The 5 Formative Assessment Strategies to Improve Student Learning

In Dylan Wiliam’s new book, *Embedded Formative Assessment*, he provides the 5 strategies that he has come to believe are core to successful formative assessment practice in the classroom:

1. **Clarifying, sharing, and understanding learning intentions and criteria for success** – getting the students to really understand what their classroom experience will be and how their success will be measured.

2. **Engineering effective classroom discussions, activities, and learning tasks that elicit evidence of learning** – developing effective classroom instructional strategies that allow for the measurement of success.

3. **Providing feedback that moves learning forward** – working with students to provide them the information they need to better understand problems and solutions.

4. **Activating learners as instructional resources for one another** – getting students involved with each other in discussions and working groups can help improve student learning.

5. **Activating learners as owners of their own learning** – getting students to become owners of their own learning can not only help students take responsibility for their own learning, but can lead directly to improved student performance.

A free webinar by Dylan Wiliam emphasizing the clear understanding of formative assessment and giving some practical strategies is available at: [http://info.nwea.org/FY2012WinterCampaignKLTWebinar2On-demandRegistration.html](http://info.nwea.org/FY2012WinterCampaignKLTWebinar2On-demandRegistration.html)

Information from this article is from the Northwest Evaluation Association website: [http://www.nwea.org/](http://www.nwea.org/)

When the cook tastes the soup, that’s formative; when the guests taste the soup, that’s summative.

- R. Stake
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Academic Vocabulary and the Common Core State Standards

The Common Core Standards for English Language Arts emphasize the teaching of academic vocabulary (Tier Two Words). As defined by Isabel Beck in *Bringing Words to Life*, academic vocabulary includes:

- Words likely to appear frequently in a wide variety of texts/disciplines (utility and importance)
- Words necessary for understanding a text and which allow for rich representation
- Words that relate to other words and offer students more precise ways of referring to ideas about which they already know (conceptual understanding)

Consider the following questions when determining which Tier Two Words to choose for instruction:

- How generally useful is the word? Is it a word that students are likely to see often in other texts? Will it be of use to students in their own writing?
- How does the word relate to other words or ideas that the students know or have been learning?
- What does the word choice bring to the text? What role does the word play in communicating the meaning of the context in which it is used?


Four Recommendations for Vocabulary Instruction

The following recommendations are from the Institute of Educational Sciences.

1. Include explicit vocabulary instruction in the regular classroom lesson.
2. Repeat exposure over an extended period of time to new words in multiple contexts and allow sufficient practice sessions.
3. Provide opportunities to use new vocabulary in a variety of contexts such discussion, writing, and extended reading.
4. Provide students with strategies to make them independent learners.


Coming Soon...

New ISBE Winter/Spring Series: ELA Common Core Shift Training Sponsored by the Illinois State Board of Education

The ELA Content Area Specialists will be hosting another professional development opportunity with several one day stops around the state. The cost will be minimal and registration is now open.

Content will be geared towards the CCSS shifts in English/Language Arts. Breakout sessions will be offered along with lunch.

Dates and Locations

Mar 21st: Rockford
Mar 22nd: Moline
Apr 9th: Gurnee
May 1st: Peoria
May 2nd: Urbana
May 3rd: Chicago/Midway

Registration details can be found at the following link: [http://conferences.illinoisstate.edu/ela/](http://conferences.illinoisstate.edu/ela/)
Focus on Mathematical Practice 6

The sixth Math Practice Standard, **Attend to Precision**, means mathematically proficient students use clear definitions in discussions with others and in their own reasoning. Students state the meaning of symbols they choose, including using the equal sign consistently and appropriately. They carefully specify units of measure, and label axes to clarify the correspondence with quantities in a problem. They calculate accurately and efficiently, express numerical answers with a degree of precision appropriate for the problem context.

This practice standard is much more about precision in language and communication than it is about accurate calculations. Students should be sharing ideas using grade appropriate concise language and descriptions. Tasks may require the student to carefully define variables for algebraic word problems in order to be successful.

**PARCC Fluency Expectations for Algebra**

**A/G** Algebra I students become fluent in solving characteristic problems involving the analytic geometry of lines, such as writing down the equation of a line given a point and a slope. Such fluency can support them in solving less routine mathematical problems of linearity, as well as in modeling linear phenomena (modeling using systems of linear inequalities in two variables).

**A-APR.1** Fluency in adding, subtracting, and multiplying polynomials supports students throughout work in algebra, as well as in symbolic work with functions. Manipulation can be more mindful when it is fluent.

**A-SSE.1b** Fluency in transforming expressions and chunking (seeing parts of an expression as a single object) is essential in factoring, completing the square, and other mindful algebraic calculations.

For more information:

For **Fluency Expectations** for students in **Mathematics I**: http://www.parcconline.org/sites/parcc/files/PARCCMCFMathematicsMathI_Nov2012V3_FINAL.pdf

**PARCC Updates**

PARCC (Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers) is actively working on producing computer-innovative assessments for 21 states and the District of Columbia. If you have not already had an opportunity to explore their website, check it out at parcconline.org. The many resources available include the Model Content Frameworks, Item Prototypes, Performance Level Descriptors, Assessment Reference Sheet, Calculator Policy and Technology Guidelines. In Algebra 1 or Math 1 an online calculator with functionalities similar to that of a TI-84 graphing calculator will be provided on the calculator session of the assessment. There will also be a non-calculator session of the assessment. PARCC provides the Model Content Frameworks for both high school pathways. The MCF clearly identify important aspects of each course and how they will be assessed. The Assessment Limits for Standards Assessed in More than One End-of-Course Test is a table that clearly defines what will be assessed from a standard in a given course. It is important to note that the MCF does not fully align with Appendix A from the CCSSM website.

“Education is not the filling of a pail, but the lighting of a fire.”

William Butler Yeats
Every Moment is Instructional

A wise teacher once said that “every moment with a child should be an instructional moment.” The adage is simple, yet true. In the classroom, a teacher’s behavior is observed, interpreted and even repeated by students. The importance of a positive example is intuitive, and also proven by research. Studies show that, when teachers act negatively toward students, misbehavior, delinquency, disengagement, and academic failure increases (U.S. Department of Education, 1998).

Further research indicates that positive modeling and explicit instruction are the best ways to decrease student misbehavior (Jonassen, 1999), thus increasing learning and (re)-engagement of students. Effective modeling includes exhibiting competencies in social, emotional, behavioral, physical and cognitive learning development while explicit instruction can focus on teaching students healthy coping strategies, behaviors, and academic skills. Teacher-student relationship building also supports an emotionally safe classroom environment. These supportive interactions and modeling directly impact learning.

Some questions teachers may consider when modeling appropriate behaviors:

- Is my tone quiet and calm when I interact with students?
- Do I use appropriate and understandable language?
- Do I model classroom rules?
- What are the strengths of each student in my class?
- Do I react with a respectful tone of voice?
- Does my body language reflect non-confrontation?

Response Systems

As part of the instructional planning process, teachers should consider how students will know when they have acted appropriately or inappropriately. Studies show that acknowledgement by teachers is “related to both initial and long-term academic engagement and social success” (Akin-Little et al, 2004). Methods of acknowledgement/correction need not be elaborate. In fact, social recognition tends to be most effective in reinforcing intrinsic motivation. When using a tangible reward system initially to increase buy-in for some students, teachers should:

- Deliver them quickly after the desired behavior is exhibited;
- Connect them to the behavior, not the individual;
- Vary the type (praise, incentives, approval, recognition, points), number, and frequency;
- Consider the appropriateness of the reward; and
- Gradually reduce to eventually eliminate

Research has shown that younger students positively respond initially to tangible rewards (such as stickers) while older students respond best to social/verbal acknowledgements. Ultimately, planning for effective acknowledgements within the school-wide and classroom systems can increase and maintain positive behaviors.

Conditions for Learning Indicators discussed here (CL 19 & 22) and others are included in the Rising Star on IIRC online school improvement system and accessible via the Learning Supports Web Page.

Helpful Resources

- www.edteck.com/dbq - this site is a creative approach to reaching students through images when presenting Content Area Literacy involving teaching with documents
- www.isbe.net/learningsupports - Learning Supports site including Conditions for Learning indicators and an A-Z index of resources for helping students
- http://www.parcconline.org/ - for the most up to date information on the PARCC Assessments
- https://docs.google.com/spreadsheet/ccc?key=0AljqyKM9d7ZYdEhtR3B1Mm5dIBWm2YwWYVM1UWoWTE#gid=0 - Dan Meyer has created a spreadsheet of CCSSM aligned Math tasks for middle and high school teachers. He also shares his Algebra and Geometry curriculum. His blog is full of great classroom ideas and allows teachers a place to interact with other educators.
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