

ISBE Site-Based Expenditure Reporting Supplementary Resource

Centralized Expenditure Allocation Methodologies

Originally published spring 2019

Updated June 2023

Guidance Focus: Centralized Expenditure Allocation Methodologies for Site-Based Expenditure Reporting

Objectives:

- Understand how to:
 - Identify centralized expenditures for reporting purposes.
 - Allocate centralized expenditures to sites.
- Illustrate centralized expenditure allocation methodologies with example actual district data.
- Prepare to allocate your own centralized expenditures.





Guidance Focus: District-Centralized Per-Pupil Expenditures

			Level Per-F openditure	•	District Centralized Per-Pupil Expenditures			Total Pe	r-Pupil Expe	nditures		
	Student FTE	State and			State and				State and			Total
Sites	enrollment	Federal	Local	Subtota	Federal	Local	Subtotal	Federal	Local	Total	Exclusions	Expenditures
PK Ctr	50	\$500	\$8,020	\$8,520	\$660	\$3,514	\$4,175	\$1,160	\$11,534	\$12,695		
ES1	300	\$344	\$5,148	\$5,493	\$529	\$2,781	\$3,310	\$874	\$7,929	\$8,803		
ES2	250	\$231	\$5,445	\$5,67	\$457	\$3,095	\$3,552	\$688	\$8,540	\$9,229		
MS1	250	\$320	\$5,356	\$5,67	\$361	\$3,499	\$3,861	\$681	\$8,855	\$9,536		
HS1	320	\$458	\$6,910	\$7,368	\$371	\$2,919	\$3,290	\$829	\$9,829	\$10,658		
LEA	1,170	\$353	\$5,861	\$6,213	\$440	\$3,071	\$3,511	\$793	\$8,931	\$9,724	\$2,330,361	\$13,707,753
	C		A / (C		B/C			(A+B)/ C			D


This level of reporting detail is the only <u>mandated</u> collection. Visualizations are limited to these data and contextual data. LEAs may also optionally submit narratives and notation of allocation methodologies.





Formula: Calculating Total Per-Pupil Expenditures for Each School

Per-pupil expenditures reported for each school shall be composed of:



Importantly, per ESSA, Sections A and B must be disaggregated by source of funds – federal vs. state/local (with state and local combined).





Identifying Centralized Expenditures





3 Basic Steps to Allocating Centralized Expenditures

1. Identify centralized expenditures.

2. Review and select from possible allocation methodologies.

3. Apply the methodology to allocate the centralized expenditures to the site.





Step 1: Identify Centralized Expenditures

A Ensure all site-level expenditures are allocated appropriately.

Expenditures that meet ESSA criteria as "site level" must be allocated to the particular site(s) they support, even if they are accounted for on central books. These expenditures are included in section A of the reporting table, "site-level expenditures." (See slides 5-6.) Designated site-level expenditures include:

- Actual expenditures associated with personnel assigned exclusively to a particular site
- Actual non-personnel expenditures specifically attributable to a particular site

Example:

Artichoke School District pays all of its bilingual teachers out of a central office account for bilingual education. However, these teachers are core classroom teachers assigned to specific classrooms at specific schools.

Actual expenditures associated with these positions must be allocated to the specific schools they support. Their costs cannot be allocated per EL pupil or otherwise across the district.






Centralized expenditures can be assumed to be <u>everything that does NOT qualify as a</u> site-level expenditure.

Q

Contains:

- 1. Actual personnel assigned exclusively to a particular site.
- 2. Actual nonpersonnel expenditures specifically attributable to a particular site.







Common Examples of Centralized Expenditures

These expenditures are *likely* (but not necessarily) to be considered <u>centralized</u>:

- Superintendent's office
- Board services
- Transportation
- Fiscal services
- Operations and maintenance
- Food services





Examples: Deciding Site-Level vs. Centralized Classification

These examples are representative of expenditures that could be considered <u>centralized OR</u> <u>site-level</u>, based on district considerations:

- Use of Title funds
 - How directly does the allocation benefit individual school(s)? Any personnel or non-personnel
 expenditures for a specific site should be counted as site-level expenditures for that school. Otherwise,
 they may be centralized expenditures.
- Positions like instructional specialists, student support services (social workers, school psychologists, speech pathologists, etc.), and custodial staff
 - Are these positions based in schools or deployed from the district?
- Superintendent who also acts as building principal
 - Recommendation: If reasonable, divide what % of the time the employee performs duties solely related to running the school as principal. Allocate the remaining portion of the employee's time as a centralized expenditure.
- Software licenses
 - Are licenses benefiting specific schools or part of a general overall cost of operation? If the licenses are benefiting specific schools, they may make most sense as a site-level expenditure for those schools. If the licenses are part of a general overall cost of operation, they likely make most sense as a centralized expenditure.





Example: Determining if Banana SD's *Pupil Support Services* **Expenditures will be Considered Site-Level or Centralized**

Functions	Site-Level	Central	Total
2120 - Guidance Services	\$ 303,959	\$ -	\$ 303,959
2140 - Psychological Services	\$ -	\$ 293,842	\$ 293,842
2150 - Speech Services	\$ -	\$ 231,760	\$ 231,760
2190 - Other Support Services - Pupils	\$ 42,382	\$ 227,842	\$ 270,224
Grand Total	\$ 346,341	\$ 753,444	\$ 1,099,785

2120 - Guidance Services

 Guidance counselors and supporting materials fully support high school students and must be accounted for at the site level. For Banana SD, these positions are already coded to this site in payroll, and supplies are purchased at the site.

2140 - Psychological Services, 2150 - Speech Services

- In Banana SD, these central-based positions spend different days per week at different sites depending on student need (as prescribed in IEPs).
- Since these positions are not designated for specific sites, Banana SD chooses to consider them to be **centralized**.
- Banana SD can decide how to allocate these centralized expenditures to sites – per pupil, per student with IEP, per prescribed minute, per time at each site, or by another metric.

2190 - Other Support Services

- Within Banana SD, there is one position in this category that specifically supports one site. In payroll, that position is already coded to this site.
- All other dollars in this category are central support positions and materials. Banana SD has discretion over how to allocate these expenditures to sites.





Special Case: Single-Site LEAs

From the **reporting guidance**:

ISBE recognizes that the distinction between centralized expenditures and site-level expenditures may seem arbitrary for single-site LEAs.

Beginning with the FY 2022 report, ISBE modified the IWAS online tool for single-site LEAs to support the automatic classification of certain functions as site-level, district-centralized, or district choice. LEAs that use the tool upload their expenditure information. An IWAS "wizard" reviews the information and makes recommendations about expenditures to exclude or omit, designate as state/local or federally sourced, and allocate as site-level or centralized. These recommendation are based on a crosswalk between the state chart of accounts and the SBER reporting guidelines. Users have the option to reclassify expenditures as needed. This enhancement for single-site districts supports consistency in reporting and therefore increases the quality of the data.





Special Case: LEA-Authorized Charter Schools

r.			Level Per-P xpenditure	200		District Centralized Per-Pupil Expenditures			-Pupil Expe	nditures		
	Student FTE		State and			State and		9	State and			Total
Sites	Enrollment	Federal	Local	Subtotal	Federal	Local	Subtotal	Federal	Local	Total	Exclusions	Expenditures
PK Ctr	50	\$500	\$8,020	\$8,520	\$660	\$3,514	\$4,175	\$1,160	\$11,534	\$12,695		
ES1	300	\$344	\$5,148	\$5,493	\$529	\$2,781	\$3,310	\$874	\$7,929	\$8,803		
Charter ES	250	\$634	\$7,563	\$8,197	\$127	\$986	\$1,113	\$761	\$8,549	\$9,310		
MS1	2	\$320	\$5,356	\$5,676	\$361	\$3,4	\$3,861	\$681	\$8,855	\$9,536		
HS1		\$458	\$6,910	\$7,368	\$371	\$-	*3,290	\$829	\$9,829	\$10,658		
LEA		\$439	\$6,313	\$6,752	\$370	₹,0	72,3 90	\$809	\$8,933	\$9,742	\$2,330,361	\$13,728,071

Site-level expenditures
will include actual
school expenditures and
any charter network
costs allocated to the
site.

District-centralized per-pupil expenditures will be determined by the LEA. In many cases, they likely are LEA costs associated with oversight and support of the charter school.





Special Case: State Commission-Authorized Charter Schools

			Site-I	Level Per-F	Pupil	Site's Ce	ntralized P	er-Pupil	Total Per	-Pupil Expe	nditures		
_			Ex	xpenditure	!S	E	Expenditures						
		Student FTE		State and			State and			State and			Total
	Sites	Enrollment	Federal Local Subtotal F		Federal	Local	Subtotal	Federal Local Total		Total	Exclusions	Expenditures	
	Charter 1	300	\$344	\$5,148	\$5,493	\$216	\$1,925	\$2,141	\$560	\$7,073	\$7,634		
	Charter 2	250	\$634	\$7,563	\$8,197	\$495	\$2,003	\$2,498	\$1,129	\$9,566	\$10,695		
	LEA	550	\$ 5	\$6,246	\$6,722	\$343	\$1,960	\$7 73	\$819	\$8,206	\$9,025	\$1,024,946	\$5,988,820

Site-level
expenditures will
include actual
school
expenditures.

District-centralized per-pupil expenditures will include any charter network costs allocated to the site, the commission authorizer fee, and any costs due to a site acting as an LEA. (See slide 14 – special case: single-site LEAs.)





Special Case: Outplacements

- How an LEA handles its Function 4000 costs Payments to Other Districts and Governmental Units will depend upon how the LEA chooses to report students placed outside the district and their associated costs
- Tuition payments and transfers of funds to other districts and governmental units for services rendered to pupils residing in the paying district are mostly associated with students educated <u>outside</u> the home district.
- These expenditures should be allocated in accordance with the outplacement guidance and the methodology that your district chooses to follow, either by:
 - a) Including all students educated outside the home district at a hypothetical reporting site, or
 - b) Including students educated outside the home district at their assigned "home schools."
- See the supplementary resource on "Students Educated Outside the District" for further guidance (located at isbe.net/site-based).





Step 2: Review and Select from Possible Allocation Methodologies

Allocate centralized expenditures in a way that best reflects how resources are allocated in your district.

- ISBE and the Reporting Guidance are informed by the core belief that districts know their own data best.
- ISBE limits mandates about centralized expenditures; districts are best positioned to make their own centralized expenditure allocation decisions to reflect their resource allocation most accurately and most meaningfully.
- The Appendix of the Reporting Guidance includes suggestions for allocation methodologies by function.





Step 2: Review and Select from Possible Allocation Methodologies

List of common allocation methodologies.

Per Pupil

- To all schools, per pupil, using all students
- To select schools, per pupil, using all students at those select schools
- To all schools, per pupil, using select students
- To select schools, per pupil, using select students
- Other Methodologies (not per pupil)
 - To all or select schools per full-time equivalency (FTE)
 - To all or select schools using FTE time or caseload
 - To all or select schools per square foot
 - To all or select schools per school





Step 2: Review and Select from Possible Allocation Methodologies

Consider how the centralized function benefits various types of schools, students, and staff.

Who do the function and associated expenditures serve?	Suggested if: serves <u>all.</u>	Suggested if: serves <u>some.</u>
Schools	Allocate proportionally to all schools.	Allocate only to the schools served.
Students	Allocate proportionally to all students.	Allocate only to the schools serving those students, based on count of students served.
School Staff	Allocate proportionally to all staff.	Allocate only to schools with the staff served, based on count of staff served.

Example: Centralized special education administration costs serve some students – students with IEPs – and could be allocated to schools serving students with IEPs proportionately, based on count of students with IEPs.





Allocation Methodologies: Two Real LEA Examples

Question: What will be the best way to allocate the salary of the district's bilingual director? Student count per school? Number of bilingual students per school?

Answer: From ISBE's perspective, either of the allocation methodologies that you suggest would be acceptable. When making the decision, consider these questions:

- What allocation methodology best reflects actual service delivery in the district?
- What centralized expenditures are community members or internal district leaders most likely to ask questions about or be interested in? Which allocation methodology would allow the district to best communicate what is happening with these expenditures?
- What allocation methodology allows the numbers to be most meaningful to the district?

Question: Ideally, we could track our centralized internet and telephone expenditures and show how much of the total cost goes to each building. Our bills do not identify the buildings receiving the services, however. Is that going to be an issue for reporting?

Answer: Ideally, your reporting will reflect actual service delivery in a way that is meaningful for the district. ISBE recognizes that accounting may not yet allow for that level of detail, though, and therefore asks districts to report using whatever allocation methodology seems to most accurately represent the service delivery system in a way that the district understands and can affirm if asked about the data.





3 Basic Steps to Allocating Centralized Expenditures



1. Identify centralized expenditures.



2. Review and select from possible allocation methodologies.



3. Apply the methodology to allocate the centralized expenditures to the site.






Centralized Allocations: Real District Example Data





Cauliflower SD Example Data: Centralized Expenditures by Function



- The top six central expenditure functions account for 70% of central expenditures:
 - Plant O&M
 - Transportation
 - Food Services
 - Computers
 - Superintendent's Office
 - Psychological Services*
- The following slides discuss how Cauliflower SD may choose to allocate some of these central expenditures.



2540 - Operation & Maintenance of Plant Services

Plant O&M will likely be one of the largest central expenditure functions at many districts, as it is for Cauliflower School District. LEAs may choose to allocate (A) to all or select schools per square foot; (B) to all or select schools using FTE/contractor time; (C) to all or select schools, per pupil, using all students; or (D) some other options.

 Keep in mind that any personnel assigned to a particular site must be reported at that site. This may include building engineers and janitorial staff, depending on your district's staffing practices.

Reporting Per

Students

678

418

354

591

\$

2.041

Square Feet

80,000

60,000

100,000

120,000

360,000

5.89

Allocation

\$ 471.371 \$

\$ 353,528

\$ 589,213

\$ 707,056

\$2,121,168 \$

Pupil

696

846

1,664

1,196

1.040

Central Expe	Central Expenditures								
Salaries	\$	976,258	ES1						
Benefits		320,473	ES2						
Utilities		452,209	MS1						
Purch Services		211,496	HS1						
Supplies		155,168	Total						
Non-Capit. Equip	_	5,564	Cost/Unit						
	\$	2,121,168	Allocation						

Allocation example is per square foot.





2550 - Pupil Transportation Services

For transportation, LEAs may choose to allocate (A) to all or select schools, per pupil, using all or select students; (B) to select schools per school; or (C) to select schools using a cost per route methodology.

If not all schools in the district benefit from transportation services, the LEA may want to consider an allocation methodology that highlights the per-pupil cost differential between a school receiving transportation services and a school not receiving transportation services.

Example 1:	Per Pu	oil Receiving	Services
------------	--------	---------------	----------

Central Expenditures											
Purch Services	\$	867,690									
Supplies/Materials	\$	71,312									
Other	\$	3,264									
Total	\$	942,266									

Allocation is per pupil receiving services.

31	VICES								
	Schools	Students	udents Stud			llocation		Pupil	
	ES1	678		250	\$	294,458	\$	435	
	ES2	418		250	\$	294,458	\$	704	
	MS1	354		200	\$	235,567	\$	665	
	HS1	591		100	\$	117,783	\$	199	
	Total	2,041		800	\$	942,266	\$	462	
	Cost/Unit		\$	1,178					

Transported





Reporting Per

2550 - Pupil Transportation Services

For transportation, LEAs may choose to allocate (A) to all or select schools, per pupil, using all or select students; (B) to select schools per school; or (C) to select schools using a cost per route methodology.

If not all schools in the district benefit from transportation services, the LEA may want to consider an allocation methodology that highlights the per-pupil cost differential between a school receiving transportation services and a school not receiving transportation services.

Example 2: Per Route

Reporting Per

Central Exper	Central Expenditures			Students	Routes	 llocation	Pupil	
Purch Services	\$	867,690	ES1	678	12	\$ 262,958	\$	388
Supplies/Materials	\$	71,312	ES2	418	9	\$ 197,219	\$	472
Other	\$	3,264	MS1	354	14	\$ 306,784	\$	867
Total	\$	942,266	HS1	591	8	\$ 175,305	\$	297
			Total	2,041	43	\$ 942,266	\$	462
Allocation is per route.		Cost/Unit		\$ 21,913.17				





2560: Food Services

Food services may be allocated (A) to all schools, per pupil, using all students (assuming all schools receive food services); (B) to all schools using select students (such as students eligible for free and reduced-priced meals); or (C) to all or select schools using FTE or contractor time.

Example 1: By contractor time

					Time Spent			Re	porting Per	
Central Exper	nditu	res	Schools	Students	per Site		llocation		Pupil	
Salaries	\$	22,204	ES1	678	30%	\$	202,213	\$	298	
Benefits	\$	4,233	ES2	418	20%	\$	134,808	\$	323	
Purchased Services	Ś	647,605	MS1	354	20%	\$	134,808	\$	381	
	<u>+</u>		HS2	591	<u>30</u> %	\$	202,213	\$	342	
	\$	674,042	Total	2,041	100%	\$	674,042	\$	330	
Allocation	is b	У					.			
percentage of										
contractor time.										





2560: Food Services

Food services may be allocated (A) to all schools, per pupil, using all students (assuming all schools receive food services); (B) to all schools using select students (such as students eligible for free and reduced-priced meals); or (C) to all or select schools using FTE or contractor time.

Example 2: Per pupil

Allocation is per pupil.

									ке	porting Per
Central Exper	_		Schools	Students			llocation	Pupil		
Salaries	\$ 22,204	4	ES1			678	\$	223,800	\$	330
Benefits	\$ 4,233	3	ES2			418	\$	138,079	\$	330
Purchased Services	\$ 647,605	5	MS1			354	\$	116,937	\$	330
	\$ 674,042	_ 2	HS1			591	\$	195,226	\$	330
	 	_	Total			2,041	\$	674,042	\$	330
			Cost/P	Pupil	\$	330		Î		





Donorting Don

Other Items Are Allocated Per Pupil in This Example, including 2660-Computers and 2321-Superintendent's Office


LEAs have discretion over how to allocate expenditures. In this example, Cauliflower SD has allocated the two remaining top functions (by amount) per pupil.

2660 - Computers 2321 - Superintendents Office Central Expenditures Central Expenditures Purchased Services 226,888 Salaries 215,280 275.392 Salaries Benefits 97,171 Allocation is Benefits 89,966 Memberships, Allocation is per pupil. Non Cap Equipment 17,091 Travel & Other 10.195 per pupil. 9,171 Supplies & Materals Total 322,647 Reporting Per Total 618,508 Schools Allocation Students Pupil Reporting Per ES₁ 678 107.127 158 Schools Students Allocation Pupil ES₂ 418 66,095 158 354 55.975 ES₁ 678 Ś 205,361 \$ 303 MS1 158 HS1 591 93,450 158 ES2 418 126.702 \$ 303 MS1 354 107,303 303 2.041 \$ 322.647 \$ Total 158 HS₁ 591 179,141 303 Cost/Pupil 158 2.041 Ś 618,508 \$ 303 Total \$ 303 Cost/Pupil





Cauliflower SD Example Data: Centralized Expenditures by Function





The Resulting Allocations of Centralized Expenditures Comprise Section B of the Reporting Table (see next slide)

Using Example 1 from each of the previous functions, the allocation of centralized functions would be as follows:

ulu be	as follows.	_	ES1	_	ES2	_	MS1	_	HS1
	Plant O&M	\$	471,371	\$	353,528	\$	589,213	\$	707,056
	Transportation	\$	294,458	\$	294,458	\$	235,567	\$	117,783
	Food Services	\$	202,213	\$	134,808	\$	134,808	\$	202,213
	Computers	\$	205,361	\$	126,702	\$	107,303	\$	179,141
	Superintendent's Office	\$	107,127	\$	66,095	\$	55,975	\$	93,450
	Psychological Services	\$	99,906	\$	58,768	\$	52,892	\$	82,276
	All Other (per pupil)	\$	700,506	\$	432,194	\$	366,021	\$	611,069
	Total Centralized Expenditures	\$	2,080,942	\$	1,466,554	\$	1,541,779	\$	1,992,988
	Student Count		678		418		354		591
	Reporting Per Pupil	\$	3,072	\$	3,509	\$	4,355	\$	3,372

Remember, these amounts <u>must also be disaggregated between federal and state/local</u> <u>funding source</u>. See the supplementary resource *Identifying Federally Funded Expenditures* for additional guidance on this topic (at isbe.net/site-based).





The Resulting Allocations of Centralized Expenditures Comprise Section B of the Reporting Table (see previous slide)

			Level Per-F openditure		District Centralized Per-Pupil Expenditures			Total Per	-Pupil Expe	nditures		
			State and		State and			State and				Total
Sites	Enrollment	Federal	Local	Subtota	Federal	Local	Subtotal	Federal	Local	Total	Exclusions	Expenditures
PK Ctr	50	\$500	\$8,020	\$8,52	\$660	\$3,514	\$4,175	\$1,160	\$11,534	\$12,695		
ES1	300	\$344	\$5,148	\$5,49	\$529	\$2,781	\$3,310	\$874	\$7,929	\$8,803		
ES2	250	\$231	\$5,445	\$5,67	\$457	\$3,095	\$3,552	\$688	\$8,540	\$9,229		
MS1	250	\$320	\$5,356	\$5,67	\$361	\$3,499	\$3,861	\$681	\$8,855	\$9,536		
HS1	320	\$458	\$6,910	\$7,36	\$371	\$2,919	\$3,290	\$829	\$9,829	\$10,658		
LEA	1,170	\$353	\$5,861	\$6,213	\$440	\$3,071	\$3,511	\$793	\$8,931	\$9,724	\$2,330,361	\$13,707,753

B/C

A/C

(A+B)/ C





For Further Information

- See resources available at <u>www.isbe.net/site-based</u>.
- Send questions to <u>site-based@isbe.net</u>.



