Early Childhood Outcomes Instruction Document

This is an instruction document and is meant to accompany the outcomes rating form. You will find questions to consider and tips in completing this form accurately. Do not use this as your rating form. Please note this instruction document is longer than the ratings form to accommodate the additional information provided. For questions regarding early childhood outcomes, contact the Early Childhood Department at 217-524-4835 or ecsped@isbe.net.

Outcomes-System.aspx Name:	ess complete the entire form, including gray a ess rating date. Progress ratings are required the child exits ECSE services. For additional in								
District:	School:								
Persons Involved in Deciding Summary Ratings – Name, Role/Title									
1 Cisons involved in Deciding Summary Ratings – Name, Role/ True									
Progress Rating Only (choosing "Yes" does not require a rating change) Compare the child's current and past skills. ANY new skills should be considered as progress. Child may make progress even if the child has not improved his/her rating number.									
Made Progress Toward Increasing Positive Social R	delationships?	☐ Yes ☐ No							
Made Progress Toward Increasing Acquisition and	Use of Knowledge Skills?	☐ Yes ☐ No							
Made Progress Toward Increasing Taking Appropr	1	☐ Yes ☐ No							
Summary of Evidence: For entry, El rating is to be considered, if appropriate.	Summary of Evidence: Where is the child's current functioning?	Summary of Evidence: Does the evidence support the rating?							
Ratings are completed in all three areas, even if no concerns. Functional outcomes are	Provide examples of the child's typical functioning.	Does the evidence show multiple sources of assessment data?							
determined by the child's skills right now compared to age expectations.	Which skills are at an age expected level? Any concerns?	Does the evidence reflect various aspects of the outcome, such as peer and adult interactions for Positive Social Relationships?							
Evidence reflects actual functioning across a variety of settings, not just under ideal circumstances. If the child uses assistive	Which skills are at an immediate foundational level (as those of a slightly	Does the evidence reflect the child's everyday functioning, not just test scores?							
technology or special accommodations in everyday life and across settings, then the ratings should be determined while using	younger/younger child)? Which skills are at a foundational level	Are all of the settings and situations the child participates in used to determine the ratings?							
that support.	(as those of a much younger child)?								
Sources of Supporting Evidence – Date: Date must be current and all information must be less than six months old.	Sources of Supporting Evidence – Date: Multiple Sources including people in different settings and situations with knowledge of the child. (Family,	Sources of Supporting Evidence – Date: Multiple Measures can include age referenced assessments, observations, portfolios, interviews, and checklists.							
Authentic assessment: focusing on child's routine functional skills. Additionally, for progress, one primary assessment is required along with supplemental assessments.	teachers, caregivers, etc.)	Teams should decide what information from an assessment tool is relevant for this child.							
Special Considerations: Consider any special accommodations, such as assistive technology, mobility aids, and first-time school experience. Do not consider prematurity	Special Considerations:	Special Considerations:							

Entry and Progress Ratings (use ECO	Decisio	on Tree to determine ratings, th	en choo	se f	rom below and no	te rating in box)	
1 - Not Yet. Foundational Skills. Functioning does not yet include immediate foundational skills upon which to build age expected	3 – Nea function mmedia	rly. Not yet showing age expected ling in any setting or situation. Use ate foundational skills most or all o e. "Younger child"	5 - Son function founda	mev nin _{ tior	what. Some age expe g. Mix of immediate	Completely. No concerns	
immediate foundational functioning. More skills are not yet immediate foundational	Occasio	een Nearly and Somewhat. nal age expected functioning. More ling is not age expected than age d.	concer there is founda age exp	etel ns a s ev tior pect	en Somewhat and by. Age Expected. So bout functioning. If idence of immediate hal functioning that ited, then a rating of the given.	s not	
Positive Social Relationships Involves relating with adults and with other children, following rules related to groups or interacting with others. Includes areas such as attachment/separation/autonomy, expressing emotions and feelings, learning rules and expectations, and social interactions and play		Acquire and Use Knowledge and Skills Involves thinking, reasoning, remembering, problem solving, using symbols and language and understanding physical and social worlds. Includes areas such as early concept-symbols, pictures, numbers, imitation, object permanence, expressive language and communication and early literacy		li g u c a ii t f h	Take Appropriate Dwn Needs neludes taking care getting from one places in the control of the con	of basic needs, ace to another, at toothbrush, g to own health areas such as cills to complete to (e.g. dressing, coileting, bilities), and acting	
Primary Assessment for Progress Ratings Only (select one) Crosswalks were developed to integrate formal assessment tools and domain specific areas into the three outcomes areas. Complete the assessment tool as intended, then use the applicable crosswalk items as part of evidence for ratings. Teams should decide what information from an assessment tool is relevant for this child. At entry, you may use your evaluation/diagnostic tools. At progress, you must use one of the primary assessment tools.							
1 – Assessment and Evaluation Programming System (AEPS) http://ectacenter.org/eco/assets/p dfs/AEPS_b-3_crosswalk_6-27- 07.pdf	http	– My Teaching Strategies ™ GO: o://ectacenter.org/eco/assets/pd OLD Fall2012 6 8.pdf			12 – Ages and Stag (ASQ) For use when the Cl Speech Services Onl primary assessment	hild has an IEP for ly and if no other	
3 – High Scope Child Observation Record http://ectacenter.org/eco/assets/p dfs/CORAdvantageFinalCrosswalk.p df	http	- Early Learning Scales (ELS) o://ectacenter.org/eco/assets/pd LS11-15-16final.pdf	fs/OS		13 – Desired Resi Developmental Pr www.isbe.net/Do Outcomes-Crossw	rofile (DRDP) cuments/DRDP-	
8 – The Work Sampling System http://ectacenter.org/eco/assets/p dfs/WorkSamplingSystemCrosswalk 071916.pdf							
Teams are to reach a consensus decision. Anyon the team. Teams consist of at least 2 people work concerning the child. Did Participate in the M	ith mul	tiple sources of information	Psycholog	ist o	r Social Worker	☐ Yes ☐ No	
Coordinator, LEA Representative or Administrator					nage Pathologist	☐ Yes ☐ No	
Early Childhood Teacher			Another R (e.g. OT/P		ed Service Provider	☐ Yes ☐ No	

Early Childhood Outcomes Instruction Document

How was Parent/Guardian Involved in the Ratings? Place number in box at left. Families are part of the team. Family input about the child's functioning is critical. Ask family members about the child's functioning at home and in community situations. Be flexible when seeking information from the family. Determine if the family reports of child information reflect age expectations.		1- Parent/Guardian participated in meeting.	2 Parent/Guardian did not attend, but provided information.	3 – Parent/Guardian Did Not Participate in Ratings Process
--	--	---	---	---

Entered into SIS by _____ Date: ____ Form Revision Date -4/10/18 Entry rating to be determined and entered into SIS within 45 calendar days. It is anticipated that the child will receive 6 months of services.