

Financial Profile Scores (Adjusted) - by County Name

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
ADAMS	Central CUSD 3	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	CUSD 4	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
	Liberty CUSD 2	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Payson CUSD 1	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
Quincy SD 172	2010	0.35	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.30	2.20	Watch	
	2009	0.35	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.30	2.20	Watch	
ALEXANDER	Cairo USD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review
	Egyptian CUSD 5	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
BOND	Bond County CUSD 2	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning
		2009	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.10	2.90	Early Warning
	Mulberry Grove CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
BOONE	Belvidere CUSD 100	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
	North Boone CUSD 200	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
BROWN	Brown County CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
BUREAU	Bureau Valley CUSD 340	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning
	Cherry SD 92	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Dalzell SD 98	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	DePue USD 103	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Hall HSD 502	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
	La Moille CUSD 303	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Ladd CCSD 94	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
BUREAU	Leepertown CCSD 175	2010	0.70	0.70	0.20	0.20	0.30	2.10	Watch
		2009	1.05	0.70	0.10	0.40	0.20	2.45	Watch
	Malden CCSD 84	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Neponset CCSD 307	2010	1.40	0.70	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Ohio CCSD 17	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Ohio CHSD 505	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Princeton ESD 115	2010	1.40	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
	Princeton HSD 500	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Spring Valley CCSD 99	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review
CALHOUN	Brussels CUSD 42	2010	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	2.75	Early Warning
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
	Calhoun CUSD 40	2010	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.10	2.35	Watch
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.10	2.35	Watch

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
CARROLL	Chadwick-Milledgeville CUSD 399	2010	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
	Eastland CUSD 308	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	West Carroll CUSD 314	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
CASS	A-C Central CUSD 262	2010	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning
	Beardstown CUSD 15	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
	Virginia CUSD 64	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
CHAMPAIGN	Champaign CUSD 4	2010	1.05	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.20	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.30	Review
	Fisher CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
	Gifford CCSD 188	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
	Heritage CUSD 8	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
CHAMPAIGN	Ludlow CCSD 142	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Mahomet-Seymour CUSD 3	2010	1.40	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
	Prairieview-Ogden CCSD 197	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
	Rantoul City SD 137	2010	1.05	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.20	Review
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	Rantoul Township HSD 193	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	St Joseph CCSD 169	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
	St Joseph Ogden CHSD 305	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review
	Thomasboro CCSD 130	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
	Tolono CUSD 7	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
	Urbana SD 116	2010	1.40	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.70	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
CHAMPAIGN	Urbana SD 116	2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
CHRISTIAN	Edinburg CUSD 4	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	Morrisonville CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
	Pana CUSD 8	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review
	South Fork SD 14	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review
		2009	0.35	1.05	0.20	0.20	0.20	2.00	Watch
	Taylorville CUSD 3	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
CLARK	Casey-Westfield CUSD 4C	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning
	Marshall CUSD 2C	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Martinsville CUSD 3C	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.80	Recognition
CLAY	Clay City CUSD 10	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review
	Flora CUSD 35	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
CLAY	Flora CUSD 35	2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	North Clay CUSD 25	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
CLINTON	Albers SD 63	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Aviston SD 21	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
	Bartelso SD 57	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Breese SD 12	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
	Carlyle CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
	Central CHSD 71	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Damiansville SD 62	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
	Germantown SD 60	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
North Wamac SD 186	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
CLINTON	North Wamac SD 186	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review
	St Rose SD 14-15	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Wesclin CUSD 3	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Willow Grove SD 46	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning
2009		1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
COLES	Charleston CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Mattoon CUSD 2	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.30	0.30	3.25	Review
		2009	0.35	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.30	2.20	Watch
	Oakland CUSD 5	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
COOK	Alsip-Hazlgrn-Oaklwn SD 126	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Arbor Park SD 145	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.10	0.10	3.05	Early Warning
	Argo CHSD 217	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Arlington Heights SD 25	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
COOK	Arlington Heights SD 25	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Atwood Heights SD 125	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
	Avoca SD 37	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	0.35	0.40	0.40	0.40	2.95	Early Warning
	Bellwood SD 88	2010	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Watch
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.20	2.45	Watch
	Berkeley SD 87	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review
	Berwyn North SD 98	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Berwyn South SD 100	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning
	Bloom Twp HSD 206	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.20	Review
	Bremen CHSD 228	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
	Brookfield Lagrange Park SD 95	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.00	Early Warning
	Brookwood SD 167	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
COOK	Brookwood SD 167	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Burbank SD 111	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Burnham SD 154-5	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
	Calumet City SD 155	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review
		2009	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.10	2.90	Early Warning
	Calumet Public SD 132	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
	CCSD 168	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	CCSD 62	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Central Stickney SD 110	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Chicago Heights SD 170	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Chicago Ridge SD 127-5	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
	CHSD 218	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
COOK	CHSD 218	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Cicero SD 99	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
	City of Chicago SD 299	2010	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	2.65	Early Warning
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.10	Review
	Comm Cons SD 59	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Cons HSD 230	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Cook County SD 130	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	0.35	0.20	0.40	0.30	2.65	Early Warning
	Country Club Hills SD 160	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review
	Dolton SD 148	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review
		2009	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.10	2.90	Early Warning
	Dolton SD 149	2010	1.40	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
	East Maine SD 63	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	East Prairie SD 73	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
COOK	East Prairie SD 73	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Elmwood Park CUSD 401	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
		2009	0.70	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.20	Review
	ESD 159	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review
		2009	0.70	1.40	0.10	0.40	0.10	2.70	Early Warning
	Evanston CCSD 65	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
	Evanston Twp HSD 202	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	Evergreen Park CHSD 231	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Evergreen Park ESD 124	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Fairview SD 72	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Flossmoor SD 161	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Ford Heights SD 169	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review
	Forest Park SD 91	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
COOK	Forest Park SD 91	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Forest Ridge SD 142	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
	Franklin Park SD 84	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Gen George Patton SD 133	2010	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.10	2.90	Early Warning
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review
	Glencoe SD 35	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Glenview CCSD 34	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Golf ESD 67	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	Harvey SD 152	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Hazel Crest SD 152-5	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
	Hillside SD 93	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Homewood Flossmoor CHSD 233	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
COOK	Homewood Flossmoor CHSD 233	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Homewood SD 153	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
	Hoover-Schrum Memorial SD 157	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
	Indian Springs SD 109	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	J S Morton HSD 201	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
	Kenilworth SD 38	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Kirby SD 140	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Komarek SD 94	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
	La Grange SD 102	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
	La Grange SD 105 South	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
COOK	LaGrange Highlands SD 106	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Lansing SD 158	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Lemont Twp HSD 210	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review
	Lemont-Bromberek CSD 113A	2010	0.35	1.05	0.20	0.20	0.40	2.20	Watch
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.40	2.65	Early Warning
	Leyden CHSD 212	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Lincoln ESD 156	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
	Lincolnwood SD 74	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
	Lindop SD 92	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
	Lyons SD 103	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
	Lyons Twp HSD 204	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
COOK	Maine Township HSD 207	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Mannheim SD 83	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Matteson ESD 162	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning
	Maywood-Melrose Park-Broadview 89	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.30	0.30	2.90	Early Warning
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning
	Midlothian SD 143	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Morton Grove SD 70	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Mount Prospect SD 57	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	New Trier Twp HSD 203	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Niles ESD 71	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Niles Twp CHSD 219	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
COOK	Norridge SD 80	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	North Palos SD 117	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
	Northbrook ESD 27	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Northbrook SD 28	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Northbrook/Glenview SD 30	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Northfield Twp HSD 225	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Oak Lawn CHSD 229	2010	1.40	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	0.35	0.30	0.40	0.40	2.85	Early Warning
	Oak Lawn-Hometown SD 123	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review
	Oak Park - River Forest SD 200	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Oak Park ESD 97	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
COOK	Oak Park ESD 97	2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
	Orland SD 135	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Palatine CCSD 15	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	Palos CCSD 118	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Palos Heights SD 128	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Park Forest SD 163	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
	Park Ridge CCSD 64	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Pennoyer SD 79	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Pleasantdale SD 107	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Posen-Robbins ESD 143-5	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review
	Prairie-Hills ESD 144	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
COOK	Prairie-Hills ESD 144	2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Prospect Heights SD 23	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.20	Review
	Proviso Twp HSD 209	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
	Reavis Twp HSD 220	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Rhodes SD 84-5	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
	Rich Twp HSD 227	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
	Ridgeland SD 122	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
	Ridgewood CHSD 234	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
	River Forest SD 90	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	River Grove SD 85-5	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
	River Trails SD 26	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
COOK	River Trails SD 26	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Riverside SD 96	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Riverside-Brookfield Twp SD 208	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review
	Rosemont ESD 78	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	Sandridge SD 172	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
		2009	1.05	0.70	0.20	0.40	0.30	2.65	Early Warning
	Schaumburg CCSD 54	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Schiller Park SD 81	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
	Skokie SD 68	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Skokie SD 69	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.05	0.35	0.20	0.40	0.30	2.30	Watch
	Skokie SD 73-5	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
	South Holland SD 150	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
COOK	South Holland SD 150	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
	South Holland SD 151	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Steger SD 194	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
	Summit SD 104	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review
	Sunnybrook SD 171	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review
	Sunset Ridge SD 29	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Thornton Fractional Twp HSD 215	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
	Thornton SD 154	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Thornton Twp HSD 205	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
	Tinley Park CCSD 146	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
	Township HSD 211	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
COOK	Township HSD 211	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Township HSD 214	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Union Ridge SD 86	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	W Harvey-Dixmoor PSD 147	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
	West Northfield SD 31	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Westchester SD 92-5	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Western Springs SD 101	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
	Wheeling CCSD 21	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
	Willow Springs SD 108	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
	Wilmette SD 39	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	Winnetka SD 36	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
COOK	Winnetka SD 36	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
	Worth SD 127	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
CRAWFORD		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
	Hutsonville CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
	Oblong CUSD 4	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
	Palestine CUSD 3	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
	Robinson CUSD 2	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
CUMBERLAND		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
	Cumberland CUSD 77	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
	Neoga CUSD 3	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review
DEKALB		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	DeKalb CUSD 428	2010	1.05	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
	Genoa Kingston CUSD 424	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Hiawatha CUSD 426	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
DEKALB	Hiawatha CUSD 426	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Hinckley Big Rock CUSD 429	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
	Indian Creek CUSD 425	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Sandwich CUSD 430	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
	Somonauk CUSD 432	2010	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.30	2.55	Watch
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning
	Sycamore CUSD 427	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review
DEWITT	Blue Ridge CUSD 18	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Clinton CUSD 15	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
DOUGLAS	Arcola CUSD 306	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Arthur CUSD 305	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Tuscola CUSD 301	2010	0.70	0.35	0.20	0.40	0.20	1.85	Watch

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
DOUGLAS	Tuscola CUSD 301	2009	1.40	0.70	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning
	Villa Grove CUSD 302	2010	1.40	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
DUPAGE	Addison SD 4	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
	Benjamin SD 25	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Bensenville SD 2	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Bloomington SD 13	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Butler SD 53	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Cass SD 63	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	CCSD 180	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	CCSD 89	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	CCSD 93	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
DUPAGE	CCSD 93	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Center Cass SD 66	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	CHSD 94	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	CHSD 99	2010	0.70	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.30	Review
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	2.95	Early Warning
	CUSD 200	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
	CUSD 201	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Darien SD 61	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Downers Grove GSD 58	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	DuPage HSD 88	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
	Elmhurst SD 205	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
	Fenton CHSD 100	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
DUPAGE	Fenton CHSD 100	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Glen Ellyn SD 41	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Glenbard Twp HSD 87	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Gower SD 62	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Hinsdale CCSD 181	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
	Hinsdale Twp HSD 86	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Indian Prairie CUSD 204	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
	Itasca SD 10	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Keeneyville SD 20	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Lake Park CHSD 108	2010	0.70	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.20	Review
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	2.85	Early Warning
	Lisle CUSD 202	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
DUPAGE	Lisle CUSD 202	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Lombard SD 44	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Maercker SD 60	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Marquardt SD 15	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Medinah SD 11	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Naperville CUSD 203	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Queen Bee SD 16	2010	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.30	0.30	3.35	Review
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.30	0.30	0.30	2.65	Early Warning
	Roselle SD 12	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Salt Creek SD 48	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.30	0.40	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.30	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	SD 45 DuPage County	2010	1.05	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.20	Review
	West Chicago ESD 33	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
DUPAGE	West Chicago ESD 33	2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	Winfield SD 34	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
	Wood Dale SD 7	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Woodridge SD 68	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
2009		1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
EDGAR	Edgar County CUD 6	2010	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	2.65	Early Warning
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning
	Kansas CUSD 3	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Paris CUSD 4	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	Paris-Union SD 95	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
	Shiloh CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
EDWARDS	Edwards County CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
EFFINGHAM	Altamont CUSD 10	2010	1.40	0.70	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
EFFINGHAM	Altamont CUSD 10	2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Beecher City CUSD 20	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Dieterich CUSD 30	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Effingham CUSD 40	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	Teutopolis CUSD 50	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review
FAYETTE	Brownstown CUSD 201	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
	Ramsey CUSD 204	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	St Elmo CUSD 202	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Vandalia CUSD 203	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
	FORD	Gibson City-Melvin-Sibley CUSD 5	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55
2009			1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
Paxton-Buckley-Loda CUD 10		2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
FORD	Paxton-Buckley-Loda CUD 10	2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
FRANKLIN	Akin CCSD 91	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	Benton CCSD 47	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Benton Cons HSD 103	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
	Christopher USD 99	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Ewing Northern CCSD 115	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Frankfort CUSD 168	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
	Sesser-Valier CUSD 196	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Thompsonville CUSD 174	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
Zeigler-Royalton CUSD 188	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
FULTON	Astoria CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Avon CUSD 176	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Canton Union SD 66	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	CUSD 3 Fulton County	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
	Lewistown CUSD 97	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
	Spoon River Valley CUSD 4	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
	V I T CUSD 2	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
GALLATIN	Gallatin CUSD 7	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
GREENE	Carrollton CUSD 1	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.20	0.30	2.45	Watch
	Greenfield CUSD 10	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
GREENE	North Greene CUSD 3	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
GRUNDY	Braceville SD 75	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Coal City CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Gardner CCSD 72C	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Gardner S Wilmington Twp HSD 73	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Mazon-Verona-Kinsman ESD 2C	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Minooka CCSD 201	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
	Minooka CHSD 111	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
	Morris CHSD 101	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
		2009	0.70	0.35	0.10	0.40	0.40	1.95	Watch
	Morris SD 54	2010	1.40	0.35	0.30	0.40	0.10	2.55	Watch
		2009	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.10	2.90	Early Warning

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
GRUNDY	Nettle Creek CCSD 24C	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Saratoga CCSD 60C	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
	South Wilmington CCSD 74	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
HAMILTON	Hamilton Co CUSD 10	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning
HANCOCK	Carthage ESD 317	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Dallas ESD 327	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
	Hamilton CCSD 328	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
	Illini West H S Dist 307	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	La Harpe CSD 347	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Nauvoo-Colusa CUSD 325	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
HANCOCK	Southeastern CUSD 337	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Warsaw CUSD 316	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
HARDIN	Hardin County CUSD 1	2010	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.30	2.55	Watch
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.30	2.55	Watch
HENDERSO N	West Central CUSD 235	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
HENRY	AIWood CUSD 225	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
	Annawan CUSD 226	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Cambridge CUSD 227	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Colona SD 190	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Galva CUSD 224	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Geneseo CUSD 228	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
HENRY	Kewanee CUSD 229	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Orion CUSD 223	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
	Wethersfield CUSD 230	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
IROQUOIS	Central CUSD 4	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
	Cissna Park CUSD 6	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	Crescent Iroquois CUSD 249	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Donovan CUSD 3	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Iroquois County CUSD 9	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Iroquois West CUSD 10	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Milford CCSD 280	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
IROQUOIS	Milford Twp HSD 233	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
JACKSON	Carbondale CHSD 165	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
	Carbondale ESD 95	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning
	DeSoto Cons SD 86	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning
	Elverado CUSD 196	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review
	Giant City CCSD 130	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
	Murphysboro CUSD 186	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
Trico CUSD 176	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
	2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
Unity Point CCSD 140	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review	
	2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
JASPER	Jasper County CUD 1	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
JEFFERSON	Bethel SD 82	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
	Bluford CCSD 114	2010	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Watch
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
	Dodds CCSD 7	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
	Farrington CCSD 99	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Field CCSD 3	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
	Grand Prairie CCSD 6	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Ina CCSD 8	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
	McClellan CCSD 12	2010	0.70	0.70	0.20	0.40	0.40	2.40	Watch
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
	Mount Vernon SD 80	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
	Mt Vernon Twp HSD 201	2010	0.70	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
		2009	0.70	1.40	0.20	0.30	0.40	3.00	Early Warning

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
JEFFERSON	Opdyke-Belle-Rive CCSD 5	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Rome CCSD 2	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Summersville SD 79	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Waltonville CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Watch
	Webber Twp HSD 204	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Watch
	Woodlawn CCSD 4	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
	Woodlawn CHSD 205	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
JERSEY	Jersey CUSD 100	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning
JODAVIESS	East Dubuque USD 119	2010	0.70	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
		2009	0.70	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
	Galena USD 120	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
JODAVIESS	River Ridge CUSD 210	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Scales Mound CUSD 211	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Stockton CUSD 206	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	Warren CUSD 205	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
JOHNSON	Buncombe Cons SD 43	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Cypress SD 64	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Goreville CUD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
	New Simpson Hill SD 32	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
	Vienna HSD 133	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	2.75	Early Warning
	Vienna SD 55	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
KANE	Aurora East USD 131	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
	Aurora West USD 129	2010	1.05	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	2.90	Early Warning
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review
	Batavia USD 101	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
	Central CUSD 301	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
	CUSD 300	2010	1.40	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.60	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
	Geneva CUSD 304	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
	Kaneland CUSD 302	2010	1.05	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	2.90	Early Warning
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
	SD U-46	2010	0.70	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	2.65	Early Warning
St Charles CUSD 303	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
KANKAKEE	Bourbonnais SD 53	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
KANKAKEE	Bradley Bourbonnais CHSD 307	2010	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.10	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	Bradley SD 61	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Grant Park CUSD 6	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
	Herscher CUSD 2	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
	Kankakee SD 111	2010	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
	Manteno CUSD 5	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
	Momence CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
	Pembroke CCSD 259	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	St Anne CCSD 256	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	St Anne CHSD 302	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
KANKAKEE	St George CCSD 258	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.10	2.35	Watch
KENDALL	Lisbon CCSD 90	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Newark CCSD 66	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Newark CHSD 18	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Oswego CUSD 308	2010	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.10	0.40	0.10	3.05	Early Warning
	Plano CUSD 88	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review
Yorkville CUSD 115	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
	2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
KNOX	Abingdon CUSD 217	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
	Galesburg CUSD 205	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Knoxville CUSD 202	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
KNOX	R O W V A CUSD 208	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Williamsville CUSD 210	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
LA SALLE	Allen-Otter Creek CCSD 65	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	Deer Park CCSD 82	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
	Dimmick CCSD 175	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Earlville CUSD 9	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
	Grand Ridge CCSD 95	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	La Salle ESD 122	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	La Salle-Peru Twp HSD 120	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Leland CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Lostant CUSD 425	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Marseilles ESD 150	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Mendota CCSD 289	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
	Mendota Twp HSD 280	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
	Miller Twp CCSD 210	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	Oglesby ESD 125	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
Ottawa ESD 141	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
Ottawa Twp HSD 140	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
LA SALLE	Peru ESD 124	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review
	Rutland CCSD 230	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Seneca CCSD 170	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Seneca Twp HSD 160	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Serena CUSD 2	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Streator ESD 44	2010	0.70	0.70	0.20	0.40	0.40	2.40	Watch
	Streator Twp HSD 40	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
	Tonica CCSD 79	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Wallace CCSD 195	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
	Waltham CCSD 185	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
LAKE	Adlai E Stevenson HSD 125	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Antioch CCSD 34	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Aptakisic-Tripp CCSD 102	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Bannockburn SD 106	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Barrington CUSD 220	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
LAKE	Beach Park CCSD 3	2010	0.70	1.40	0.10	0.40	0.30	2.90	Early Warning
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.20	2.45	Watch
	Big Hollow SD 38	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review
	CHSD 117	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
	CHSD 128	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Deerfield SD 109	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Diamond Lake SD 76	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	Emmons SD 33	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
	Fox Lake GSD 114	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
	Fremont SD 79	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Gavin SD 37	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
LAKE	Grant CHSD 124	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
	Grass Lake SD 36	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Grayslake CCSD 46	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review
	Grayslake CHSD 127	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
	Gurnee SD 56	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Hawthorn CCSD 73	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
	Kildeer Countryside CCSD 96	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Lake Bluff ESD 65	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Lake Forest CHSD 115	2010	0.70	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	2.75	Early Warning
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	2.75	Early Warning
	Lake Forest SD 67	2010	1.05	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
LAKE	Lake Villa CCSD 41	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
	Lake Zurich CUSD 95	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
	Libertyville SD 70	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Lincolnshire-Prairieview SD 103	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Millburn CCSD 24	2010	0.35	1.40	0.20	0.30	0.10	2.35	Watch
		2009	0.35	0.70	0.20	0.20	0.10	1.55	Watch
	Mundelein Cons HSD 120	2010	1.05	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	0.70	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.20	Review
	Mundelein ESD 75	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	North Chicago SD 187	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.10	0.40	3.70	Recognition
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.10	0.30	2.35	Watch
	North Shore SD 112	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Oak Grove SD 68	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
LAKE	Rondout SD 72	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Round Lake CUSD 116	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
	Twp HSD 113	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Warren Twp HSD 121	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
	Wauconda CUSD 118	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.55	Watch
	Waukegan CUSD 60	2010	0.70	1.40	0.10	0.40	0.30	2.90	Early Warning
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.30	2.55	Watch
	Winthrop Harbor SD 1	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
	Woodland CCSD 50	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
	Zion ESD 6	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
	Zion-Benton Twp HSD 126	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
LASALLE	Allen-Otter Creek CCSD 65	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Deer Park CCSD 82	2009	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.20	Review
	Dimmick CCSD 175	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
	Earlville CUSD 9	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review
	Grand Ridge CCSD 95	2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	La Salle ESD 122	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	La Salle-Peru Twp HSD 120	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Leland CUSD 1	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Lostant CUSD 425	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Marseilles ESD 150	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Mendota CCSD 289	2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
	Mendota Twp HSD 280	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
	Miller Twp CCSD 210	2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Oglesby ESD 125	2009	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.10	Review
	Ottawa ESD 141	2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
	Ottawa Twp HSD 140	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Peru ESD 124	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
	Rutland CCSD 230	2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Seneca CCSD 170	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Seneca Twp HSD 160	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
LASALLE	Serena CUSD 2	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Streator ESD 44	2009	1.40	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
	Streator Twp HSD 40	2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review
	Tonica CCSD 79	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Wallace CCSD 195	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
	Waltham CCSD 185	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
LAWRENCE	Lawrence County CUD 20	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
	Red Hill CUSD 10	2010	1.05	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.00	Early Warning
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
LEE	Amboy CUSD 272	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Ashton-Franklin Center CUSD 275	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Dixon USD 170	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Nelson Public SD No 8	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Paw Paw CUSD 271	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
LEE	Steward ESD 220	2010	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Watch
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
LIVINGSTON	Cornell CCSD 426	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Dwight Common SD 232	2010	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.40	2.65	Early Warning
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
	Dwight Twp HSD 230	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Flanagan-Cornell Dist 74	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
	Odell CCSD 435	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Pontiac CCSD 429	2010	0.70	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.40	2.65	Early Warning
	Pontiac Twp HSD 90	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Prairie Central CUSD 8	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
Rooks Creek CCSD 425	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
LIVINGSTON	Saunemin CCSD 438	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Tri Point CUSD 6-J	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
	Woodland CUSD 5	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
LOGAN	Chester-East Lincoln CCSD 61	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Hartsburg Emden CUSD 21	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Lincoln CHSD 404	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.20	Review
	Lincoln ESD 27	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
	Mt Pulaski CUSD 23	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
	New Holland-Middletown Ed 88	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	West Lincoln-Broadwell ESD 92	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
LOGAN	West Lincoln-Broadwell ESD 92	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
MACON	Argenta-Oreana CUSD 1	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning
	Decatur SD 61	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
	Maroa Forsyth CUSD 2	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review
	Meridian CUSD 15	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Mt Zion CUSD 3	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
	Sangamon Valley CUSD 9	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
	Warrensburg-Latham CUSD 11	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review
MACOUPIN	Bunker Hill CUSD 8	2010	0.70	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.40	2.65	Early Warning
	Carlinsville CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
MACOUPIN	Gillespie CUSD 7	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
	Girard CUSD 3	2010	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.20	2.45	Watch
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.55	Watch
	Mount Olive CUSD 5	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
	Northwestern CUSD 2	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Southwestern CUSD 9	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review
	Staunton CUSD 6	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Virden CUSD 4	2010	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
MADISON	Alton CUSD 11	2010	0.70	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review
	Bethalto CUSD 8	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
	Collinsville CUSD 10	2010	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
MADISON	East Alton SD 13	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning
	East Alton-Wood River CHSD 14	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
	Edwardsville CUSD 7	2010	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.45	Watch
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.45	Watch
	Granite City CUSD 9	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
	Highland CUSD 5	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review
		2009	0.70	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning
	Madison CUSD 12	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
	Roxana CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
	Triad CUSD 2	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning
	Venice CUSD 3	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Wood River-Hartford ESD 15	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
MARION	Central City SD 133	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review
	Centralia HSD 200	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review
	Centralia SD 135	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
	Iuka CCSD 7	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review
	Kell Cons SD 2	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning
	Odin CHSD 700	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	Odin SD 122	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	0.35	0.30	0.40	0.40	2.85	Early Warning
	Patoka CUSD 100	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Raccoon Cons SD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Salem CHSD 600	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
MARION	Salem SD 111	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
	Sandoval CUSD 501	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning
	Selmaville CCSD 10	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
	South Central CUD 401	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
MARSHALL	Henry-Senachwine CUSD 5	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Midland CUSD 7	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
MASON	Havana CUSD 126	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Illini Central CUSD 189	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
	Midwest Central CUSD 191	2010	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
MASSAC	Joppa-Maple Grove UD 38	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
MASSAC	Joppa-Maple Grove UD 38	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Massac UD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
MCDONOUGH	Bushnell Prairie City CUSD 170	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Macomb CUSD 185	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	West Prairie CUSD 103	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	MCHENRY	Alden Hebron SD 19	2010	0.70	1.40	0.30	0.30	0.40	3.10
2009			0.70	0.70	0.30	0.30	0.40	2.40	Watch
Cary CCSD 26		2010	0.35	1.05	0.20	0.20	0.30	2.10	Watch
		2009	0.35	0.70	0.20	0.30	0.30	1.85	Watch
CHSD 155		2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
Cons SD 158		2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
Crystal Lake CCSD 47		2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
MCHENRY	Fox River Grove Cons SD 3	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Harrison SD 36	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Harvard CUSD 50	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review
	Johnsburg CUSD 12	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
	Marengo CHSD 154	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Marengo-Union E Cons D 165	2010	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.30	0.40	3.45	Review
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.30	0.30	0.40	2.75	Early Warning
	McHenry CCSD 15	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	McHenry CHSD 156	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
	Nippersink SD 2	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Prairie Grove CSD 46	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
MCHENRY	Richmond-Burton CHSD 157	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Riley CCSD 18	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Woodstock CUSD 200	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review
MCLEAN	Bloomington SD 87	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Heyworth CUSD 4	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
	LeRoy CUSD 2	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
	Lexington CUSD 7	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
	McLean County USD 5	2010	1.05	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.00	Early Warning
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review
	Olympia CUSD 16	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
	Ridgeview CUSD 19	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
MCLEAN	Tri Valley CUSD 3	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
MENARD	Athens CUSD 213	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
	Greenville CUSD 200	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Porta CUSD 202	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
MERCER	Aledo CUSD 201	2009	1.05	0.70	0.20	0.40	0.40	2.75	Early Warning
	Mercer County School District 404	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
	Westmer CUSD 203	2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
MONROE	Columbia CUSD 4	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
	Valmeyer CUSD 3	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	Waterloo CUSD 5	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review
MONTGOME RY	Hillsboro CUSD 3	2010	1.05	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.10	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning
	Litchfield CUSD 12	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
MONTGOME PV	Litchfield CUSD 12	2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
	Nokomis CUSD 22	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning
	Panhandle CUSD 2	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
MORGAN	Franklin CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Jacksonville SD 117	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Meredosia- Chambersburg CUSD 11	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Triopia CUSD 27	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	Waverly CUSD 6	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
MOULTRIE	Lovington CUSD 303	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Okaw Valley CUSD 302	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Sullivan CUSD 300	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
MOULTRIE	Sullivan CUSD 300	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
OGLE	Byron CUSD 226	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Creston CCSD 161	2010	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	0.35	0.70	0.10	0.40	0.40	1.95	Watch
	Eswood CCSD 269	2010	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Watch
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Forrestville Valley CUSD 221	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
	Kings Cons SD 144	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Meridian CUSD 223	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
	Oregon CUSD 220	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Polo CUSD 222	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Rochelle CCSD 231	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
	Rochelle Twp HSD 212	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
OGLE	Rochelle Twp HSD 212	2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
PEORIA	Bartonville SD 66	2010	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.10	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
	Brimfield CUSD 309	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Dunlap CUSD 323	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Elmwood CUSD 322	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
	Farmington Central CUSD 265	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
	Hollis Cons SD 328	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Il Valley Central USD 321	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Illini Bluffs CUSD 327	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
	Limestone CHSD 310	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Limestone Walters CCSD 316	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
PEORIA	Limestone Walters CCSD 316	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Monroe SD 70	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Norwood ESD 63	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Oak Grove SD 68	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	Peoria Heights CUSD 325	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
	Peoria SD 150	2010	1.40	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.10	0.20	3.05	Early Warning
	Pleasant Hill SD 69	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Pleasant Valley SD 62	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Princeville CUSD 326	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
2009		1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
PERRY	CCSD 204	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
	Duquoin CUSD 300	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
PERRY	Duquoin CUSD 300	2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review
	Pinckneyville CHSD 101	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Pinckneyville SD 50	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Tamaroa School Dist 5	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
PIATT	Atwood Hammond CUSD 39	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review
	Bement CUSD 5	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning
		2009	0.35	0.70	0.10	0.40	0.40	1.95	Watch
	Cerro Gordo CUSD 100	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning
	Deland-Weldon CUSD 57	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
	Monticello CUSD 25	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
PIKE	Griggsville-Perry CUSD 4	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
	Pikeland CUSD 10	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
PIKE	Pikeland CUSD 10	2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
	Pleasant Hill CUSD 3	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning
	Western CUSD 12	2010	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.40	2.65	Early Warning
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
POPE	Pope Co CUD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
PULASKI	Century CUSD 100	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review
	Meridian CUSD 101	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning
PUTNAM	Putnam County CUSD 535	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	0.70	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.30	Review
RANDOLPH	Chester CUSD 139	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
	Chester N HSD 122	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Coulterville USD 1	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
	Prairie Du Rocher CCSD 134	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
RANDOLPH	Prairie Du Rocher CCSD 134	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Red Bud CUSD 132	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Sparta CUSD 140	2010	0.70	1.40	0.10	0.40	0.30	2.90	Early Warning
		2009	0.70	1.40	0.10	0.40	0.30	2.90	Early Warning
	Steeleville CUSD 138	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
RICHLAND	East Richland CUSD 1	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning
	West Richland CUSD 2	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
ROCK ISLAND	Carbon Cliff-Barstow SD 36	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
	East Moline SD 37	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
	Hampton SD 29	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Moline USD 40	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Riverdale CUSD 100	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
ROCK ISLAND	Riverdale CUSD 100	2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
	Rock Island SD 41	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
	Rockridge CUSD 300	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	Sherrard CUSD 200	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
	Silvis SD 34	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	United Twp HSD 30	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	SAINT CLAIR	Belle Valley SD 119	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
Belleville SD 118		2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review
Belleville Twp HSD 201		2010	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.20	2.45	Watch
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning
Brooklyn UD 188		2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
Cahokia CUSD 187		2010	0.35	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.30	2.20	Watch

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	
SAINT CLAI P	Cahokia CUSD 187	2009	0.35	1.40	0.10	0.40	0.20	2.45	Watch	
	Central SD 104	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
			2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
	Dupo CUSD 196	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning	
			2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning
	East St Louis SD 189	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning	
			2009	1.40	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review
	Freeburg CCSD 70	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
			2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Freeburg CHSD 77	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
			2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Grant CCSD 110	2010	1.40	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	
			2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning
	Harmony Emge SD 175	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review	
			2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
	High Mount SD 116	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning	
			2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning
	Lebanon CUSD 9	2010	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.30	2.55	Watch	
			2009	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.30	2.55	Watch
	Marissa CUSD 40	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
SAINT CLAI P	Marissa CUSD 40	2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
	Mascoutah CUD 19	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
	Millstadt CCSD 160	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
	New Athens CUSD 60	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	O Fallon CCSD 90	2010	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.10	2.35	Watch
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning
	O Fallon Twp HSD 203	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning
	Pontiac-W Holliday SD 105	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Shiloh Village SD 85	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
	Signal Hill SD 181	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
	Smithton CCSD 130	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	St Libory Cons SD 30	2010	1.05	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.40	2.85	Early Warning

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
SAINT CLAI P	St Libory Cons SD 30	2009	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.20	Review
	Whiteside SD 115	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
	Wolf Branch SD 113	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review
SALINE	Carrier Mills-Stonefort CUSD 2	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Eldorado CUSD 4	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.55	Watch
	Galatia CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	Harrisburg CUSD 3	2010	0.70	1.40	0.20	0.30	0.30	2.90	Early Warning
		2009	0.70	1.40	0.10	0.40	0.20	2.80	Early Warning
SANGAMON	Auburn CUSD 10	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Ball Chatham CUSD 5	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.10	Review
	New Berlin CUSD 16	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
	Pawnee CUSD 11	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
SANGAMON	Pawnee CUSD 11	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Pleasant Plains CUSD 8	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Riverton CUSD 14	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
	Rochester CUSD 3A	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review
	Springfield SD 186	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	Tri City CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
	Williamsville CUSD 15	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
SCHUYLER	Schuyler-Industry CUSD 5	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
SCOTT	Scott-Morgan CUSD 2	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	Winchester CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
SHELBY	Central A & M CUD 21	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
SHELBY	Central A & M CUD 21	2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
	Cowden-Herrick CUSD 3A	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	Shelbyville CUSD 4	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Stewardson-Strasburg CUD 5A	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
	Windsor CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	STARK	Bradford CUSD 1	2010	1.40	0.70	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10
2009			1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
Stark County CUSD 100		2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
STEPHENS ON	Dakota CUSD 201	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
	Freeport SD 145	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
	Lena Winslow CUSD 202	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2010	0.70	1.40	0.10	0.40	0.40	3.00	Early Warning

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
STEPHENS ON	Orangeville CUSD 203	2009	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.40	2.65	Early Warning
	Pearl City CUSD 200	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
TAZEWELL	Central SD 51	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
	Creve Coeur SD 76	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Deer Creek-Mackinaw CUSD 701	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
	Delavan CUSD 703	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	District 50 Schools	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	East Peoria CHSD 309	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
	East Peoria SD 86	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
	Morton CUSD 709	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	N Pekin & Marquette Hght SD 102	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
TAZEWELL	N Pekin & Marquette Hght SD 102	2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	Pekin CSD 303	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Pekin PSD 108	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
	Rankin CSD 98	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Robein SD 85	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	South Pekin SD 137	2010	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
	Spring Lake CCSD 606	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Tremont CUSD 702	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review
	Washington CHSD 308	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Washington SD 52	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
2009		1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
UNION	Anna CCSD 37	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
UNION	Anna CCSD 37	2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
	Anna Jonesboro CHSD 81	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Cobden SUD 17	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
	Dongola USD 66	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
	Jonesboro CCSD 43	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning
	Lick Creek CCSD 16	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	Shawnee CUSD 84	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	VERMILION	Armstrong Twp HSD 225	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00
2009			1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
Armstrong-Ellis Cons SD 61		2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
Bismarck Henning CUSD		2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
Catlin CUSD 5		2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
VERMILION	Catlin CUSD 5	2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Danville CCSD 118	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Georgetown-Ridge Farm CUD 4	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
	Hoopeston Area CUSD 11	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Jamaica CUSD 12	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Oakwood CUSD 76	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
	Potomac CUSD 10	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
	Rossville-Alvin CUSD 7	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
	Westville CUSD 2	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
WABASH	Allendale CCSD 17	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	Wabash CUSD 348	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
WABASH	Wabash CUSD 348	2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
WARREN	Monmouth-Roseville CUSD 238	2010	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.40	2.65	Early Warning
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
	United CUSD 304	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
WASHINGT ON	Ashley CCSD 15	2010	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.40	2.65	Early Warning
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
	Hoyleton Cons SD 29	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Irvington CCSD 11	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Nashville CCSD 49	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
	Nashville CHSD 99	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Oakdale CCSD 1	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	West Washington Co CUD 10	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
WAYNE	Fairfield Comm H S Dist 225	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
		2009	0.70	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning
	Fairfield PSD 112	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Geff CCSD 14	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Jasper CCSD 17	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
	New Hope CCSD 6	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	North Wayne CUSD 200	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Wayne City CUSD 100	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
WHITE	Carmi-White County CUSD 5	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Grayville CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Norris City-Omaha-Enfield CUSD 3	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
WHITE	Norris City-Omaha-Enfield CUSD 3	2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review
WHITESIDE	East Coloma SD 12	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Erie CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Montmorency CCSD 145	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Morrison CUSD 6	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
	Prophetstown-Lyndon-Tampico CUSD3	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	River Bend CUSD 2	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
	Riverdale SD 14	2010	1.40	0.70	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
	Rock Falls ESD 13	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
Rock Falls Twp HSD 301	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
Sterling CUSD 5	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
WHITESIDE	Sterling CUSD 5	2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
WILL	Beecher CUSD 200U	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition
	Chaney-Monge SD 88	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
	Channahon SD 17	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Crete Monee CUSD 201U	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning
		2009	0.70	0.70	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.10	Watch
	Elwood CCSD 203	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
	Fairmont SD 89	2010	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	2.65	Early Warning
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
	Frankfort CCSD 157C	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review
	Homer CCSD 33C	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
Joliet PSD 86	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review	
	2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review	
Joliet Twp HSD 204	2010	1.40	0.35	0.30	0.40	0.30	2.75	Early Warning	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
WILL	Joliet Twp HSD 204	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
	Laraway CCSD 70C	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
	Lincoln Way CHSD 210	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
	Lockport SD 91	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review
	Lockport Twp HSD 205	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Manhattan SD 114	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
	Mokena SD 159	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	New Lenox SD 122	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
	Peotone CUSD 207U	2010	1.05	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.30	2.75	Early Warning
		2009	1.05	0.35	0.20	0.40	0.30	2.30	Watch
	Plainfield SD 202	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning
	Reed Custer CUSD 255U	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
WILL	Reed Custer CUSD 255U	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Richland GSD 88A	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
	Rockdale SD 84	2010	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.20	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
	Summit Hill SD 161	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
	Taft SD 90	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Troy CCSD 30C	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
	Union SD 81	2010	1.05	0.35	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.10	Watch
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review
	Valley View CUSD 365U	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review
	Will County SD 92	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Wilmington CUSD 209U	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review
WILLIAMSON	Carterville CUSD 5	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
WILLIAMSON	Carterville CUSD 5	2009	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review
	Crab Orchard CUSD 3	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review
	Herrin CUSD 4	2010	1.40	0.35	0.30	0.40	0.10	2.55	Watch
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning
	Johnston City CUSD 1	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition
	Marion CUSD 2	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	2.75	Early Warning
	WINNEBAGO	County of Winnebago SD 320	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70
2009			1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition
Durand CUSD 322		2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
Harlem UD 122		2010	1.05	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.10	Review
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.20	Review
Hononegah CHD 207		2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review
Kinnikinnick CCSD 131		2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition
Pecatonica CUSD 321	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	
WINNEBAG	Pecatonica CUSD 321	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Prairie Hill CCSD 133	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
	Rockford SD 205	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	Rockton SD 140	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Shirland CCSD 134	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Winnebago CUSD 323	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	WOODFORD	County of Woodford School	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
			2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
		El Paso-Gridley CUSD 11	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition
2009			1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
Eureka CUD 140		2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
Fieldcrest CUSD 6		2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
Germantown Hills SD 69		2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>
WOODFORD	Germantown Hills SD 69	2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition
	Lowpoint-Washburn CUSD 21	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition
	Metamora CCSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition
	Riverview CCSD 2	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition
	Roanoke Benson CUSD 60	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwood SD 88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)use