## **ASSESSMENT**

## THE DESIGN OF CONTENT AND LANGUAGE ASSESSMENT FOR ENGLISH LEARNERS

This section covers how assessments should be designed to accurately measure the achievement and progress of English learners.

Comprehensive assessment for English learners (ELs) begins with identifying the characteristics of the student population and matching them to the systematic planning, collection, analysis, and interpretation of data for both language proficiency and academic achievement. These data subsequently offer feedback that impacts instruction and contributes to decisions affecting ELs. Assessment for ELs is standards-referenced and based on the Illinois Learning Standards, including college and career readiness standards and Spanish language arts standards (when applicable), in conjunction with Illinois English Language Development Standards. The languages of assessment reflect the specific instructional designs that are being implemented.

Assessment occurs at the classroom, program, district, and state levels; in turn, each level speaks to specific purposes and audiences. The goal of all assessment is to provide reliable and valid indicators of student performance. To be equitable, assessment for ELs must also be linguistically and culturally responsive. The following bulleted lists address the overall considerations in the selection of EL measures and considerations in the design of measures of academic achievement and language proficiency.

In selecting assessments of academic achievement or language proficiency at the program or district levels, administrators and school leaders are to consider the:

- demographics of the EL population, including their distribution across grade levels, along with their linguistic and cultural backgrounds
- educational experiences of the students inside and outside the United States
- linguistic and cultural experiences of the students
- students' conceptual development
- language(s) of instruction
- need for accommodations for ELs with disabilities

- inclusion of sizeable numbers of ELs in the development of the measure (e.g., piloting, field-testing, standard-setting as reported in the technical manual)
- presence of multiple perspectives in student tasks
- reporting of data by subgroup
- interpretation of data within a linguistic and cultural context

In designing content assessment at the classroom and program levels, teachers and school leaders are to consider the:

- literacy of ELs (in English and/or the home language)
- linguistic, cultural, educational, and instructional experiences of the students
- language(s) of instruction for the particular content areas
- supports used to maximize students' access to content during instruction
- accommodations used for state testing for ELs and ELs with disabilities
- ways to provide standards-referenced student feedback on ELs' academic achievement.

In designing language proficiency assessment at the classroom and program levels, teachers and school leaders are to consider the:

- language proficiencies of the EL population within and across language domains
- complexity of academic language use
- linguistic, cultural, educational, and instructional experiences of the students
- supports used for instruction to extend into assessment
- accessibility for all ELs and accommodations for ELs with disabilities
- ways to provide ELs standards-referenced feedback on their language development.

The purpose for assessment must match the design of the assessment and the use of the data. If there is a mismatch between the purpose and the measure, then the assessment is not valid and there is no confidence in the usability of the data. Additionally, the purpose of assessment tends to be associated with a specific level of implementation; for example, monitoring daily progress of individual students occurs at a classroom level while monitoring overall student progress on a quarterly basis, let's say, may occur at a grade level, department level, or program level. Table 3 outlines the primary purposes for assessment at each level of implementation along with suggested measures.

Purpose for Assessment	Measures at the	Measures at the Grade/Department	Measures at the State Level
in K-12 Settings	Classroom Level	and Program Levels	
1. Screening students to			Home Language Survey
determine eligibility			WIDA Screener
for language support			
services			
2. Monitoring progress	<ul> <li>Instructionally</li> </ul>	Common district or school	
of students' language	embedded	assessment of academic achievement	
development and	assessment	(with accommodations)	
academic	<ul> <li>Student self- and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Common language assessment with</li> </ul>	
achievement	peer assessment	supports	
3. Fulfilling federal			PARCC (with EL accommodations)
accountability			Additional non-academic variable
requirements			• ACCESS for ELLs 2.0
4. Reclassifying students		Teacher recommendations based on	• ACCESS for ELLs 2.0
within or transition		classroom assessment data (e.g.,	
from language		student portfolios)	
support services			
5. Evaluating	<ul> <li>Teacher surveys &amp;</li> </ul>	Common district or school	PARCC (with accommodations for all
instructional support	focus groups	assessment of academic achievement	ELs)
services		(with accommodations)	• ACCESS for ELLs 2.0 (with
		Common language assessment with	accommodations for ELs with
		supports	disabilities)
		School leader interviews	Alternate ACCESS for ELLs (for ELs)
			with significant cognitive disabilities)

Table 3. Purposes for Assessing ELs and Suggested Measures at the Classroom, Grade/Department/Program, and State Levels (Gottlieb, 2016).

The features of Illinois' instructional designs for ELs by their very nature dictate the languages of assessment. It is important to maintain a one-to-one correspondence between the language(s) of instruction and the language(s) of assessment at the classroom, program, and district levels. For instance, if literacy instruction is exclusively in the students' home language for ELs in a Transitional Bilingual Education (TBE) setting, then it is premature to assess the students' literacy in English. Likewise, the language allocation in dual language designs mirrors the amount of assessment in the home language in relation to that in English; for example, in 90/10 programs, 90 percent of assessment is to be in ELs' home language and 10 percent in English; in 50/50 programs, the languages of assessment (as instruction) are shared. Table 4 provides a guide for the languages of assessment by instructional design.

Instructional	Differentiated English	Accommodated	Assessment of Academic
Design	Language Proficiency	Assessment of Academic	Achievement in the
	Assessment at the	Achievement in English	Home Language at the
	Classroom and	at the Classroom and	Classroom and Program
	Program Levels	Program Levels	Levels
Dual		All content areas taught	Core courses in home
Language—	X	in English with home	language
Two Way		language support	
Dual			Core courses in home
Language—	X		language
One Way			
Transitional		All content areas taught	Core courses in home
Bilingual		in English with home	language
Program: Self-	X	language support	
Contained			
Transitional		All content areas taught	Core courses in home
Bilingual		in English with home	language
Program:	X	language support	
Collaboration			
Transitional		X	
Program in		(with home language	
English:	X	support as provided	
Self-		during instruction)	
Contained			
Transitional		X	
Program in		(with home language	
English:	X	support as provided	
Collaboration		during instruction)	

Table 4. Illinois' Instructional Designs for ELs and Their Corresponding Languages of Assessment