

LEA Determinations Stakeholder Meeting

December 1, 2023

Introductions

- Iliana El-Khailani
 - Executive Director of Specialized Instruction
- Barbara Moore
 - Director of Special Education Operational Support
- Kristina Holloway
 - SPP/APR Coordinator



Stakeholders

- Our LEA Determinations stakeholder group includes:
 - Superintendents
 - Directors of Special Education
 - Special Education Coordinators
 - Parents
 - State Advisory Council members
 - ISBE staff (Grants, Early Childhood, and Data)
 - Transition Coordinators
 - Technical Assistant Project Staff/Coordinators



Agenda

- Overview of required components of LEA Determinations
- Review ISBE's current LEA Determinations process
- Discussion of potential changes
- Cyclical Monitoring (coming 2024-2025 school year)

Required Components of LEA Determinations

- Under Part B of IDEA, a State must consider the following factors when making an annual determination of each LEA:
 - Performance on compliance indicators
 - 4b (Suspension/Expulsion)
 - 9 (Disproportionate Representation)
 - 10 (Disproportionate Representation of Specific Disability Categories)
 - 11 (Child Find)
 - 12 (Early Childhood Transition)
 - 13 (Secondary Transition)
 - Valid, reliable and timely data
 - Correction of identified noncompliance
 - Relevant audit findings
- States are encouraged to also include results indicator data
 - 1 (Graduation), 2 (Drop Out), 3 (Assessment), 5 (Educational Environments K-12), 6 (Preschool Environments), 7 (Preschool Outcomes), 8 (Parent Involvement Survey) and 14 (Post School Outcomes)



ISBE's Current LEA Determination Process

- Currently ISBE uses a balanced approach for LEA Determinations including both compliance and results indicators
 - Results Indicators: 1, 5a and 6a
 - Compliance Indicators 4b, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13
 - Single audit findings
 - Timely correction of non-compliance
- ISBE issues LEA Determinations annually in September
- Currently ISBE utilizes an n-size of 5 for Indicators 1, 5a and 6a. This was implemented two years ago.
- ISBE also implemented utilizing an n-size of 5 for Indicators 11, 12, and 13, but upon further review it was removed this year due to updated guidance from OSEP.
- ISBE does not currently include valid, reliable and timely data, but will need to add this to the LEA Determinations process.



Discussion Items

- What data components should be included to ensure valid, reliable and timely data?
 - Many states are utilizing the following items:
 - Child Count
 - Personnel Report
 - Indicator 11 (Child Find)
 - Indicator 12 (Early Childhood Transition)
 - Indicator 13 (Secondary Transition)
 - Other ideas?



Discussion Items (cont)

- Does ISBE need to change the n-size for Indicators 1, 5a and/or 6a?
 - Currently the n-size is 5, which was implemented 2 years ago
 - Implementing an n-size also impacts LEAs who do meet the state target
 - We could remove the n-size and explore grouping LEAs by size (e.g.; small, medium, large, extra-large) and using the percent to assign point values (e.g.; Top 1%-10%=4 points, Top 11%-25%=3 points, Top 26%-50%=2 points, Bottom 51%-75%=1 point, Bottom 75%-100%=0 points)



Discussion Items (cont.)

- We could increase the n-size to 10 or 15 for Indicator 1
 - No n-size =486 LEAs included, 37% of LEAs meet state target
 - N-size of 5=394 LEAs included, 37% of LEAs meet state target
 - N-size of 10=274 LEAs included, 39% of LEAs meet state target
 - N-size of 15=203 LEAs included, 60% of LEAs meet state target
 - 33 is the average number of leavers for 2021-2022
 - 118 LEAs have more than 33 leavers and 367 have 33 or less leavers
- We could increase the n-size to 10 or 15 for Indicator 5a
 - No n-size=864 LEAs included, 54% of LEAs meet state target
 - N-size of 5=863 LEAs included, 54% of LEAs meet state target
 - N-size of 10=847 LEAs included, 53% of LEAs meet state target
 - N-size of 15=832 LEAs included, 53% of LEAs meet state target



Discussion Items (cont.)

- We could increase the n-size to 10 or 15 for Indicator 6a
 - No n-size=732 LEAs included, 51% of LEAs meet state target
 - N-size of 5=619 LEAs included, 53% of LEAs meet state target
 - N-size of 10=464 LEAs included, 55% of LEAs meet state target
 - N-size of 15=370 LEAs included, 55% of LEAs meet state target
- If an LEA meets/exceeds the state target, but does not meet the n-size should we add a business rule that they would receive the points for this indicator?



Cyclical Monitoring

Cyclical Monitoring

- Beginning SY 2024-25, ISBE will be implementing a cyclical monitoring process in order to be in compliance with the General Supervision requirements identified in the OSEP General Supervision Guidance Document issued July 2023.
- We are currently exploring what this process will look like.
- We know that LEAs who are chosen for the cycle year will be required to complete a self-assessment document and submit documents/files for review.
- Additional information will be forthcoming as we finalize what this process will look like and finalize the cycle list.
- We hope that you are willing to continue to work with us to provide stakeholder input as we design this process.



Questions and Next Steps

- Questions?
- Next Steps
 - You will receive a survey later today regarding the items we discussed. Your feedback will help us in moving forward in our processes.
 - We will set up another meeting in the coming weeks to review the feedback and to discuss the cyclical monitoring process further.
- If you have additional questions, please do not hesitate to reach out.

