

# Science Curricular Resource Adoption Guide

## Purpose

This guide provides a replicable, district-agnostic process for reviewing, piloting, and adopting high-quality science instructional materials aligned to NGSS. It is designed to support districts in making transparent, evidence-based decisions grounded in classroom practice, teacher voice, and student learning.

This process intentionally mirrors strong adoption practices commonly used in ELA and Math while honoring the unique instructional shifts required for science (phenomena-based learning, sense-making, and investigation).

## Phase 1: Establish Vision, Beliefs, and Non-Negotiables

Before reviewing materials, the district should clearly articulate what it believes about science teaching and learning.

### Recommended Actions

- Develop or reaffirm a **Science Vision & Beliefs statement**
- Identify **non-negotiables** for instruction (e.g., phenomena-based, inquiry-driven, student discourse)
- Align beliefs to:
  - NGSS Science & Engineering Practices
  - Crosscutting Concepts
  - Disciplinary Core Ideas

### Sample Guiding Questions

- What should science *look and sound like* in classrooms?
- How much instructional time should be devoted to investigation vs. reading?
- What level of teacher support and structure is necessary for fidelity?

## Phase 2: Pre-Pilot Exploration

This phase ensures that only high-quality, standards-aligned resources move forward to piloting.

### 2.1 Initial Review Using NGSS Alignment Tools and EdReports

**Purpose:** Narrow the field of potential resources using objective, third-party evidence.

#### Key Actions

- Identify candidate programs (comprehensive or modular)
- Review each resource using:
  - NGSS alignment tools (e.g., EQUIP Rubric for Science)
  - EdReports (when available)
- Focus on *instructional design*, not marketing claims

#### Look-Fors

- Clear anchoring phenomena driving units
- Coherent learning progressions across grade levels
- Integration of SEPs, CCCs, and DCIs
- Built-in opportunities for sense-making and discourse
- Assessment systems aligned to three-dimensional learning

#### Suggested Output

- Summary comparison chart
- Color-coded ratings (advance / consider / remove)

### 2.2 Feedback from External Districts Using Candidate Resources

**Purpose:** Learn from real-world implementation experiences.

#### Key Actions

- Identify districts currently using each candidate resource
- Conduct structured interviews or surveys with:
  - Teachers
  - Curriculum leaders
  - Instructional coaches

#### Guiding Questions

- What supports were necessary in year one?
- What challenges emerged with fidelity?
- How did student engagement and discourse change?
- What professional learning was most critical?

## Suggested Output

- External feedback summary
- Noted patterns of success and caution

## 2.3 Teacher Input from Science PLC Discussions

**Purpose:** Ground decisions in teacher expertise and classroom realities.

### Key Actions

- Provide teachers with access to sample materials
- Facilitate structured PLC discussions focused on instruction
- Use a common discussion protocol or rubric

### PLC Focus Areas

- Ease of planning and lesson preparation
- Clarity of teacher guidance
- Student ownership of learning
- Quality of investigations and questioning
- Differentiation and accessibility

### Suggested Output

- PLC notes and consensus themes
- Identified strengths and concerns per resource

## 2.4 Sample Unit and Lesson Reviews

**Purpose:** Examine how the curriculum performs at the lesson level.

### Key Actions

- Select a **common unit or lesson** across grade bands
- Review materials using a Science Lesson Review Tool

### Look-Fors

- Phenomenon-driven lesson launch
- Student questioning and modeling opportunities
- Alignment between activities and learning goals
- Embedded formative assessment
- Coherence across lessons within a unit

### Suggested Output

- Lesson/unit review rubric scores

- Narrative feedback from reviewers

## Phase 3: Pilot Design and Implementation

This phase is designed to test how well each resource functions **in real classrooms, with real students, under real conditions**. The goal is not perfection, but to gather high-quality evidence about instructional impact, usability, and alignment to the district's science vision.

### 3.1 Resource Selection for Pilot

**Purpose:** Ensure a manageable, meaningful pilot that allows for comparison without overwhelming staff.

#### Key Actions

- Select **2–3 high-quality resources** that advanced from Phase 2
- Ensure each resource:
  - Is NGSS-aligned
  - Represents different instructional approaches (e.g., comprehensive vs. modular)
  - Can be reasonably supported during the pilot window

#### Design Considerations

- Avoid piloting too many resources at once
- Ensure equity of access to materials and digital platforms
- Confirm vendor support availability during the pilot

### 3.2 Pilot Scope and Structure

**Purpose:** Create consistency across classrooms while respecting grade-band differences.

#### Key Actions

- Define the **pilot window** (typically 6–12 weeks)
- Identify **common pilot units** by grade band
- Ensure all pilot teachers teach the *same unit* for each resource

#### Recommended Structure

- K–2: One phenomena-based unit focused on observation, questioning, and modeling
- 3–5: One full unit emphasizing investigations, data analysis, and explanation
- 6–8: One unit integrating multiple science and engineering practices

#### Why This Matters

- Supports meaningful comparison
- Reduces variability caused by unit selection
- Allows PLCs to engage in shared reflection

### 3.3 Pilot Norms and Expectations

**Purpose:** Protect the integrity of the pilot and the validity of the data collected.

#### Pilot Norms

1. Have an open mind
2. Ask for help and clarification
3. Use the resource with fidelity — do not modify lessons or skip components
4. Follow pacing and time recommendations as closely as possible
5. Suspend use of supplemental science materials during the pilot

#### Key Message to Teachers

“We are evaluating the resource — not individual teaching performance.”

### 3.4 Professional Learning and Teacher Support

**Purpose:** Ensure teachers are prepared to implement the resource as designed.

#### Key Actions

- Provide an initial **pilot orientation or training session**
- Focus training on:
  - Instructional philosophy and learning progression
  - Unit structure and lesson flow
  - Assessment and discourse strategies
- Schedule **ongoing support** during the pilot

#### Support Structures

- Vendor or consultant coaching sessions
- District instructional coach check-ins
- Shared planning time within PLCs

### 3.5 Collaborative Planning and Observation

**Purpose:** Build collective understanding and reduce isolation during implementation.

#### Key Actions

- Provide substitute coverage or release time for:
  - Collaborative unit planning
  - Peer observations
- Establish a **shared observation protocol** aligned to pilot look-fors

## Observation Focus Areas

- Student engagement with the phenomenon
- Evidence of sense-making and discourse
- Teacher facilitation moves
- Use of investigations and models

## 3.6 Ongoing PLC Check-Ins During the Pilot

**Purpose:** Monitor implementation and surface trends in real time.

### PLC Focus Areas (Rotational)

- Quality of phenomena and anchoring questions
- Student questioning and explanation
- Balance of hands-on investigation and literacy
- Assessment coherence and usability
- Differentiation and accessibility

### Artifacts for PLCs

- Lesson reflections
- Student work samples
- Observation notes

## 3.7 Documentation and Evidence Collection

**Purpose:** Ensure decisions are grounded in evidence, not anecdotes.

### Evidence to Collect

- Teacher reflection logs
- PLC notes and summaries
- Observation data
- Student engagement surveys
- Sample assessments and student work

### Documentation Guidance

- Focus on trends, not isolated experiences
- Capture both strengths and challenges
- Note supports required for successful implementation

## **Phase 4: Data Collection and Feedback**

### **Data Sources**

- Teacher pilot surveys
- Student engagement surveys
- PLC reflections
- Observation notes
- Assessment artifacts

### **Key Questions**

- Did the resource support sense-making?
- Were teachers able to implement with fidelity?
- Did students engage in authentic scientific practices?

## **Phase 5: Recommendation and Adoption**

- SIP or Curriculum Team synthesizes findings
- Develops recommendation grounded in evidence
- Presents to Curriculum Committee / Board
- Finalizes adoption, training, and rollout plan

## **Key Design Principles for Any District**

- Start with beliefs, not products
- Protect fidelity during pilots
- Center teacher voice and student experience
- Use multiple data sources
- Plan for implementation, not just adoption

*This guide is intended to be adapted to district context while maintaining the integrity of a high-quality science adoption process.*