

#### My Data Dashboard

August 16, 2024



#### Background



#### **Previously Released Metrics**

- 8<sup>th</sup> Grade Passing Algebra
- Chronic Absenteeism
- Graduation Rate
- IAR
- DLM
- SAT
- ACCESS
- ISA
- 9<sup>th</sup> Grade on Track
- Chronic Truancy

#### **Updated Visualizations**

- Business rule walk-throughs
- Data by report card year or grade tested (if applicable)
- Cohort-driven analysis

   (providing a more accurate reflection of performance and growth over time than annual snapshot data
- Detailed and filterable student rosters (for authorized users
- View data over multiple years



#### **Summative Designations**



Exemplary	<ul> <li>Overall performance in the top 10% of all schools</li> <li>Must have no targeted student groups at or below the "all students" group of the lowest-performing 5% of schools</li> <li>High schools must have a graduation rate higher than 67%</li> </ul>
Commendable	<ul> <li>Overall performance not in the top 10% of all schools</li> <li>Must have no targeted student groups at or below the "all students" group of the lowest-performing 5% of schools</li> <li>High schools must have a graduation rate higher than 67%</li> </ul>
Targeted Support	<ul> <li>One or more student groups performing at or below the "all students" group of the lowest performing 5 percent of schools; groups must have at least 20 students in at least five of eight indicators, one of which must be non-academic</li> <li>A Targeted Support designation initiates targeted school improvement status and the school begins a four-year cycle of school improvement.</li> </ul>
Comprehensive Support	<ul> <li>Overall performance in the bottom 5 percent of Title I-eligible schools statewide</li> <li>All high schools with a graduation rate below 67 percent or below</li> <li>Schools that are Targeted for one or more of the same student groups they were originally identified for at the start of their four-year cycle of Targeted school improvement.</li> <li>A Comprehensive Support designation initiates comprehensive school improvement status and the school begins a four-year cycle of school improvement.</li> </ul>
Intensive Support	<ul> <li>A school that ends its four-year Comprehensive school improvement cycle still in the bottom 5 percent of Title I-eligible schools statewide or is a high school with a graduation rate of 67 percent or below at the end of the four-year improvement cycle.</li> <li>An Intensive Support designation initiates intensive school improvement status and the school begins a four-year cycle of school improvement.</li> </ul>



#### **Summative Designations in MDD**



Visualizations

- Explainer Page allowing for an improved understanding of how Summative Designation is calculated
- Aggregate Score Enhanced Visualization provides overarching designation and each unique indicator with the corresponding score
- Aggregate Score Data Table all the data that is used to calculate the Summative designation score by student group and indicator is available
- **Distribution Chart dynamic** graph shows a school's performance plotted against the distribution of scores statewide
- **Roster** a filterable and sortable comprehensive roster of student data



#### Access the new Summative Section





Use the collapsible menu to navigate to new Summative Screens



#### Landing Page



ols receive an annual summative designation o ent group, for all of the accountability indicator	n the Illinois Report Card. The designa s. Summative Designations are calcule	tion is based on the school's overall data, and the data fo sted by assigning each school points for every accountab				
ator, such as graduation rates, chronic absente er of total possible points.	elsm. and academic growth. The indic	ators are weighted, meaning, each indicator is worth a d				
	Find Your Scho	ol				
Select School / Type Your Sc	Select School / Type Your School Name					
	Search					
	Scalen					
REPORT CARD BUSINESS DIN ES						
REFORT LINE BUSINESS NULLS [71]	HUD USER DUDE	SUMPATIVE DESIGNATION BUSINESS RULES				

Use the simple drop-down feature to quickly switch between schools to conduct an analysis of performance on indicators that contribute to summative designations



#### **Explanation Page**



E	xplanation	Aggregate Score	Distribution Chart	View Roste	r
	嶜 Summative [	Designation			
	How Score is	Calculated			
	1. Raw F	Performance+			
	2. Indica	ntor Score+			
	3. Weigh	nted Index Scores+			
	4. <u>Sumn</u>	native Designation -			
	a.	Elementary/Middle Designations			
		<ul> <li>Lastly, all elementary or middles sch (ES) index score by the "all" index sco</li> </ul>	ools are rank ordered with an Elementary School re from highest index score to lowest		
		ii. The lowest performing 5% of all ES s	chools are identified		
		iii. Save the "all" index score of the highe Threshold	est ranked school in the lowest 5% = ### = ES		
		iv. Assign Comprehensive status to all s	chools at or below the ES Threshold		
		1. Assign reason as "all"			
		v. Assign Exemplary status to the top 1	0% of all ES Schools by the "all" index score		
		1. Assign reason as "all"			
		vi. All schools without the designation C student group to ES Threshold	comprehensive, compare the index score of each		

Better understand the nuances of summative calculations by exploring each step along the way





#### **Aggregate Score Visualization**

#### 😫 Summative Designation

Visualization at the bottom reflects the demographic group selected immediately below. The table of data can be updated by using the demographic group filter located above the table. Demographic groups not available within the school are grayed out.



Explore performance for each student group by both the Weighted Index Score and Indicator Score to better understand overall performance of the school





#### **Comprehensive Data Table**

#### Summative Data Table

Demographics	+ Show/Hide	Rows								EXPORT V	
Student Group	Data Type	ELA	Math	Science	ELA	Math	EL	Chronic	Climate	Summative	
		Proficiency	Proficiency	Proficiency	Growth	Growth	Progress to Proficiency	Absenteelsm	Survey	Score	
	Standard Weight 🚯	7.5	7.5	5	25	25	5	20	5		
	Effective Weight ()	7.50	7.50	5.00	25.00	25.00	5.00	20.00	5.00		
All Students	Indicator Score	95.20	51.25	72.73	55.55	36.39	22.67	32.28	14.44	45.91	
	Weighted Index	7.14	3.84	3.64	13.89	9.10	1.13	6.46	0.72		
Dis als (Adainan	Effective Weight ()	8.04	8.04	5.36	26.79	26.79		20.00	5.00		
Black/African	Indicator Score	100.00	58.36	73.06	43.00	30.09	-	33.33	25.21	44.14	
American	Weighted Index	8.04	4.69	3.91	11.52	8.06	-	6.67	1.26		
	Effective Weight ()	7.50	7.50	5.00	25.00	25.00	5.00	20.00	5.00		
Dischilition	Indicator Score	26.57	16.09	46.91	36.88	24.01	18.16	15.04	-	24.69	
Disabilities	Weighted Index	1.99	1.21	2.35	9.22	6.00	0.91	3.01	-		
Economically	Effective Weight 🚯	7.50	7.50	5.00	25.00	25.00	5.00	20.00	5.00		
Disadvantaged	Indicator Score	100.00	43.48	77.65	52.04	34.38	22.36	20.19	5.41	41.67	
Students	Weighted Index	7.50	3.26	3.88	13.01	8.59	1.12	4.04	0.27		
	Effective Weight ()	7.50	7.50	5.00	25.00	25.00	5.00	20.00	5.00		
English Learners	Indicator Score	21.50	-	40.76	53.03	18.43	22.67	41.89	-	31.03	
	Weighted Index	1.61	-	2.04	13.26	4.61	1.13	8.38	-		
Frank Starlink	Effective Weight ()	8.04	8.04	5.36	26.79	26.79		20.00	5.00		
Former English	Indicator Score	93.85	37.59	70.44	55.96	31.16	-	37.78	-	45.23	
Learners	Weighted Index	7.54	3.02	3.77	14.99	8.35	-	7.56	-		
	Effective Weight ()	7.50	7.50	5.00	25.00	25.00	5.00	20.00	5.00		
Hispanic/Latino	Indicator Score	73.23	34.69	54.34	53.53	24.41	21.95	35.66	-	38.53	
	Weighted Index	5.49	2.60	2.72	13.38	6.10	1.10	7.13	-		
Two or More	Effective Weight 🚯	8.04	8.04	5.36	26.79	26.79		20.00	5.00		
Reces	Indicator Score	91.92	33.08	49.54	58.82	37.37	- 5.66		29.92	41.09	
Naces	Weighted Index	7.39	2.66	2.65	15.75	10.01	-	1.13	1.50		
	Effective Weight 🕄	8.04	8.04	5.36	26.79	26.79		20.00	5.00		
White	Indicator Score	94.54	55.31	79.44	58.92	43.58	-	33.07	19.92	51.36	
	Weighted Index	7.60	4.44	4.26	15.78	11.67	-	6.61	1.00		

Seeing all the data related to each student group for each indicator allows users to have a comprehensive view of how summative designations are calculated and find leverage points for improvement



#### **Distribution Chart Selection**



Each student group is populated within a chart for the Weighted Index Score, and unique charts are populated for each Indicator Type showing performance compared to the state for each demographic group selected





#### **Distribution Charts**





This chart shows how a school performed, by student group and by indicator compared with the state overall, allows for a better understanding of how the school is doing compared to others



#### **Detailed Filterable Roster**



E	kplanati	on			Aggr	egate Score			Dis	tribution	Chart			View Roste	er
Sum	mativ	ve Des	ignati	ion											
Q	Enter	Student F	irstname	or Lastna	me or SIS ID			<b>Filters</b>	•			SHOW/H	IDE COLUI	MNS EXPORT	•
"Filters wil Note: The t	be applied able boxes	l only to curre which display	nt year demo / null data are	graphic colun e shown as (-)	nns ==> No Data										
Appli	ed Filte	ers													
Ohanni	164- 0-1													CLEAR FILT	ERS
Snow/	nide Coli	imns: Curr Disabilities 3	ent Race/E	thinicity 🗙	Current IEP	Current		irrent EL 🗙	Current Home	eless 🛪					
Oras	nizatior														
SCH	00L -	GRADE E	NROLLEI	) <b>.</b>											
Demo	ographi	cs													
GEN	JER -	RACE/E	THINICITY	IEP		HOME	LESS +	WITH DISA	BILITIES +						
Sumi	native														
ELA PROFICIENCY - ELA PROFICIENC					SCORE -	ELA GROW	гн - М	ATH PROFIC		1ATH PRO	FICIENCY SC	ORE - M	ATH GROV	WTH -	
SCIE	NCE PR	OFICIENC	( - SC	ENCE PRO	FICIENCY S	CORE - EI	PtP POIN	ITS EARNED	- CHRON	IIC ABSEN	NTEEISM +	CLIMATE S	URVEY -		
CURRENT									5	SUMMATIVE					
First <sup>▲</sup> Name	Last Name	SIS ID	¢ Gender	Grade 🔶 Enrolled	ELA 💠 Proficency	ELA Proficency Score	ELA 🔶 Growth	Math 💠 Proficency	Math Proficency Score	Math≑ Growth	Science 🔷 Proficency	Science Proficency Score	ELPtP Points Earned	Chronic 🝦 Absenteesim	Climat Survej
First Name	Last Name	111000222	Male	Grade 7	Y	766	86	Y	761	52	-	-	-	N	Y
First Name	Last Name	111000222	Male	Grade 7	N	702	-	N	678	-	-	-	-	Y	N

Student-level information allows users to target additional resources and make informed programmatic choices based on a variety of data points.





### 

"The rate at which improvements and user interface designs are being coded into the dashboard is just phenomenal. What's also incredibly beneficial about this work is that the dashboard is focused and aligned to the data that Illinois schools need to look at."

> - Patrick Hoover Chief Innovation and Technology Officer Aptakisic-Tripp School District 102



#### Resources



#### My Data Dashboard Webpage

- MyIRC/MDD User Guide
- How to Access My Data Dashboard
- Short video: How to Access My Data Dashboard
- Customizing My Data Dashboard
- Short video: How to Customize My Data Dashboard
- Customizing My Data Dashboard Quick Start Guide
- One-pager Overview
- Video Introduction to My Data Dashboard
- Accessing Expiring Licensure Details within My Data Dashboard
- How to Read Metric Displays in My Data Dashboard





## Questions?





# Chank you

