the 30 days before the survey) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19.6 | 23.0 | 22.6 | 29.1 | 24.6 | 24.8 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN49: Percentage of students who ever used cocaine (any form of cocaine, including powder, crack, or freebase, one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.4 | 15.0 | 7.7 | 15.4 | 9.4 | 7.3 | No linear change | No change, 2007-2013 Decreased, 2013-2017 | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| Hispanic <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2015-2017 ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 | 2017 |  |  |  |
| QN50: Percentage of students who ever used inhalants (sniffed glue, breathed the contents of aerosol spray cans, or inhaled any paints or sprays to get high, one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.3 | 12.2 | 10.4 | 15.1 | 8.5 | 8.5 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN51: Percentage of students who ever used heroin (also called "smack," "junk," or "China White," one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.6 | 4.4 | 0.9 | 8.2 | 4.1 | 4.0 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN52: Percentage of students who ever used methamphetamines (also called "speed," "crystal," "crank," or "ice," one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.8 | 5.2 | 2.2 | 9.0 | 6.5 | 5.4 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |
| QN53: Percentage of students who ever used ecstasy (also called "MDMA," one or more times during their life) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.6 | 8.6 | 6.0 | 11.5 | 8.4 | 5.3 | No linear change | No quadratic change | No change |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

2017 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Illinois (Excluding Chicago) High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

| Hispanic <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors <br> Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {s O O O }}$, 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. In addition, beginning in 2017, new, slightly different ranges were used to calculate biologically implausible responses to height and weight questions.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

2017 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Illinois (Excluding Chicago) High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

| Hispanic <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors <br> Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2017 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Illinois (Excluding Chicago) High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

## Hispanic

Physical Activity

## Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

Linear Change*
Quadratic Change*
Change from
2015-2017

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

2017 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Illinois (Excluding Chicago) High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

| Hispanic <br> Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{*}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2017 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Illinois (Excluding Chicago) High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2017 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Illinois (Excluding Chicago) High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.


[^0]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hised on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\mathbb{T}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^1]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^2]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^3]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^4]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    §Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^5]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    sBased on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^6]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^7]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^8]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^9]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^10]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s Based on }} \mathrm{t}$-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^11]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^12]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^13]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^14]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
    sBased on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^15]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05.
    §Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^16]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^17]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^18]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^19]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hised on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^20]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^21]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^22]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^23]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^24]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^25]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^26]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^27]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hised on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^28]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    sBased on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^29]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    sBased on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^30]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hised on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^31]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    sBased on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .

[^32]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^33]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^34]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^35]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^36]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^37]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    §Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^38]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^39]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^40]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^41]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {in }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^42]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^43]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^44]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^45]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {8}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {in }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^46]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ 'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {in }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

