

ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS GRADE 7

Theory of Action: Academic standards represent a collective commitment around what students should learn each year. The state assessment asks students to demonstrate their knowledge, skills, and understanding related to these standards using a common measure. The resulting data allows us to see patterns in performance that should guide school and district improvement, helping identify areas of strength and opportunity.

Role of Performance Level Descriptors in Defining Proficiency: Performance level descriptors bridge the state assessment to classroom instruction and the systems of formative assessments that guide local instruction and choices about individual students. *Academic proficiency represents a range of observable student performance characteristics*. There are multiple pathways to proficiency, and students rely upon their strengths differently within that range of performance.

Proficiency and Difficulty: A student's ability to demonstrate proficiency is influenced by the complexity of the texts or stimuli presented, tasks they're asked to complete, and the contexts in which they are engaged. As student performance improves, students are typically able to handle more challenging texts/stimuli, tasks, and contexts, and are able to demonstrate their skills and knowledge more accurately and consistently.

^{*}Inaccurate - Containing errors, incorrect, or not precise.

Reading	Reading Literary Text Student performance indicates the ability to				
Claim 1	Below Proficient	Approaching Proficient	Proficient	Above Proficient	
RL 7.1	<u>Inaccurately</u> identify one or two pieces of explicit and/or evidence and <u>inaccurately</u> determine its relevance to the analysis.	Inconsistently identify one or two pieces of explicit and/or implicit textual evidence and may inconsistently support analysis of the text.	Accurately cite several relevant pieces of evidence to support an analysis of what the text says, including explicitly and implicitly.	Thoroughly cite precise and varied pieces of implicit and explicit textual evidence to thoroughly support complex analyses.	
RL 7.2	Inaccurately or vaguely determine a theme or inaccurately describe how a theme develops across the text. Inaccurately summarize the text overlooking important details or including unrelated events.	Inconsistently determine a theme and/or a theme's development but may overlook important details. Inconsistently summarize with some objective elements but may include irrelevant details.	Accurately determine a theme and analyze its development over the course of the text; accurately provide an objective summary of the text.	Thoroughly determine and analyze a theme and its development over the course of the text, including its relationship to characters, setting, and plot; provide an objective, precise summary of the text.	
RL 7.3	Inaccurately identify elements of story or drama (e.g., how setting shapes the characters or plot).	Inconsistently explain connections between story elements (e.g., how setting shapes the characters or plot).	Accurately analyze how particular elements of the story or drama interact (e.g., how setting shapes the characters or plot).	Thoroughly analyze how particular elements of a story, such as specific lines of dialogue, shape the plot (e.g., propel the action, reveal a character, or provoke a decision).	

^{*}Thorough - Complete, detailed, and covering all aspects of something with great attention to detail.

^{*}Accurate - Free from errors, correct, and precise in details or measurements.

^{*}Inconsistent - Lacking uniformity, contradictory, or not staying the same over time.

Claim 1	Below Proficient	Approaching Proficient	Proficient	Above Proficient
RL 7.5	<u>Inaccurately</u> identify how a drama or poem's form or structure contributes to meaning (e.g., soliloquy or sonnet).	Inconsistently explain how a drama or poem's form or structure contributes to meaning (e.g., soliloquy or sonnet).	Accurately analyze how a drama or poem's form or structure contributes to its meaning (e.g., soliloquy or sonnet).	Thoroughly analyze the major and minor differences of the structure of two or more texts. Provide a thorough and insightful analysis of how the form or structure shapes the overall meaning.
RL 7.6	Inaccurately identify the point of view of characters or narrators. Inaccurately identify a technique the author uses to develop or contrast perspectives (e.g., word choice, narrative structure).	Inconsistently explain points of view of characters or narrators. Identify some techniques the author uses to develop or contrast perspectives (e.g., word choice, narrative structure).	Accurately analyze how an author develops and contrasts the points of view of different characters or narrators, including how effects influence understanding (e.g., word choice, narrative structure).	Thoroughly analyze how the author develops and contrasts points of view of the characters or narrators, including how effects shape understanding (e.g., dramatic irony, suspense, humor).
RL 7.7	Inaccurately compare or contrast versions of a written story, drama, or poem to its multimedia version. Inaccurately identify a technique employed in a medium (e.g., lighting, sound, color, or camera focus and angles in a film).	Inconsistently compare and contrast versions of a written story, drama, or poem to its multimedia version. Inconsistently explain effects of techniques to each medium (e.g., lighting, sound, color, or camera focus and angles in a film).	Accurately compare and contrast versions of a written story, drama, or poem to its multimedia version. Accurately analyze effects of techniques unique to each medium (e.g., lighting, sound, color, or camera focus and angles in a film).	Thoroughly analyze the extent to which versions of a written story, drama, or poem compare and contrast to its multimedia version. Thoroughly evaluate the effects of techniques unique to each medium, including how they shape meaning.
RL 7.9	Inaccurately identify a similarity or difference between a fictional portrayal and an historical account. Inaccurately or vaguely explain how the author portrays or alters history.	Inconsistently identify similarities and differences between a fictional portrayal and an historical account overlooking key details. Inconsistently explain how the author portrays or alters history.	Accurately compare and contrast a fictional portrayal of an historical account of the same period using key details as a means of understanding how authors of fiction portray-or alter history.	Thoroughly compare and contrast a fictional portrayal and an historical account. Analyze the author's purpose in altering history, including how key details and artistic choices shape understanding.

Claim 2	Below Proficient	Approaching Proficient	Proficient	Above Proficient
RI 7.1	<u>Inaccurately</u> identify one or two pieces of explicit and <u>inaccurately</u> determine its relevance to the analysis.	Inconsistently identify one or two pieces of explicit and/or implicit textual evidence and may inconsistently support analysis of the text.	Accurately cite several relevant pieces of evidence to support an analysis of what the text says, including explicitly and implicitly.	Thoroughly cite precise and varied pieces of implicit and explicit textual evidence to thoroughly support complex analyses.
RI 7.2	Inaccurately or vaguely summarize and/or identify an idea in a text that may include unrelated evidence.	Inconsistently summarize and/or identify a central idea over the course of a text; inconsistently select relevant textual evidence to support claims.	Accurately and objectively summarize and analyze how two or more central idea(s) interact over the course of a text integrating relevant textual evidence to support claims.	Thoroughly and objectively summarize and analyze how two or more complex central idea(s) evolve over the course of a text thoroughly integrating textual evidence to support connections to central and supporting ideas.
RI 7.3	Inaccurately identify interactions between individuals, events, and ideas.	Inconsistently explain interactions between individuals, events, and ideas leaving out some key details and relevant evidence.	Accurately analyze the interactions between individuals, events, and ideas in a text (e.g., how ideas influence individuals or events, or how individuals influence ideas or events).	Thoroughly analyze how individuals, events, and ideas interact and influence one another. Analyze how the text makes connections among or distinctions between individuals, ideas, or events (e.g., through comparisons, analogies, or categories).
RI 7.5	Inaccurately identify the structure an author uses to organize a text. Inaccurately explain how a section relates to the whole and to the development of the ideas.	Inconsistently explain the structure an author uses to organize a text. Partially explain how some sections contribute to the whole and to the development of the ideas.	Accurately analyze in detail the structure an author uses to organize a text, including how the major sections contribute to the whole and to the development of the ideas.	Thoroughly analyze the author's organizational choices explaining how specific structural elements interact to enhance the development of ideas throughout a text.
RI 7.6	Inaccurately identify the author's point of view or purpose. May provide a minimal or inaccurate explanation of how the authors' positions differ from that of others.	Inconsistently interpret the author's point of view or purpose. Provide a partial explanation of how the author distinguishes their position from others. May only partially support the analysis.	Accurately determine the author's point of view or purpose in a text and analyze how the author's position is distinct from that of others.	Thoroughly analyze authors' points of view or purposes capturing multiple aspects of their perspectives. Thoroughly analyze how authors differentiate their positions from others, including the use of tone, evidence, or other strategies.

			m (1) 1	
Claim 2	Below Proficient	Approaching Proficient	Proficient	Above Proficient
RI 7.7	Inaccurately identify a similarity or	Inconsistently compare and/or	Accurately compare and contrast a text	Thoroughly compare and contrast
	difference in a text and a multimedia	contrast a text to a multimedia version, partially explaining each medium's	to an audio, video, or multimedia version analyzing each medium's	multiple mediums of a text thoroughly analyzing the impact of the mediums'
	version, <u>inaccurately</u> representing each medium's portrayal of the	portrayal of the subject (e.g., how the	portrayal of the subject (e.g., how the	usage. Analyze creative and technical
	subject (e.g., how the delivery of a	delivery of a speech affects the impact	delivery of a speech affects the impact	choices and/or connections between
	speech affects the impact of the	of the words).	of the words).	the various mediums and the original
	words).			text, and the impact of tone and word
	,			choice.
RI 7.8	Inaccurately trace and/or evaluate	Inconsistently trace and/or evaluate	Accurately trace and evaluate	Thoroughly delineate and evaluate
	arguments and specific claims in a	arguments and specific claims in a text	arguments and specific claims in a text	specific claims in a text assessing
	text, inaccurately assessing whether	inconsistently assessing whether the	assessing whether the reasoning is	whether the reasoning is sound, and
	the reasoning is sound, or the	reasoning is sound, and the evidence is	sound, and the evidence is relevant	the evidence is relevant and
	evidence is relevant.	relevant and/or sufficient to support	and sufficient to support the claims.	sufficient.
		the claims.		
RI 7.9	<u>Inaccurately</u> describe how different	Inconsistently analyze how authors	Accurately analyze how two or more	Thoroughly analyze how multiple
	authors present information. May	shape their presentations of	authors writing about the same topic	authors writing about similar topics
	identify a difference or similarity in	information. Identify some differences	shape their presentation of key	emphasize different evidence and
	evidence or interpretations presented	and/or in evidence or interpretations	information by emphasizing different	advance distinct interpretations of
	by the authors.	but may oversimplify or miss key points.	evidence or advancing different interpretations of facts.	facts. Integrate detailed and precise textual evidence offering insightful
		points.	interpretations of facts.	commentary on its significance.
Reading	Vocabulary Student performance in	dicates the ability to		
Claim 3	Below Proficient	Approaching Proficient	Proficient	Above Proficient
RL 7.4	Inaccurately identify contextual	Inconsistently determine contextual	Accurately interpret the contextual	Thoroughly infer contextual meaning
RI 7.4	meaning of words and phrases based	meaning of words and phrases based	meaning of words and phrases using	of both <u>literal and figurative</u> words and
L7.4-6	on context clues or provide	on context clues or <u>inconsistently</u>	context clues and provide <u>accurate</u>	phrases using context clues and
	inaccurate evidence to support	provide accurate evidence to support	evidence to support meaning (e.g.,	provide multiple pieces of accurate
	meaning (e.g., roots and affixes,	meaning (e.g., roots and affixes,	roots and affixes, figurative language,	evidence to support meaning (e.g.,
	figurative language, synonyms, and	figurative language, synonyms, and	synonyms, and antonyms).	roots and affixes, figurative language,
	antonyms).	antonyms).		synonyms, and antonyms).

Written Expression Student performance indicates the ability to... **Proficient Above Proficient** Claim 4 **Below Proficient Approaching Proficient** W7.1-**Development of Ideas: Development of Ideas: Development of Ideas: Development of Ideas:** W7.10 Minimally address a prompt with Partially address a prompt with some Address a prompt and provide Address all aspects of a prompt with <u>limited</u> or <u>unclear</u> development of development of the claim, topic, effective and comprehensive thorough and comprehensive development of the claim, topic, the claim, topic, and/or narrative and/or narrative elements (e.g., development of the claim, topic, elements, (e.g., establishing a establishing a situation; organizing a and/or narrative elements (e.g., and/or narrative elements (e.g., situation; organizing a logical event logical event sequence; describing establishing a situation; organizing a establishing a situation; organizing a sequence; describing scenes, objects, scenes, objects, or people; developing logical event sequence; describing logical event sequence; describing or people: developing characters' characters' personalities; and using scenes, objects, or people; developing scenes, objects, or people; developing personalities; and using dialogue) characters' personalities; and using

Organization:

Demonstrate minimal coherence, clarity, and cohesion with an introduction and/or conclusion that may be missing, unclear, or underdeveloped.

Demonstrate minimal command of

describing, and/or comparing and/or

sensory details, linking or transitional

language inaccurately explaining,

analyzing ideas with limited or

unclear use of concrete words,

words, and/or domain-specific

using <u>limited</u> reasoning <u>unsupported</u>

descriptions are vague or incomplete;

the response may not fully consider

the task, purpose, or audience.

by text-based evidence. Details or

Organization:

Demonstrate inconsistent coherence,

dialogue) using some logical reasoning

supported by text-based evidence,

details, and/or descriptions, though

the development may be uneven or

only somewhat appropriate to the

task, purpose, and audience.

clarity, and cohesion with some organization and connections between ideas. Include an introduction and/or conclusion that may only be partially effective.

Clarity of Language:

Demonstrate partial command of language inconsistently explaining, describing, and/or comparing ideas using <u>some</u> concrete words, sensory details, linking or transitional words, and/or domain-specific vocabulary. Inconsistently or partially establish an effective style.

Clarity of Language:

Demonstrate an effective command of language accurately explaining, describing, and/or comparing and/or analyzing ideas effectively using concrete words and phrases, sensory details, linking and transitional words, and domain-specific vocabulary. Establish and maintain a mostly effective style.

dialogue) using <u>clear</u>, <u>logical</u> reasoning

appropriate to the task, purpose, and

Demonstrate effective coherence,

clarity, and cohesion with well-

an effective introduction and

organized ideas that are logically

connected and easy to follow. Include

supported by text-based evidence,

details, and/or description; the

development is consistently

audience.

conclusion.

Organization:

characters' personalities; and using dialogue) using thorough reasoning supported by text-based evidence, rich and well-chosen details, and/or vivid descriptions that demonstrate a deep understanding of the task, purpose, and audience.

Organization:

Demonstrate thorough coherence, clarity, and cohesion with ideas that are seamlessly organized, logically connected, and presented in a fluid and highly engaging manner. Include an engaging and well-crafted introduction and conclusion that effectively support the task and purpose.

Clarity of Language:

Demonstrate a thorough command of language effectively explaining, describing, and/or comparing and/or analyzing ideas. Skillfully use vivid and precise concrete words, sensory details, seamless linking and transitional words, and well-integrated domain-specific vocabulary to enhance clarity and depth of ideas. Establish and maintain an effective style.

inappropriate style.

Clarity of Language:

Claim 5	Below Proficient	Approaching Proficient	Proficient	Above Proficient
L7.1- L7.3, L7.6, W 7.1	Demonstrate a minimal command of the conventions of Standard English producing incoherent meaning. Frequent and varied errors impede understanding regarding the following:	Demonstrate an inconsistent command of the conventions of Standard English producing partially clear meaning. Errors often impede understanding regarding the following:	Demonstrate an <u>accurate</u> , <u>grade-level</u> command of the conventions of Standard English producing clear meaning. Errors <u>seldom</u> impede understanding regarding the following:	Demonstrate a thorough, above grade level command of the conventions of Standard English producing clear meaning. Errors do not impede understanding regarding the following:
	 Mechanics, such as spelling, capitalization, and punctuation (e.g., commas separating coordinate adjectives). 	 Mechanics, such as spelling, capitalization, and punctuation (e.g., commas separating coordinate adjectives). 	 Mechanics, such as spelling, capitalization, and punctuation (e.g., commas separating coordinate adjectives). 	 Mechanics, such as spelling, capitalization, and punctuation (e.g., commas separating coordinate adjectives).
	 Grammar (e.g., phrases, clauses, and modifiers). 	 Grammar (e.g., phrases, clauses, and modifiers). 	 Grammar (e.g., phrases, clauses, and modifiers). 	 Grammar (e.g., phrases, clauses, and modifiers).
	 Usage (e.g., varied sentence patterns and types signaling differing relationships among ideas). 	 Usage (e.g., varied sentence patterns and types signaling differing relationships among ideas). 	 Usage (e.g., varied sentence patterns and types signaling differing relationships among ideas). 	Usage (e.g., varied sentence patterns and types signaling differing relationships among ideas).