

Financial Profile Scores Original - by County

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
ADAMS	Central CUSD 3	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	The District's overall profile score has been negatively affected due to not receiving all state reimbursements before the end of the fiscal year.
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	CUSD 4	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning	
		2009	1.40	0.70	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning	
	Liberty CUSD 2	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Payson CUSD 1	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review	
	Quincy SD 172	2010	0.35	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.30	2.20	Watch	
		2009	0.35	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.30	2.20	Watch	
ALEXANDER	Cairo USD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review	
	Egyptian CUSD 5	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
BOND	Bond County CUSD 2	2010	1.05	0.70	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.45	Watch	
		2009	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.10	2.90	Early Warning	
	Mulberry Grove CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	0.70	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning	
BOONE	Belvidere CUSD 100	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
BOONE	North Boone CUSD 200	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning	The North Boone School District is on a cash-basis of accounting, and at year-end (6/30/10), the District had \$1,074,392 in outstanding vouchers from the State of Illinois. Therefore the lack of these direct receipts prior to 6/30/10 impacted the Fund Balance, Cash & Investments, and Direct Revenues within the Education and Transportation funds. The lack of these receipts caused the District's profile score to be 2.9 (Financial Early Warning). In preparation of current and future state payment delays, the District has made staffing and overall budget reductions to continue operating on a balanced budget. The past three years, the District has maintained Review (3.35) or Recognition (3.6/3.7) standings prior to the delay of State's payments. This status would have been maintained if payments had been made in a timely manner.
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
BROWN	Brown County CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
BUREAU	Bureau Valley CUSD 340	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning	
	Cherry SD 92	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Dalzell SD 98	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	DePue USD 103	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Hall HSD 502	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review	
	La Moille CUSD 303	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Ladd CCSD 94	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
	Leepertown CCSD 175	2010	0.35	0.70	0.10	0.20	0.30	1.65	Watch	
		2009	1.05	0.70	0.10	0.40	0.20	2.45	Watch	
	Malden CCSD 84	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	This profile is thanks to the continued support of the local tax payers and their willingness to support working cash bonds while waiting for last state payments.

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
BUREAU	Malden CCSD 84	2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	Neponset CCSD 307	2010	1.05	0.70	0.20	0.40	0.40	2.75	Early Warning	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	Ohio CCSD 17	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	Ohio CHSD 505	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	Princeton ESD 115	2010	1.40	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
	Princeton HSD 500	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Spring Valley CCSD 99	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review	
	CALHOUN	Brussels CUSD 42	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review
2009			1.05	0.70	0.20	0.40	0.40	2.75	Early Warning	
Calhoun CUSD 40		2010	0.35	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.10	2.00	Watch	Calhoun Unit District #40 is currently reviewing all financial vs. expenditure balances. We are anticipating that the foundation level will remain constant and the mandated categoricals will be paid. If funded, Calhoun fund balances will hopefully improve.
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.10	2.35	Watch	
CARROLL	Chadwick-Milledgeville CUSD 399	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review	
	Eastland CUSD 308	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
West Carroll CUSD 314	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition		
	2009	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review		
CASS	A-C Central CUSD 262	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review	The categorical payments were not paid until 6 months after the fiscal year had ended; therefore, this is not a true picture of the District's finances.
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning	
	Beardstown CUSD 15	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
CASS	Virginia CUSD 64	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
CHAMPAIGN	Champaign CUSD 4	2010	1.05	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.10	Review	If the District recorded early property tax receipts, its "Fund Balance to Revenue Ratio" score would increase from 1.05 to 1.40. If the State paid its obligations on time, the District's "Days Cash on Hand" score would increase from .3 to .4. If ISBE did not (incorrectly) include "Alternate Revenue Debt", the District's "Legal Debt Margin" score would increase from .3 to .4. With these changes, the Champaign School District's Profile Score would be 3.65 (Recognition Status).
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.30	Review	
	Fisher CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Gifford CCSD 188	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
		2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
	Heritage CUSD 8	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
		2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review	
	Ludlow CCSD 142	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
		2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	Mahomet-Seymour CUSD 3	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning	
	Prairieview-Ogden CCSD 197	2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
		2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Rantoul City SD 137	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
		2010	1.05	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.20	Review	
	Rantoul Township HSD 193	2009	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	St Joseph CCSD 169	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
	St Joseph Ogden CHSD 305	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
		2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review	Our district is in excellent overall financial health, as exhibited by the Days Cash On Hand total of over 362 days. We anticipate moving back into the Financial Recognition Category next year, due to a reduction of expenditures in relation to revenues this fiscal year.

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
CHAMPAIGN	St Joseph Ogden CHSD 305	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review	The Unit 7 Board of Education and administration have worked to maintain a fiscally stable environment even though state funding has not met financial obligations to the district. The Unit 7 School District has achieved the status of being financially recognized in a positive light for the 2009-2010 school year.
	Thomasboro CCSD 130	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
	Tolono CUSD 7	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
	Urbana SD 116	2010	1.40	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.70	Recognition	
CHRISTIAN		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	
	Edinburg CUSD 4	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Morrisonville CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
	Pana CUSD 8	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review	
	South Fork SD 14	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review	
		2009	0.35	1.05	0.20	0.20	0.20	2.00	Watch	
Taylorville CUSD 3	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review		
CLARK		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	
	Casey-Westfield CUSD 4C	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning	The state of Illinois failed to make mandated categorical payments to our district as due during FY10. The payments that were made were grossly late. This has a significant negative impact on our district's financial profile.
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning	
	Marshall CUSD 2C	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	Martinsville CUSD 3C	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	
	2009	1.40	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.80	Recognition		
CLAY	Clay City CUSD 10	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
CLAY	Flora CUSD 35	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	North Clay CUSD 25	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
CLINTON	Albers SD 63	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Aviston SD 21	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	Revenue payments promised but not received during the fiscal year negatively impacted the expenditure to revenue ratios and the days cash on hand calculations.
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
	Bartelso SD 57	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Breese SD 12	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review	
	Carlyle CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	Central CHSD 71	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Damiansville SD 62	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review	
	Germantown SD 60	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	The profile score for Germantown Elementary School District #60 was negatively impacted because of the State's inability to meet its financial obligations where mandated categorical payments were concerned.
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	North Wamac SD 186	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review	
	St Rose SD 14-15	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
Wesclin CUSD 3	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition		
	2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition		
Willow Grove SD 46	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning		

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
CLINTON	Willow Grove SD 46	2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
COLES	Charleston CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Mattoon CUSD 2	2010	0.70	1.40	0.20	0.30	0.30	2.90	Early Warning	
		2009	0.35	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.30	2.20	Watch	
	Oakland CUSD 5	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
COOK	Alsip-Hazlgrn-Oaklwn SD 126	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Arbor Park SD 145	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.10	0.10	3.05	Early Warning	
	Argo CHSD 217	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Arlington Heights SD 25	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Atwood Heights SD 125	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
	Avoca SD 37	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	0.35	0.40	0.40	0.40	2.95	Early Warning	
	Bellwood SD 88	2010	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Watch	
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.20	2.45	Watch	
	Berkeley SD 87	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review	
	Berwyn North SD 98	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Berwyn South SD 100	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning	
	Bloom Twp HSD 206	2010	1.05	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.20	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.20	Review	
	Bremen CHSD 228	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
COOK	Bremen CHSD 228	2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
	Brookfield Lagrange Park SD 95	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.00	Early Warning	
	Brookwood SD 167	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Burbank SD 111	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Burnham SD 154-5	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
	Calumet City SD 155	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review	
		2009	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.10	2.90	Early Warning	
	Calumet Public SD 132	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
	CCSD 168	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	The district will continue to monitor revenues and expenditures to ensure that the best educational programs are offered and remain within the parameters of a balanced budget.
	CCSD 62	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Central Stickney SD 110	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Chicago Heights SD 170	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Chicago Ridge SD 127-5	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
	CHSD 218	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Cicero SD 99	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
	City of Chicago SD 299	2010	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	2.65	Early Warning	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
COOK	City of Chicago SD 299	2009	1.05	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.10	Review	
	Comm Cons SD 59	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	Community Consolidated School District 59's goal is to preserve the District's financial integrity as measured by maintaining the State Financial Profile's Recognition Status.
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Cons HSD 230	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	Cook County SD 130	2010	1.40	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.40	0.35	0.20	0.40	0.30	2.65	Early Warning	
	Country Club Hills SD 160	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review	
	Dolton SD 148	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review	
		2009	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.10	2.90	Early Warning	
	Dolton SD 149	2010	1.40	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
	East Maine SD 63	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	East Prairie SD 73	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Elmwood Park CUSD 401	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review	Our score in the area of cash on hand dropped this year due to the state not meeting their payment schedule for categorical funds. I propose that ISBE consider the lack of state payments when calculating days cash on hand.
		2009	0.70	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.20	Review	
	ESD 159	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review	
		2009	0.70	1.40	0.10	0.40	0.10	2.70	Early Warning	
	Evanston CCSD 65	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
	Evanston Twp HSD 202	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Evergreen Park CHSD 231	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
COOK	Evergreen Park ESD 124	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	Glencoe School District #35 continues to be a watchful steward of all aspects of our finances in order to best serve our students and community.
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Fairview SD 72	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Flossmoor SD 161	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Ford Heights SD 169	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review	
	Forest Park SD 91	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Forest Ridge SD 142	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
	Franklin Park SD 84	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Gen George Patton SD 133	2010	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.10	2.90	Early Warning	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review	
	Glencoe SD 35	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Glenview CCSD 34	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Golf ESD 67	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Harvey SD 152	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
Hazel Crest SD 152-5	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition		
	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition		
Hillside SD 93	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition		
	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition		

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
COOK	Homewood Flossmoor CHSD 233	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Homewood SD 153	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	As of June 30, 2010, Over \$1,000,000 was due to Homewood School District 153 from the State. If this money had been paid to the District in time, our financial profile score would have been higher.
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
	Hoover-Schrum Memorial SD 157	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
	Indian Springs SD 109	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	J S Morton HSD 201	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
	Kenilworth SD 38	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Kirby SD 140	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Komarek SD 94	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
	La Grange SD 102	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
	La Grange SD 105 South	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	LaGrange Highlands SD 106	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Lansing SD 158	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Lemont Twp HSD 210	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review	
	Lemont-Bromberek CSD 113A	2010	0.35	0.70	0.20	0.20	0.40	1.85	Watch	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
COOK	Lemont-Bromberek CSD 113A	2009	0.70	0.70	0.10	0.40	0.40	2.30	Watch	
	Leyden CHSD 212	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Lincoln ESD 156	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
	Lincolnwood SD 74	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
	Lindop SD 92	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
	Lyons SD 103	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	Lyons Twp HSD 204	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Maine Township HSD 207	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Mannheim SD 83	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Matteson ESD 162	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning	
	Maywood-Melrose Park-Broadview 89	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.30	0.30	2.90	Early Warning	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning	
	Midlothian SD 143	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Morton Grove SD 70	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Mount Prospect SD 57	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	New Trier Twp HSD 203	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
COOK	Niles ESD 71	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	District 71 will make its final Bond payment in 2012 and will be debt free.
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Niles Twp CHSD 219	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	The FY 2010 total profile score for District 219 placed the district in the highest designation category of Recognition. The District has achieved the Recognition designation for each fiscal year since FY 2005.
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Norridge SD 80	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	North Palos SD 117	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
	Northbrook ESD 27	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Northbrook SD 28	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Northbrook/Glenview SD 30	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Northfield Twp HSD 225	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Oak Lawn CHSD 229	2010	1.40	0.70	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
		2009	1.40	0.35	0.30	0.40	0.40	2.85	Early Warning	
	Oak Lawn-Hometown SD 123	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review	
	Oak Park - River Forest SD 200	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Oak Park ESD 97	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
	Orland SD 135	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Palatine CCSD 15	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
COOK	Palatine CCSD 15	2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Palos CCSD 118	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Palos Heights SD 128	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Park Forest SD 163	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	The District is making every effort to stay fiscally responsible.
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
	Park Ridge CCSD 64	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Pennoyer SD 79	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Pleasantdale SD 107	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Posen-Robbins ESD 143-5	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review	
	Prairie-Hills ESD 144	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	Due to the issuance of bonds to construct the new junior high, the long-term debt margin was substantially diminished. The Board of Education and administration continue to closely monitor and manage the expenditure to revenue ratio (0.964) to ensure that fund balances are not diminished.
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	Although our district attained financial recognition status, we continue to monitor expenditures and revenues carefully during these turbulent economic times. We strive to maintain strong fund balances even though payments continue to be late.
	Prospect Heights SD 23	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.20	Review	
	Proviso Twp HSD 209	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
	Reavis Twp HSD 220	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
Rhodes SD 84-5	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition		
	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition		

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
COOK	Rich Twp HSD 227	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
	Ridgeland SD 122	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
	Ridgewood CHSD 234	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
	River Forest SD 90	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	River Grove SD 85-5	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
	River Trails SD 26	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Riverside SD 96	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Riverside-Brookfield Twp SD 208	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review	
	Rosemont ESD 78	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Sandridge SD 172	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.05	0.70	0.20	0.40	0.30	2.65	Early Warning	
	Schaumburg CCSD 54	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Schiller Park SD 81	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	
	Skokie SD 68	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Skokie SD 69	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.05	0.35	0.20	0.40	0.30	2.30	Watch	
	Skokie SD 73-5	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
COOK	South Holland SD 150	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	Our profile Financial Review 3.45 is the first downgrade in six years. The District has been on Financial Recognition for six years. The state's fiscal problems coupled with the home foreclosures in our area has impacted our revenue. The District has also committed to upgrading our schools which includes air conditioning. The lack of timely mandated categorical reimbursements and loss of property taxes due to the local and national economy downturn have exacerbated the problem. The District has reduced its expenditures by staff reduction of 11 percent and curtailing after school programs and supplies and materials. It is imperative that the District receive its mandated categorical reimbursements or significant cuts will need to be made in FY 12.
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
South Holland SD 151	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review		
	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition		
Steger SD 194	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition		
	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition		
Summit SD 104	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition		
	2009	1.40	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review		
Sunnybrook SD 171	2010	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review		
	2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review		
Sunset Ridge SD 29	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition		
	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition		
Thornton Fractional Twp HSD 215	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition		
	2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning		
Thornton SD 154	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition		
	2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition		
Thornton Twp HSD 205	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition		
	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition		
Tinley Park CCSD 146	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	Our district's profile score is in the highest designation category of Financial Recognition. Our district has maintained this status since FY2006	
	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	Our district is pleased to achieve Recognition status for the 4th consecutive year. Community support has been a major contributing factor.	
Township HSD 211	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition		

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
COOK	Township HSD 211	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Township HSD 214	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	Township High School District 214 will continue to implement cost containment initiatives and innovative ideas with the least impact to student programs. The district strives for continuous financial improvement, accountability and recognitions.
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	Union Ridge SD 86	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	W Harvey-Dixmoor PSD 147	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review	
	West Northfield SD 31	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	Westchester SD 92-5	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	The Westchester Public School District 92 1/2, strives to provide quality education for all district students and be responsible to the citizens of Westchester.
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Western Springs SD 101	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
	Wheeling CCSD 21	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	Willow Springs SD 108	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
	Wilmette SD 39	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Winnetka SD 36	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
	Worth SD 127	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
CRAWFORD	Hutsonville CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
	Oblong CUSD 4	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
CRAWFORD	Palestine CUSD 3	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review	
	Robinson CUSD 2	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
CUMBERLAND	Cumberland CUSD 77	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	Neoga CUSD 3	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
DEKALB	DeKalb CUSD 428	2010	1.05	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
	Genoa Kingston CUSD 424	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Hiawatha CUSD 426	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Hinckley Big Rock CUSD 429	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
	Indian Creek CUSD 425	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Sandwich CUSD 430	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review	
	Somonauk CUSD 432	2010	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.30	2.55	Watch	The reduced score for Fund Balance to Revenue Ratio and the reduced score for Cash on Hand were a result of the delayed state payments to the District and the need for the District to reduce fund balances and make interfund transfers to make expenditure payments for the 2009-2010 school year.
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.30	2.55	Watch	
Sycamore CUSD 427	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review		
	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review		
DEWITT	Blue Ridge CUSD 18	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	Expenditure to Revenue Ratio negatively affected by delayed payments from the State of Illinois
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Clinton CUSD 15	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
DEWITT	Clinton CUSD 15	2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
DOUGLAS	Arcola CUSD 306	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Arthur CUSD 305	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Tuscola CUSD 301	2010	0.70	0.35	0.20	0.40	0.20	1.85	Watch	
		2009	1.40	0.70	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning	
	Villa Grove CUSD 302	2010	1.40	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review	
	2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition		
DUPAGE	Addison SD 4	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
	Benjamin SD 25	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Bensenville SD 2	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Bloomington SD 13	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	The District operates on a cash basis. Property taxes represent more than 85% of incoming revenue, while state revenues only represent 8%. While the District experienced delayed payments from the State Comptroller, late payments for 2008-09 were included in revenue totals and partially made up for missing 2009-10 3rd and 4th quarter payments.
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	The 2009-10 fund balance includes \$2,030,600 designated for payment of an energy-saving HVAC project not completed and paid for until July and August of 2010-11, a new fiscal year. The Board of Education has been fiscally conservative in protecting the District's assets. Their decisions have resulted in maintaining a healthy reserve, some of which may be used for future capital projects that will provide a safer and even more productive learning environment for our students.
	Butler SD 53	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
Cass SD 63		2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
CCSD 180		2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
DUPAGE	CCSD 180	2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	The District is pleased to report that they have earned Recognition status for the last three years. The AFR is prepared on the modified accrual basis of accounting which allows districts to consider and apply different revenue recognition criteria. District 99 uses a very conservative revenue recognition method whereby all early tax revenue collections are deferred until the next fiscal year. By deferring these collections to the next fiscal year, District 99 reflects a lower apparent fund balance, for any fund supported by property taxes, compared to if we chose to prepare the AFR on the cash basis or on the modified accrual basis without deferring early taxes. The AFR Profile Score Report does not provide for adjustments for different revenue recognition bases. Accordingly, our Fund Balance to Revenue Ratio, which comprises 35% of the Total Profile Score, will typically be lower than any other district which does not follow the same conservative recognition methodology.
	CCSD 89	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	CCSD 93	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Center Cass SD 66	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	CHSD 94	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	CHSD 99	2010	0.70	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.30	Review	
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	2.95	Early Warning	
	CUSD 200	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	
	CUSD 201	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Darien SD 61	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Downers Grove GSD 58	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
DuPage HSD 88	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition		
	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition		

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
DUPAGE	Elmhurst SD 205	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	District 87 administration has reviewed this information and concurs with the findings. Further, District 87 is pleased to report that the district has achieved Recognition Status 4 years in a row.
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	Fenton CHSD 100	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Glen Ellyn SD 41	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Glenbard Twp HSD 87	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	Gower SD 62	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Hinsdale CCSD 181	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
	Hinsdale Twp HSD 86	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Indian Prairie CUSD 204	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
	Itasca SD 10	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Keeneyville SD 20	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Lake Park CHSD 108	2010	0.70	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.20	Review	
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	2.85	Early Warning	
	Lisle CUSD 202	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
Lombard SD 44	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition		
	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition		
Maercker SD 60	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition		

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>	
DUPAGE	Maercker SD 60	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition		
	Marquardt SD 15	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition		
			2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Medinah SD 11	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition		
			2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Naperville CUSD 203	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	Naperville CUSD #203 continues to steward the public investment in education in a responsible manner.	
			2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00		Recognition
	Queen Bee SD 16	2010	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.30	0.30	3.35	Review		
			2009	0.70	1.05	0.30	0.30	0.30	2.65	Early Warning	
	Roselle SD 12	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	Roselle School District 12 continues to be affected by the lateness of state revenues. Fortunately, the Roselle School District 12 Board of Education has demonstrated conservative spending and budgeting.	
			2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65		Recognition
	Salt Creek SD 48	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.30	0.40	3.45	Review		
			2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.30	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	SD 45 DuPage County	2010	1.05	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition		
			2009	1.05	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.20	Review	
	West Chicago ESD 33	2010	1.40	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review		
			2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Winfield SD 34	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition		
			2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
	Wood Dale SD 7	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition		
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition		
Woodridge SD 68	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	The District continues to benefit from a low debt structure with a 93.2% long term debt margin remaining.		
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00		Recognition	
EDGAR	Edgar County CUD 6	2010	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.30	2.55	Watch	The District will continue to reduce staff for 2011-12 as in 2010-11 to meet financial obligations as planned. We will have our bonds paid off in 2015 for our Jr. High building that was built in 1999.	
			2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00		Early Warning
	Kansas CUSD 3	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition		

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
EDGAR	Kansas CUSD 3	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	Our District is committed to maintaining strong fund balances while continuing to provide a high quality educational program.
	Paris CUSD 4	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Paris-Union SD 95	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	Shiloh CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
EDWARDS	Edwards County CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
EFFINGHAM	Altamont CUSD 10	2010	1.40	0.70	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	The revenue shortfall was created because the State was behind in providing funding for the school district in a timely manner.
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	Beecher City CUSD 20	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Dieterich CUSD 30	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Effingham CUSD 40	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Teutopolis CUSD 50	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
FAYETTE	Brownstown CUSD 201	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
	Ramsey CUSD 204	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	St Elmo CUSD 202	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Vandalia CUSD 203	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review	
FORD	Gibson City-Melvin-Sibley CUSD 5	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
FORD	Gibson City-Melvin-Sibley CUSD 5	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
	Paxton-Buckley-Loda CUD 10	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
FRANKLIN	Akin CCSD 91	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	The Akin Community Consolidated School District 91 is in good overall health.
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Benton CCSD 47	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Benton Cons HSD 103	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
	Christopher USD 99	2010	1.05	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.20	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Ewing Northern CCSD 115	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review	
	Frankfort CUSD 168	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	
	Sesser-Valier CUSD 196	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
	Thompsonville CUSD 174	2010	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	2.75	Early Warning	The District paid an unusual amount toward tuition for special education students attending out-of-district programs and this money was not reimbursed until December 2010.To reduce expenditures, the district made the following budget cuts for FY11: 1) personnel, 2) instructional supplies, 3) employee stipends, 4) elimination of 1 bus route
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning	
	Zeigler-Royalton CUSD 188	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
FULTON	Astoria CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Avon CUSD 176	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
FULTON	Canton Union SD 66	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	CUSD 3 Fulton County	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
	Lewistown CUSD 97	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
	Spoon River Valley CUSD 4	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
V I T CUSD 2	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition		
	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition		
GALLATIN	Gallatin CUSD 7	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
GREENE	Carrollton CUSD 1	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.20	0.30	2.45	Watch	
	Greenfield CUSD 10	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	North Greene CUSD 3	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
2009		1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review		
GRUNDY	Braceville SD 75	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Coal City CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Gardner CCSD 72C	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Gardner S Wilmington Twp HSD 73	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Mazon-Verona-Kinsman ESD 2C	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
Minooka CCSD 201	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review		

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
GRUNDY	Minooka CCSD 201	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
	Minooka CHSD 111	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
	Morris CHSD 101	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
		2009	0.70	0.35	0.10	0.40	0.40	1.95	Watch	
	Morris SD 54	2010	1.40	0.35	0.30	0.40	0.10	2.55	Watch	
		2009	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.10	2.90	Early Warning	
	Nettle Creek CCSD 24C	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Saratoga CCSD 60C	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	
	South Wilmington CCSD 74	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition		
HAMILTON	Hamilton Co CUSD 10	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning	Continued cuts in state and federal grants; including Reading Improvement, Free Textbook Loan, Pre-School, Title IV/V, significantly delayed state payments, and a stagnant local tax base; as well as increasing employee health insurance and salary costs, escalating worker's comp. and liability insurance obligations, rising fuel and utility costs, and an increasing demand for special education programs for students has caused a significant decline in the cash balance for the District over the past 2 years. Without the 2 year commitment by the federal government to provide Recovery Reinvestment funds to the state for education this District would be in even further financial distress or would have been forced to make severe cuts in educational services to the students it serves.
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning	
HANCOCK	Carthage ESD 317	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Dallas ESD 327	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	Hamilton CCSD 328	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
	Illini West H S Dist 307	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
HANCOCK	Illini West H S Dist 307	2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review	
	La Harpe CSD 347	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	Nauvoo-Colusa CUSD 325	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
	Southeastern CUSD 337	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Warsaw CUSD 316	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
2009		1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review		
HARDIN	Hardin County CUSD 1	2010	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.30	2.55	Watch	
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.30	2.55	Watch	
HENDERSON	West Central CUSD 235	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	We are certainly proud of our financial profile score again this year. Our District has worked hard to manage our expenditures and to maximum our revenue during these extremely difficult economic times.
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
HENRY	AIWood CUSD 225	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	
	Annawan CUSD 226	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Cambridge CUSD 227	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Colona SD 190	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Galva CUSD 224	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Geneseo CUSD 228	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	The District is proud of its continued demonstration of fiscal responsibility despite numerous challenges created by external forces.
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
Kewanee CUSD 229	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition		
	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition		

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
HENRY	Orion CUSD 223	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
	Wethersfield CUSD 230	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
IROQUOIS	Central CUSD 4	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	
	Cissna Park CUSD 6	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Crescent Iroquois CUSD 249	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Donovan CUSD 3	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Iroquois County CUSD 9	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	Iroquois West CUSD 10	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Milford CCSD 280	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
	Milford Twp HSD 233	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
JACKSON	Carbondale CHSD 165	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
	Carbondale ESD 95	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning	
	DeSoto Cons SD 86	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning	
	Elverado CUSD 196	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review	
	Giant City CCSD 130	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	All numbers are accurate, but our revenue numbers would have been considerable increased if all obligated payments had been remitted timely.

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
JACKSON	Giant City CCSD 130	2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
	Murphysboro CUSD 186	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	Trico CUSD 176	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	Unity Point CCSD 140	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review	
2009		1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review		
JASPER	Jasper County CUD 1	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
JEFFERSON	Bethel SD 82	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	Bluford CCSD 114	2010	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Watch	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
	Dodds CCSD 7	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
	Farrington CCSD 99	2010	1.40	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	Field CCSD 3	2010	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review	
	Grand Prairie CCSD 6	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	Ina CCSD 8	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
	McClellan CCSD 12	2010	0.70	0.70	0.20	0.40	0.40	2.40	Watch	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
	Mount Vernon SD 80	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
	Mt Vernon Twp HSD 201	2010	0.70	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.30	0.40	2.65	Early Warning	
	Opdyke-Belle-Rive CCSD 5	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
JEFFERSON	Opdyke-Belle-Rive CCSD 5	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Rome CCSD 2	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Summersville SD 79	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Waltonville CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Watch	
	Webber Twp HSD 204	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Watch	
	Woodlawn CCSD 4	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
	Woodlawn CHSD 205	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review	Only through several areas of budget cuts were we able to stay at our current designation.
	2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review		
JERSEY	Jersey CUSD 100	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning	
JODAVIESS	East Dubuque USD 119	2010	0.70	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
		2009	0.70	1.40	0.10	0.40	0.40	3.00	Early Warning	
	Galena USD 120	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review	
	River Ridge CUSD 210	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Scales Mound CUSD 211	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Stockton CUSD 206	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
Warren CUSD 205	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	Expenditures exceeded revenues due to lack of timely State payments.	
	2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition		
JOHNSON	Buncombe Cons SD 43	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
JOHNSON	Cypress SD 64	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Goreville CUD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
	New Simpson Hill SD 32	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
	Vienna HSD 133	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	
		2009	0.35	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.40	2.30	Watch	
Vienna SD 55	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition		
	2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review		
KANE	Aurora East USD 131	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	
	Aurora West USD 129	2010	1.05	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	2.90	Early Warning	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review	
	Batavia USD 101	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
	Central CUSD 301	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review	
	CUSD 300	2010	1.40	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.60	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
	Geneva CUSD 304	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
	Kaneland CUSD 302	2010	1.05	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	2.90	Early Warning	The Percent of Long-Term Debt Margin Remaining is the District's lowest score in the financial report. The reason why this score is low is due to the amount of bonds that have been sold in recent years due to the growth in our communities. The money has been used to build new schools, as well as to add additions and updates to existing schools.
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	
	SD U-46	2010	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.55	Watch	
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	2.65	Early Warning	
St Charles CUSD 303	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition		

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
KANE	St Charles CUSD 303	2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
KANKAKEE	Bourbonnais SD 53	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	Bradley Bourbonnais CHSD 307	2010	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.10	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Bradley SD 61	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	The District remains in very good financial condition. The Expenditure to Revenue ratio is negatively affected by the method used to purchase school buses. The buses are sold back each year which provides funds for the purchase of new buses. The money from the sale is not considered "revenue" and this affects our Total Profile Score.
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Grant Park CUSD 6	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
	Herscher CUSD 2	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
	Kankakee SD 111	2010	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning	
	Manteno CUSD 5	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	Manteno Community Unit School District No. 5 continues to earn Bright Star recognition of being in the top third in student academic achievement while being in the bottom quartile in state funding.
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
	Momence CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
	Pembroke CCSD 259	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	St Anne CCSD 256	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	St Anne CHSD 302	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
	St George CCSD 258	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review	
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.10	2.35	Watch	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
KENDALL	Lisbon CCSD 90	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Newark CCSD 66	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Newark CHSD 18	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Oswego CUSD 308	2010	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.10	0.40	0.10	3.05	Early Warning	
	Plano CUSD 88	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.10	2.90	Early Warning	
Yorkville CUSD 115	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	Received the first payment of the 2009 levy in June 2010 versus the usual July 2010. The early tax collection resulted in a one-time increase of revenues.	
	2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition		
KNOX	Abingdon CUSD 217	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
	Galesburg CUSD 205	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	Knoxville CUSD 202	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	R O W V A CUSD 208	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
Williamsfield CUSD 210	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition		
	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition		
LA SALLE	Allen-Otter Creek CCSD 65	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Deer Park CCSD 82	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	Dimmick CCSD 175	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Earlville CUSD 9	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
	Grand Ridge CCSD 95	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	La Salle ESD 122	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
LA SALLE	La Salle-Peru Twp HSD 120	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Leland CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Lostant CUSD 425	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Marseilles ESD 150	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Mendota CCSD 289	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
	Mendota Twp HSD 280	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
	Miller Twp CCSD 210	2010	1.40	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review	
	Oglesby ESD 125	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning	
	Ottawa ESD 141	2010	1.05	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.40	3.00	Early Warning	
	Ottawa Twp HSD 140	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Peru ESD 124	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review	
	Rutland CCSD 230	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Seneca CCSD 170	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Seneca Twp HSD 160	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Serena CUSD 2	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
	Streator ESD 44	2010	0.70	0.70	0.10	0.40	0.40	2.30	Watch	
	Streator Twp HSD 40	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
	Tonica CCSD 79	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Wallace CCSD 195	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
	Waltham CCSD 185	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
LAKE	Adlai E Stevenson HSD 125	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Antioch CCSD 34	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Aptakisic-Tripp CCSD 102	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Bannockburn SD 106	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
Barrington CUSD 220	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition		

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
LAKE	Barrington CUSD 220	2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Beach Park CCSD 3	2010	0.70	1.40	0.10	0.40	0.30	2.90	Early Warning	
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.20	2.45	Watch	
	Big Hollow SD 38	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review	
	CHSD 117	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	
	CHSD 128	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Deerfield SD 109	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Diamond Lake SD 76	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Emmons SD 33	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	
	Fox Lake GSD 114	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
	Fremont SD 79	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Gavin SD 37	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning	
	Grant CHSD 124	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
	Grass Lake SD 36	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Grayslake CCSD 46	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review	
	Grayslake CHSD 127	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
LAKE	Gurnee SD 56	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	In these difficult economic times characterized by declining revenues from State and Federal sources our financial profile score reflects continued efforts by the District to maintain a balanced budget.
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
	Hawthorn CCSD 73	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review	The District is dedicated to remaining fiscally responsible.
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
	Kildeer Countryside CCSD 96	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Lake Bluff ESD 65	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Lake Forest CHSD 115	2010	0.70	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	2.75	Early Warning	
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	2.75	Early Warning	
	Lake Forest SD 67	2010	1.05	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Lake Villa CCSD 41	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
	Lake Zurich CUSD 95	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	Libertyville SD 70	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Lincolnshire-Prairieview SD 103	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Millburn CCSD 24	2010	0.35	1.05	0.20	0.30	0.10	2.00	Watch	
		2009	0.35	0.70	0.20	0.20	0.10	1.55	Watch	
	Mundelein Cons HSD 120	2010	1.05	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	0.70	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.20	Review	
	Mundelein ESD 75	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	North Chicago SD 187	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.10	0.40	3.35	Review	
		2009	0.70	0.70	0.20	0.10	0.30	2.00	Watch	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>	
LAKE	North Shore SD 112	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition		
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition		
	Oak Grove SD 68	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition		
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition		
	Rondout SD 72	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition		
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition		
	Round Lake CUSD 116	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition		
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition		
	Twp HSD 113	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition		
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition		
	Warren Twp HSD 121	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition		
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition		
	Wauconda CUSD 118	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review		
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.55	Watch		
	Waukegan CUSD 60	2010	0.35	1.40	0.10	0.40	0.30	2.55	Watch		District 60's Annual Financial Report is prepared solely via cash basis accounting. At the end of Fiscal Year 2010, appx \$7.5 million in mandated categoricals had not been received. More timely grant receipts would have improved the Fund Balance to Revenue Ratio and Days Cash on Hand.
		2009	0.35	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.30	2.20	Watch		
	Winthrop Harbor SD 1	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review		
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review		
	Woodland CCSD 50	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review		
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition		
Zion ESD 6	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review			
	2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review			
Zion-Benton Twp HSD 126	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	District 126 has strategically managed its financial resources to meet needs associated with growing enrollment. The economic downturn and resultant loss of revenue, however, continues to jeopardize the District's financial health. Budget reductions are being identified as a result.		
	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition			
LASALLE	Allen-Otter Creek CCSD 65	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition		

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
LASALLE	Deer Park CCSD 82	2009	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.20	Review	
	Dimmick CCSD 175	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
	Earlville CUSD 9	2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
	Grand Ridge CCSD 95	2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	La Salle ESD 122	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	La Salle-Peru Twp HSD 120	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Leland CUSD 1	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Lostant CUSD 425	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Marseilles ESD 150	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Mendota CCSD 289	2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
	Mendota Twp HSD 280	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
	Miller Twp CCSD 210	2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Oglesby ESD 125	2009	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.10	Review	
	Ottawa ESD 141	2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
	Ottawa Twp HSD 140	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Peru ESD 124	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
	Rutland CCSD 230	2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Seneca CCSD 170	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Seneca Twp HSD 160	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Serena CUSD 2	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Streator ESD 44	2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning	
	Streator Twp HSD 40	2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review	
	Tonica CCSD 79	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Wallace CCSD 195	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
	Waltham CCSD 185	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
LAWRENCE	Lawrence County CUD 20	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	Red Hill CUSD 10	2010	1.05	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.00	Early Warning	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
LEE	Amboy CUSD 272	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
LEE	Amboy CUSD 272	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Ashton-Franklin Center CUSD 275	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Dixon USD 170	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	Nelson Public SD No 8	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	Paw Paw CUSD 271	2010	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.10	2.90	Early Warning	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review	
	Steward ESD 220	2010	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Watch	
2009		1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition		
LIVINGSTON	Cornell CCSD 426	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	Dwight Common SD 232	2010	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.40	2.65	Early Warning	Dwight Common School District has passed an Education Fund tax increase of \$.50 that will address Fund Balance to Revenue Ratio, Expenditures to Revenue Ratio, and Days Cash on Hand.
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
	Dwight Twp HSD 230	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	The Expenditures to Revenue Ratio has dropped from a 4.00 in 2008-09 to 3.00 in 2009-10 due to ongoing building project (roof replacement) that is being paid from o & M funds.
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Flanagan-Cornell Dist 74	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
	Odell CCSD 435	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Pontiac CCSD 429	2010	0.35	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	2.75	Early Warning	
		2009	0.35	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.40	2.30	Watch	
	Pontiac Twp HSD 90	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Prairie Central CUSD 8	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
LIVINGSTON	Rooks Creek CCSD 425	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Saunemin CCSD 438	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Tri Point CUSD 6-J	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
	Woodland CUSD 5	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
LOGAN	Chester-East Lincoln CCSD 61	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	In spite of tough economic times and late payments from the State of Illinois, Chester-East Lincoln CCSD #61 is still a financially viable School District.
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Hartsburg Emden CUSD 21	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Lincoln CHSD 404	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	The District has taken the necessary steps to ensure a balanced budget for the 2010 - 2011 school year.
		2009	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.20	Review	
	Lincoln ESD 27	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
	Mt Pulaski CUSD 23	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
	New Holland-Middletown Ed 88	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	West Lincoln-Broadwell ESD 92	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
MACON	Argenta-Oreana CUSD 1	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning	
	Decatur SD 61	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
	Maroa Forsyth CUSD 2	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
MACON	Meridian CUSD 15	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Mt Zion CUSD 3	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	Sangamon Valley CUSD 9	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review	Sangamon Valley continues to make every effort to be fiscally responsible. The State of Illinois failed to pay their obligations to the School District prior to the end of this fiscal year. The State of Illinois is already far behind in making payments to the school district for the current fiscal year.
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
	Warrensburg-Latham CUSD 11	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review	The lack of categorical payments from the State of Illinois has caused the District a financial hardship and has required the District to reduce needed staff.
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review	
MACOUPIN	Bunker Hill CUSD 8	2010	0.70	1.40	0.10	0.40	0.40	3.00	Early Warning	
		2009	0.35	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.40	2.30	Watch	
	Carlinville CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	Gillespie CUSD 7	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
	Girard CUSD 3	2010	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.20	2.45	Watch	
		2009	0.70	0.70	0.10	0.40	0.20	2.10	Watch	
	Mount Olive CUSD 5	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review	
Northwestern CUSD 2	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition		
	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition		

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
MACOUPIN	Southwestern CUSD 9	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning	The financial crisis throughout the State of Illinois has severely impacted the Southwestern School District the past few years. At the conclusion of the 2010 fiscal year, the district was owed nearly a million dollars from the State of Illinois. The shortfall of money from the State of Illinois caused the District to reduce staff, programs and expenses at historic levels for the 2011 fiscal year. The District is optimistic the income tax increase will reduce delayed and/or eliminated payments by the State of Illinois. While we remain optimistic the financial situation will improve, the district is striving to provide the best education for the students while maintaining fiscal responsibility.
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning	
	Staunton CUSD 6	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Viriden CUSD 4	2010	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
MADISON	Alton CUSD 11	2010	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.55	Watch	Alton CUSD #11 recognizes that a profile score is dependent on the timing of various payments. As a result, the District does not put undue weight on this score. However, it should be noted that if all state payments were received by end of the fiscal year, then the District's score would be in the second highest category - Financial Review.
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.55	Watch	
	Bethalto CUSD 8	2010	1.05	0.70	0.20	0.40	0.30	2.65	Early Warning	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
	Collinsville CUSD 10	2010	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	
	East Alton SD 13	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning	
	East Alton-Wood River CHSD 14	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	Edwardsville CUSD 7	2010	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.45	Watch	
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.10	2.35	Watch	
	Granite City CUSD 9	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
MADISON	Highland CUSD 5	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review	
		2009	0.70	1.40	0.10	0.40	0.20	2.80	Early Warning	
	Madison CUSD 12	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.00	Early Warning	
	Roxana CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
	Triad CUSD 2	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning	
	Venice CUSD 3	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
Wood River-Hartford ESD 15	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition		
	2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review		
MARION	Central City SD 133	2010	1.40	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review	
	Centralia HSD 200	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review	
	Centralia SD 135	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	Iuka CCSD 7	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review	
	Kell Cons SD 2	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning	
	Odin CHSD 700	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Odin SD 122	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	0.35	0.30	0.40	0.40	2.85	Early Warning	
	Patoka CUSD 100	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
Raccoon Cons SD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition		
	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition		

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
MARION	Salem CHSD 600	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	Page 3 of the Financial Profile information shows that the excess/deficiency for Results of Operations was \$170,342. At the end of the fiscal year (FY10), the State still owed Sandoval C.U.S.D. #501 \$373,500 for FY10.
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
	Salem SD 111	2010	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review	
	Sandoval CUSD 501	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning	
		2009	1.05	0.70	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.55	Watch	
	Selmaville CCSD 10	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
	South Central CUD 401	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
MARSHALL	Henry-Senachwine CUSD 5	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Midland CUSD 7	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
2009		1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition		
MASON	Havana CUSD 126	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Illini Central CUSD 189	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	Midwest Central CUSD 191	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review	
2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review			
MASSAC	Joppa-Maple Grove UD 38	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Massac UD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
MCDONOUGH	Bushnell Prairie City CUSD 170	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
MCDONOUGH	Macomb CUSD 185	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	West Prairie CUSD 103	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
MCHENRY	Alden Hebron SD 19	2010	0.70	1.40	0.30	0.30	0.40	3.10	Review	Alden Hebron School District #19 has been dedicated to fiscal responsibility. The District has faced many of the same financial problems as other Illinois public schools. Many difficult budget cuts were made in-order to have a balanced budget while maintaining all programs. These decisions have positively impacted the 2011 Financial Profile.
		2009	0.70	0.35	0.30	0.30	0.40	2.05	Watch	
	Cary CCSD 26	2010	0.35	1.05	0.20	0.20	0.30	2.10	Watch	Although the District is listed as "Watch" for FY2010, the District has taken several steps toward financial recovery. The budget for FY2011 represents a turning point for the District. A point at which a new Board of Education and new Administrative staff worked to balance the budget and developed a five year plan toward financial recovery. The balanced budget came at a cost of \$6.6M dollars that was realized in the elimination of student programs and services that could not be sustained. On November 2, 2010 voters approved the issuance of \$15M in Working Cash Bonds for the District. This influx of cash will pay off the District's outstanding Tax Anticipation Warrants and restore fund balances to positive levels. The District anticipates its removal from the watch list due to its improved financial condition.
		2009	0.35	0.70	0.10	0.30	0.30	1.75	Watch	
		2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	CHSD 155	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
	Cons SD 158	2009	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
		2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.55	Recognition	
	Crystal Lake CCSD 47	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	Due to declining State revenue, the District is currently spending more than it is receiving. The Board and Teacher's union, along with other employee groups, have worked to adjust future spending to accommodate the decline in revenue. The District is on track to have a balanced budget by 2012/2013. Until this time, the District will use its reserves to fund projected deficits without compromising its fund balance policy and preserving its educational programs.
2009		1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition		
Fox River Grove Cons SD 3	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition		
	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition		

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
MCHENRY	Fox River Grove Cons SD 3	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Harrison SD 36	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Harvard CUSD 50	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review	
	Johnsburg CUSD 12	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
	Marengo CHSD 154	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Marengo-Union E Cons D 165	2010	1.05	1.05	0.30	0.30	0.40	3.10	Review	
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.30	0.30	0.40	2.75	Early Warning	
	McHenry CCSD 15	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	McHenry CHSD 156	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
	Nippersink SD 2	2010	1.05	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Prairie Grove CSD 46	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Richmond-Burton CHSD 157	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition		
Riley CCSD 18	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	Total Sum of Fund Balances includes early taxes received in FY2010 for FY2011 (\$1,583,513). Tax Revenue levied for school year 2010-2011.	
	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition		
Woodstock CUSD 200	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition		
	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review		
MCLEAN	Bloomington SD 87	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Heyworth CUSD 4	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
MCLEAN	Heyworth CUSD 4	2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	I take acceptance to the profile score in this economic situation. I realize it is no fault of ISBE, but public school districts were grossly affected by the lack of support financially from the state in FY 11. If our district had received categorical grants in a timely manner or even during the fiscal year, our score would have been very near the maximum of 4.0. I believe ISBE needs to re-evaluate these scores as long as the state is not able to make payments to districts on time. We are being rated lower due to circumstances we can not control.
	LeRoy CUSD 2	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	
	Lexington CUSD 7	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	McLean County USD 5	2010	1.05	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.00	Early Warning	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review	
	Olympia CUSD 16	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	Ridgeview CUSD 19	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	
	2009	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review		
	Tri Valley CUSD 3	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
MENARD	Athens CUSD 213	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
	Greenview CUSD 200	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Porta CUSD 202	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
MERCER	Aledo CUSD 201	2009	1.05	0.70	0.20	0.40	0.40	2.75	Early Warning	
	Mercer County School District 404	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
MERCER	Westmer CUSD 203	2009	1.05	0.70	0.20	0.40	0.40	2.75	Early Warning	
MONROE	Columbia CUSD 4	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
	Valmeyer CUSD 3	2010	1.40	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Waterloo CUSD 5	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review	The overall financial impact by the lack and lateness of state payments to our budget has been destructive. In addition, the District's low long-term debt score is a result of bonding for our high school construction and junior high school renovation.
MONTGOME RY	Hillsboro CUSD 3	2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review	
		2010	1.05	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.10	Review	
	Litchfield CUSD 12	2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning	
		2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Nokomis CUSD 22	2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	Panhandle CUSD 2	2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning	
		2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
MORGAN	Franklin CUSD 1	2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Jacksonville SD 117	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Meredosia- Chambersburg CUSD 11	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	Triopia CUSD 27	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
Waverly CUSD 6	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition		
	2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review		
MOULTRIE	Lovington CUSD 303	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
MOULTRIE	Okaw Valley CUSD 302	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Sullivan CUSD 300	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
OGLE	Byron CUSD 226	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Creston CCSD 161	2010	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	0.35	0.70	0.10	0.40	0.40	1.95	Watch	
	Eswood CCSD 269	2010	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Watch	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	Forrestville Valley CUSD 221	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
	Kings Cons SD 144	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Meridian CUSD 223	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
	Oregon CUSD 220	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Polo CUSD 222	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Rochelle CCSD 231	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
	Rochelle Twp HSD 212	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
PEORIA	Bartonville SD 66	2010	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.10	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
	Brimfield CUSD 309	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Dunlap CUSD 323	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
PEORIA	Elmwood CUSD 322	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	Elmwood CUSD 322 continues to be fiscally conservative making sound financial decisions for the betterment of our students. We continually attain the highest financial rating, and are proud of the fact that we are able to offer a quality education to our students. We have won the Bright Star and Bright A+ awards, indicating our students are receiving "a lot of bang for the buck", by being high achieving yet having a relatively low expenditure per pupil.
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	Farmington Central CUSD 265	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
	Hollis Cons SD 328	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Il Valley Central USD 321	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Illini Bluffs CUSD 327	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	Limestone CHSD 310	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Limestone Walters CCSD 316	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Monroe SD 70	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	Monroe's Board of Education continues to monitor its finances and serve as good stewards of Monroe's funds. It is pleased with another profile score of 4.
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Norwood ESD 63	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	Oak Grove SD 68	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Peoria Heights CUSD 325	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
	Peoria SD 150	2010	1.40	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.10	0.20	3.05	Early Warning	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>	
PEORIA	Pleasant Hill SD 69	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition		
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition		
	Pleasant Valley SD 62	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition		
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition		
	Princeville CUSD 326	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition		
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review		
PERRY	CCSD 204	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review		
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review		
	Duquoin CUSD 300	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	The District has been able to achieve Financial Recognition status through conservative budgeting practices and through the cooperation of all school stakeholders. As well, this has been achieved even though the state has been late in disbursing most categorical aid.	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review		
	Pinckneyville CHSD 101	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition		
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition		
	Pinckneyville SD 50	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition		
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition		
	Tamaroa School Dist 5	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review		
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review		
	PIATT	Atwood Hammond CUSD 39	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60		Recognition
			2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25		Review
Bement CUSD 5		2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning		
		2009	0.35	0.35	0.10	0.40	0.40	1.60	Watch		
Cerro Gordo CUSD 100		2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review		
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning		
Deland-Weldon CUSD 57		2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review		
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review		

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
PIATT	Monticello CUSD 25	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	The FY 2010 drop in status from Financial Recognition to Financial Review is due to a falloff in Revenues from Corporate Personal Property Replacement Taxes and the inability of the State of Illinois to pay its bills. Monticello CUSD #25 receives 45% of its total revenues from CPPRT and saw a reduction in receipts from this fund of \$1,147,051 compared to FY2009. Our District also ended FY2010 with the State of Illinois owing us \$681,669.
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
PIKE	Griggsville-Perry CUSD 4	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
	Pikeland CUSD 10	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
	Pleasant Hill CUSD 3	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning	
Western CUSD 12	2010	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.40	2.65	Early Warning		
	2009	0.70	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review		
POPE	Pope Co CUD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
PULASKI	Century CUSD 100	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review	
	Meridian CUSD 101	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning	
PUTNAM	Putnam County CUSD 535	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	0.70	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.30	Review	
RANDOLPH	Chester CUSD 139	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
	Chester N HSD 122	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Coulterville USD 1	2010	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	2.75	Early Warning	Fund balances are not accurate due to the District not reviewing all state FY10 funds in FY10.
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
	Prairie Du Rocher CCSD 134	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
RANDOLPH	Prairie Du Rocher CCSD 134	2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	Red Bud CUSD 132	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Sparta CUSD 140	2010	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.30	2.55	Watch	
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.30	2.55	Watch	
	Steeleville CUSD 138	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
2009		1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition		
RICHLAND	East Richland CUSD 1	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning	
	West Richland CUSD 2	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
ROCK ISLAND	Carbon Cliff-Barstow SD 36	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
	East Moline SD 37	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
	Hampton SD 29	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Moline USD 40	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Riverdale CUSD 100	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review	
	Rock Island SD 41	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
	Rockridge CUSD 300	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
Sherrard CUSD 200	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	In March, 2010 the District cut 1.2 million dollars from its operating budget. These cuts included staffing, which are permanent cuts.	
	2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review		
	Silvis SD 34	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
ROCK ISLAND	Silvis SD 34	2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	United Twp HSD 30	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
SAINT CLAIR	Belle Valley SD 119	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Belleville SD 118	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning	
	Belleville Twp HSD 201	2010	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.20	2.45	Watch	
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.55	Watch	
	Brooklyn UD 188	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
	Cahokia CUSD 187	2010	0.35	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.30	2.20	Watch	
		2009	0.35	1.40	0.10	0.40	0.20	2.45	Watch	
	Central SD 104	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
	Dupo CUSD 196	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning	
	East St Louis SD 189	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review	
	Freeburg CCSD 70	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Freeburg CHSD 77	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
Grant CCSD 110	2010	1.40	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review		
	2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning		
Harmony Emge SD 175	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review		
	2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review		
High Mount SD 116	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning		
	2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning		
Lebanon CUSD 9	2010	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.30	2.55	Watch		

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
SAINT CLAI P	Lebanon CUSD 9	2009	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.30	2.55	Watch	
	Marissa CUSD 40	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	The State of Illinois has not paid all of its obligation during FY 10. Therefore, the total amount of receipts are not accurately reflected at this time.
		2009	0.70	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning	
	Mascoutah CUD 19	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
	Millstadt CCSD 160	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	
	New Athens CUSD 60	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	O Fallon CCSD 90	2010	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.10	2.35	Watch	If the State of Illinois had met its categorical funding obligation, the District's designation score would have been 2.70 which is Early Financial Warning instead of Financial Watch.
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning	
	O Fallon Twp HSD 203	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning	
	Pontiac-W Holliday SD 105	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Shiloh Village SD 85	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
	Signal Hill SD 181	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
	Smithton CCSD 130	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	St Libory Cons SD 30	2010	1.05	0.70	0.20	0.40	0.40	2.75	Early Warning	
		2009	1.40	0.35	0.30	0.40	0.40	2.85	Early Warning	
	Whiteside SD 115	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	
	Wolf Branch SD 113	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
SALINE	Carrier Mills-Stonefort CUSD 2	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	The financial profile score reflects contiued efforts by the district to maintain a balanced budget.
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	Eldorado CUSD 4	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review	
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.20	2.45	Watch	
	Galatia CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
Harrisburg CUSD 3	2010	0.70	1.40	0.20	0.30	0.30	2.90	Early Warning		
	2009	0.70	1.40	0.10	0.40	0.20	2.80	Early Warning		
SANGAMON	Auburn CUSD 10	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	Ball Chatham CUSD 5	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.10	Review	
	New Berlin CUSD 16	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
	Pawnee CUSD 11	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Pleasant Plains CUSD 8	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Riverton CUSD 14	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
	Rochester CUSD 3A	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review	
	Springfield SD 186	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Tri City CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	Williamsville CUSD 15	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
SCHUYLER	Schuyler-Industry CUSD 5	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
SCHUYLER	Schuyler-Industry CUSD 5	2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
SCOTT	Scott-Morgan CUSD 2	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Winchester CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
SHELBY	Central A & M CUD 21	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.05	0.70	0.20	0.40	0.40	2.75	Early Warning	
	Cowden-Herrick CUSD 3A	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Shelbyville CUSD 4	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Stewardson-Strasburg CUD 5A	2010	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.10	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
Windsor CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	State payments were not received on time.	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65		Recognition
	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition		
STARK	Bradford CUSD 1	2010	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.20	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Stark County CUSD 100	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
STEPHENS ON	Dakota CUSD 201	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
	Freeport SD 145	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.70	Recognition	
	Lena Winslow CUSD 202	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
	Orangeville CUSD 203	2010	0.70	1.40	0.10	0.40	0.40	3.00	Early Warning	
		2009	0.35	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.40	2.30	Watch	
	Pearl City CUSD 200	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
TAZEWELL	Central SD 51	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	This score reflects great testimony to our accounts record keeping, financial decision making progress and an efficient approach to school budgeting especially by the bookkeeper. Great accountability by all.
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
	Creve Coeur SD 76	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Deer Creek-Mackinaw CUSD 701	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
	Delavan CUSD 703	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	District 50 Schools	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	East Peoria CHSD 309	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
	East Peoria SD 86	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
	Morton CUSD 709	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	N Pekin & Marquette Hght SD 102	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Pekin CSD 303	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Pekin PSD 108	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
	Rankin CSD 98	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
2009		1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition		
Robein SD 85	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition		
	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition		
South Pekin SD 137	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review		

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
TAZEWELL	South Pekin SD 137	2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
	Spring Lake CCSD 606	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	Tremont CUSD 702	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.10	2.90	Early Warning	
	Washington CHSD 308	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Washington SD 52	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
	UNION	Anna CCSD 37	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review
2009			1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review	
Anna Jonesboro CHSD 81		2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
Cobden SUD 17		2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
Dongola USD 66		2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	
Jonesboro CCSD 43		2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review	Jonesboro District 43 is gradually improving its financial condition. Unfortunately, this is difficult to do when the State remains six-months or more behind in paying owed funds to the school district.
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning	
VERMILION	Lick Creek CCSD 16	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Shawnee CUSD 84	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
ARMSTRONG	Armstrong Twp HSD 225	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
	Armstrong-Ellis Cons SD 61	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
Bismarck Henning CUSD	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning		

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>	
VERMILION	Bismarck Henning CUSD	2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review		
	Catlin CUSD 5	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition		
			2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	Danville CCSD 118	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition		
			2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	Georgetown-Ridge Farm CUD 4	2010	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	2.65	Early Warning		
			2009	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	2.65	Early Warning	
	Hoopeston Area CUSD 11	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition		
			2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Jamaica CUSD 12	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition		
			2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	Oakwood CUSD 76	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	Unit 76 continues to strengthen its financial rating through management of its expenditures. Our transportation transaction persists in giving a less-than-realistically healthy picture of our over financial health.	
			2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45		Review
		Potomac CUSD 10	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review	
			2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
		Rossville-Alvin CUSD 7	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review	
			2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
		Westville CUSD 2	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
			2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
	WABASH	Allendale CCSD 17	2010	1.40	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition		
Wabash CUSD 348		2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review		
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review		
WARREN	Monmouth-Roseville CUSD 238	2010	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.40	2.65	Early Warning	At June 30, 2010, the District was owed \$1,020,712.40 in unpaid bills by the State of Illinois. This involuntary funding of the State's deficit led to a dramatically worsened Financial Profile score this year.	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review		
	United CUSD 304	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review		

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
WARREN	United CUSD 304	2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
WASHINGTON	Ashley CCSD 15	2010	0.70	1.05	0.10	0.40	0.40	2.65	Early Warning	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
	Hoyleton Cons SD 29	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Irvington CCSD 11	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
	Nashville CCSD 49	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review	
	Nashville CHSD 99	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Oakdale CCSD 1	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	West Washington Co CUD 10	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
WAYNE	Fairfield Comm H S Dist 225	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	The District's financial picture is improving due to necessary cuts that have been made in the past year.
		2009	0.70	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning	
	Fairfield PSD 112	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Geff CCSD 14	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Jasper CCSD 17	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
	New Hope CCSD 6	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	North Wayne CUSD 200	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
Wayne City CUSD 100	2010	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	2.75	Early Warning		
	2009	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	2.75	Early Warning		

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
WHITE	Carmi-White County CUSD 5	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	Grayville CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Norris City-Omaha-Enfield CUSD 3	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	
2009		1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning		
WHITESIDE	East Coloma SD 12	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
	Erie CUSD 1	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Montmorency CCSD 145	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Morrison CUSD 6	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	The District sold Health Life Safety and working cash bonds to complete necessary facility repairs and replace some out-dated equipment. This increased the revenue but most expenses will be in the next fiscal year.
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
	Prophetstown-Lyndon-Tampico CUSD3	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	River Bend CUSD 2	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	Riverdale SD 14	2010	1.40	0.70	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.00	Early Warning	Riverdale's declining enrollment and limited EAV, as well as late state payments, have contributed to the current financial status of the district.
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
	Rock Falls ESD 13	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
Rock Falls Twp HSD 301	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	The slight drop in the District's Financial Profile Score (i.e., from 4.00 to 3.65) is due to a lack of revenue received from the Illinois State Board of Education.	
	2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition		
	Sterling CUSD 5	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
WHITESIDE	Sterling CUSD 5	2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
WILL	Beecher CUSD 200U	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
	Chaney-Monge SD 88	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.20	Review	
	Channahon SD 17	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Crete Monee CUSD 201U	2010	1.05	0.70	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.45	Watch	CM201U has implemented significant cost reductions with the 2011 fiscal year and has planned for further restructuring if needed to cope with the significant reductions in state funding the district has realized. CM201U is closely monitoring its financial condition and anticipates significantly improved financial profile scores in future years.
		2009	0.70	0.70	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.10	Watch	
	Elwood CCSD 203	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
	Fairmont SD 89	2010	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.30	2.65	Early Warning	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	
	Frankfort CCSD 157C	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	The District is proud of its fiscal responsibility, stability and long range planning to successfully manage growth. We anticipate a future decline in our score based on a lack of adequate and timely state funding and the prorations of mandated categorical payments.
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review	
	Homer CCSD 33C	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Joliet PSD 86	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.10	3.15	Review	
	Joliet Twp HSD 204	2010	1.40	0.35	0.30	0.40	0.30	2.75	Early Warning	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
	Laraway CCSD 70C	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Lincoln Way CHSD 210	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
WILL	Lockport SD 91	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
	Lockport Twp HSD 205	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	Manhattan SD 114	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
	Mokena SD 159	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	New Lenox SD 122	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
	Peotone CUSD 207U	2010	1.05	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.30	2.75	Early Warning	The District keeps property tax rates as low as possible.
		2009	1.05	0.35	0.20	0.40	0.30	2.30	Watch	
	Plainfield SD 202	2010	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.45	Watch	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning	
	Reed Custer CUSD 255U	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Richland GSD 88A	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	While the Board of Education is very prudent in its use of taxpayer dollars in the running of the District it is concerned about the long term debt. In order to deal with the cash flow issues that face the District in the spring of each year the Board has increased the debt by the issuing of Working Cash Bonds to avoid TAWs each year. In going on three years we have avoided TAWs and the cost of interest and fees associated with the issuing of TAWs. As a result of this financial decision we do not have an "ideal" score associated with the amount of long-term debt margin remaining.
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	
	Rockdale SD 84	2010	1.40	0.70	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.20	Review	Rockdale receives a significant amount of CPPRT Revenue and for FY 09, FY10, and FY11 this revenue source decreased cumulative by \$680,744.27. The GSA formula does not have a safety net to match or stabilize this revenue shortfall; therefore Rockdale has to manage a 2.7 million dollar budget with less revenue. Until CPPRT revenues rise to levels that were in place for FY2008 our future budgets will be severely impacted. We will continue to manage our budget, to stabilize the impact that this revenue shortfall has created, with targeted expenditure controls.
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
WILL	Summit Hill SD 161	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
	Taft SD 90	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Troy CCSD 30C	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
	Union SD 81	2010	1.05	0.35	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.10	Watch	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.25	Review	
	Valley View CUSD 365U	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.45	Review	
	Will County SD 92	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Wilmington CUSD 209U	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review	
WILLIAMSON	Carterville CUSD 5	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.35	Review	
	Crab Orchard CUSD 3	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.20	3.25	Review	
	Herrin CUSD 4	2010	1.40	0.35	0.30	0.40	0.10	2.55	Watch	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.20	2.90	Early Warning	
	Johnston City CUSD 1	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.10	2.80	Early Warning	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	Marion CUSD 2	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review	
		2009	0.70	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	2.75	Early Warning	
WINNEBAGO	County of Winnebago SD 320	2010	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	The District has been able to maintain a profile designation of Recognition even though it is not receiving the State mandated categorical revenues. Based on current State budget reductions and decreasing State revenues, it becomes very difficult to maintain this status. The District continues to make budget cuts to pay for the shortfalls. The necessary positions will be restored if and when the District's revenues are restored.
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.10	3.60	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
WINNEBAG C	Durand CUSD 322	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Harlem UD 122	2010	1.05	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.10	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.20	Review	
	Hononegah CHD 207	2010	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.30	3.35	Review	
	Kinnikinnick CCSD 131	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
	Pecatonica CUSD 321	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Prairie Hill CCSD 133	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.35	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
	Rockford SD 205	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
	Rockton SD 140	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
	Shirland CCSD 134	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Winnebago CUSD 323	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.45	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.30	3.80	Recognition	
WOODFORD	County of Woodford School	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	El Paso-Gridley CUSD 11	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.30	3.90	Recognition	
	Eureka CUD 140	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Fieldcrest CUSD 6	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
		2009	1.05	1.40	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.45	Review	
	Germantown Hills SD 69	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.20	3.80	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.20	3.70	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)

<u>County</u>	<u>District Name</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>FBRR</u>	<u>EXRV</u>	<u>DCOH</u>	<u>STB</u>	<u>LTD</u>	<u>Total Score</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>District Comments</u>
WOODFORD	Lowpoint-Washburn CUSD 21	2010	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.90	Recognition	
	Metamora CCSD 1	2010	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.10	3.70	Recognition	
	Riverview CCSD 2	2010	1.40	1.05	0.40	0.40	0.40	3.65	Recognition	
		2009	1.40	1.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	4.00	Recognition	
	Roanoke Benson CUSD 60	2010	1.05	1.05	0.20	0.40	0.40	3.10	Review	
		2009	1.40	1.05	0.30	0.40	0.40	3.55	Recognition	

Note: Because Bluford CCSD 114 and Bellwodd SD88 did not submit an FY10 AFR they are in the Financial Watch category. Eswood CCSD 269 and Steward ESD 220 need to resubmit an FY10 AFR; therefore, they are in the Financial Watch category.

(FBRR - Fund Balance/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, EXRV - Expenditures/Revenue Ratio - Weighting of 35%, DCOH - Days Cash on Hand - Weighting of 10%, STB - Short-Term Borrowing - Weighting of 10%, and LTD - Long-Term Debt - Weighting of 10%)