Illinois Alternate Assessment Writing Frameworks Priorities Grade 3

In the spring of 2006, a team of Illinois educators created the new Illinois Alternate Assessment (IAA) Frameworks. The purpose of the frameworks is to prioritize the skills and knowledge from the Illinois Learning Standards for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities, in order to develop a new Illinois Alternate Assessment. The Illinois State Board of Education (ISBE) contracted Pearson Educational Measurement (PEM), and their subcontractor partners, Beck Evaluation and Testing Associates, Inc. (BETA), and the Inclusive Large Scale Standards and Assessment (ILSSA) group, to develop the new IAA in grades three through eight and 11 for Reading and Mathematics; in grades four, seven, and 11 for Science; and in grades three, five, six, eight, and 11 for Writing. BETA's responsibilities include providing event-based assessment activities linked to the IAA Frameworks, developing the assessment rubric, and incorporating principles of Universal Design for Learning. ILSSA's responsibilities include facilitating the development of the IAA Frameworks and providing statewide staff development on how to access grade-level curriculum. Pearson Learning Group (PLG) is a division of PEM and their responsibilities include providing a customized online scoring tool along with training to use this feature.

During the framework development meetings, educators were divided into development teams based on both content area and grade level focus. Addressing reading, writing, mathematics, and science, each content area had one development team for elementary school, one for middle school, and one for high school. Each team consisted of at least one general education teacher, one special education teacher, and one content expert. The process used by the development teams to create the Illinois Alternate Assessment Frameworks was as follows:

The development teams reviewed each of the assessment objectives (statements coded with numbers such as 3.3.01) in grades three through eight. For each assessment objective, the teams:

- Identified the critical function, or the main idea of the objective;
- Wrote an instructional activity that could be used to teach the skills needed to meet the assessment objective in the general education classroom;
- Wrote a modified instructional activity that could be used to teach students with the most significant cognitive disabilities the same skills; and
- Identified three assessment activities that could be used to assess students with the most significant cognitive disabilities on the skills described in the modified activity.

After the development teams examined the assessment objectives and wrote both instructional and assessment activities for each grade level, the teams prioritized the assessment objectives and selected the assessment objectives which are most suitable for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. The leadership team at ISBE reviewed these priorities for further refinement. These pilot priorities will form the basis for the new IAA performance test items. Following the completion of the fall 2006 writing pilot, a review may be necessary in order to determine if the new IAA priorities are appropriate and provide a comparable assessment to the general statewide assessment.

Using grade-level curriculum as the focus, the development teams described the instructional and assessment supports, accommodations, and assistive technology required to ensure access to quality instruction. The descriptions produced by the development teams were summarized into a set of statements designed to provide consistency across the content frameworks. These statements are as follows:

- Appropriate instruction must occur prior to the assessment activity.
- All activities must provide appropriate support, accommodations, and/or assistive technology during both the instructional activities and the assessment process. This may include the following:
 - o The use of prompting strategies, ranging from minimum prompts to full physical assistance in order to create a learning continuum for correct responses.
 - o The use of assistive technology, ranging from low tech to high tech.
 - o The reduction of breadth, depth, and/or complexity.
- Specific instructional strategies and the instructional environment for the individual student vary and should be determined by the student's IEP team.
- During assessment activities, the students should use the mode of communication that they used to learn and practice the skills during instructional activities.

Illinois Alternate Assessment Writing Frameworks Priorities Grade 3

- Instruction should be presented to the student in a way that is authentic, accessible, and meaningful (e.g., tactile objects, picture symbols, or use of a text reader), to ensure the student has multiple opportunities to learn and demonstrate knowledge.
- Instruction should take place within the context of grade-level content, using age-appropriate activities and materials, regardless of the placement of the student.
- Instruction must incorporate age-appropriate activities and materials which represent the same grade level content as their peers without disabilities. However, the activities and materials may be adapted to meet the individual needs of the student (e.g., reduce the language requirements or difficulty of the task) as long as the context of the materials used by same age peers remains intact.

All instructional practices should occur using available resources and materials familiar to the learners.

Communication skills are essential to the assessment of students with significant cognitive disabilities. Symbolic communication skills form the foundation for reading, mathematics, and writing. Students with significant cognitive disabilities are very diverse in their communication ability. Some students communicate symbolically, while others communicate in highly individualized ways. There are some important considerations in the development of symbolic communication skills which include the following:

- All students communicate regardless of their level of symbolic language use.
- Students with the most significant cognitive disabilities can acquire generalized use of objects (or object selection) to communicate preferences (Hetzroni, Rubin, Konkol, 2002).
- Language learners must use symbols repeatedly, interactively, and generatively during meaningful and ongoing activities in language-rich environments (Goossens, Crain, & Elder, 1992; Cafiero, 1998; Goossens, et al., 1992; Romiski & Sevcik, 1996; Miller & Eller-Miller, 2002; Mirenda, 2003).
- Competent use of language for multiple purposes, audiences, and contexts facilitate the metalinguistic skills required for reading comprehension (Rankin, Harwood, & Mirenda, 1994).

The assessment activities in this document reflect three types of students who represent the continuum of communication skills within this student population.

- The first activity is designed for students who inconsistently respond to communication and inconsistently use words, objects, or gestures to communicate expressively.
- The second activity is for learners who are beginning to use understandable communication through gestures, pictures, objects/textures, points, etc., to clearly express a variety of intentions.
- The third activity is for students who are using verbal or written words, sign language, Braille, or any language-based augmentative system to request, initiate, and respond to questions, describe things or events, and express refusal.

Throughout the frameworks document, the suggested general education instructional and modified instructional activities are written in plural form, as instruction is typically provided to a whole class. However, the instruction for these activities may be provided in the context of whole class instruction, small group instruction, or individually based on student needs. Conversely, the assessment activities are written in the singular form, as the IAA is a standard assessment measuring individual performance.

The process of reviewing the frameworks and aligning the new IAA to the Illinois Learning Standards and regular assessment is an ongoing process. The activities in this document were created by Illinois teachers and are only suggested activities that may be used to teach the assessment objectives listed. The activities, materials, and techniques listed in the frameworks are just one possible way to teach these assessment objectives and should not to be considered the state-mandated strategy for teaching any given assessment objective. Teachers should feel comfortable in using other strategies, materials, or activities that may already be in place or familiar to the teacher. The IAA Frameworks and the IAA itself will continue to be reviewed and adjusted as changes occur with the Illinois Standards and/or the general assessment.

Priorities Grade 3

Goal 3: Write to communicate for a variety of purposes.

- A. Grammar, Sentence Structure, Spelling, Punctuation and Capitalization: Grammar and Sentence Structure:
 - 3.3.01 Write complete sentences (e.g., avoid fragments and run-on sentences).
 - 3.3.09 Capitalize words correctly (based on grade-appropriate rules).
 - 3.3.10 Use correct end punctuation.
- B. Expository Essay: Write an expository composition explaining or interpreting a specific topic based upon background experiences or information provided in the prompt. Expository (Focus): The clarity with which a composition presents and maintains a clear main idea or point view.
 - 3.3.15 Maintain logic throughout.
 - 3.3.21 Include evident structure (beginning, middle, and end).

The rollout for the new IAA is as follows:

- 2007 Start with Grades Five, Eight, and 11
- 2008 Add Grade Six
- 2009 Add Grade Three

IAA Writing Priorities Grade 3-November 14, 2006

Grammar, Sentence Structure, Spelling, Punctuation and Capitalization (Standard A) Grammar and Sentence Structure

3.3.01 Write complete sentences (e.g., avoid fragments and run-on sentences).

| Critical | Suggested General Education | Suggested Modified | Possible Assessment Activity 1 | Possible Assessment Activity 2 | Possible Assessment Activity 3 |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|
| Function | Activity | Instructional Activity | | | |
| Write a complete sentence. | The teacher will model the components of a complete sentence (e.g., subject, verb, capitalization, end punctuation marks). The students will write sentences using subject, verb, capitalization, and end punctuation marks. The students will work with a partner and determine whether or not their sentences meet the | The teacher will model simple subject/verb sentences, using visual cues. The teacher will model simple subject/verb sentences. The students will create simple sentences. | Given simple subject/verb sentences and non-sentences, the student will select the complete sentence when presented with an example of each. | Given a sentence with the subject or the verb missing, the student will choose the subject or verb that correctly completes the sentence. | Given a word bank, the student will create a simple subject/verb sentence. |

Grammar, Sentence Structure, Spelling, Punctuation and Capitalization (Standard A) Punctuation and Capitalization

3.3.09 Capitalize words correctly (based on grade-appropriate rules).

| Cicios Cuprinizad II | or as correctly (susea on grad | e appropriate raissy. | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Critical Function | Suggested General | Suggested Modified | Possible Assessment Activity 1 | Possible Assessment Activity 2 | Possible Assessment Activity 3 |
| | Education Activity | Instructional Activity | | | |
| Capitalize words | The teacher will model the | The teacher will use available | Given sentences with two | Given a sentence presented in all | Given a writing prompt, the |
| correctly using | correct use of capitalization | resources familiar to the | words selected (e.g., | lower case letters, the student | student will construct two or more |
| grade-appropriate | (e.g., beginning of | students to demonstrate the | highlighted or bolded), the | will use capital letters where | sentences using the correct rules |
| rules. | sentences, proper nouns). | correct use of capitalization | student will indicate which | appropriate. | for capitalization. |
| | The students will be given | (e.g., beginning of sentences, | word should be capitalized. | | |
| | sentences with incorrect | proper nouns). The teacher | | | |
| | capitalization and be asked | will use interactive writing to | | | |
| | to correct each sentence. | guide the students in applying | | | |
| | They will work with a | the rules of capitalization. | | | |
| | partner to determine whether | The students will generate a | | | |
| | or not the capitalization in | writing piece that uses correct | | | |
| | their sentences is correct. | capitalization. | | | |
| | | | | | |

Grammar, Sentence Structure, Spelling, Punctuation and Capitalization (Standard A) Punctuation and Capitalization

3.3.10 Use correct end punctuation.

| Critical Function | Suggested General | Suggested Modified | Possible Assessment Activity 1 | Possible Assessment Activity | Possible Assessment Activity 3 |
|-------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| | Education Activity | Instructional Activity | 1 0001010 1 10000001110110 1 1001 110 1 | 2 | 1 0001010 1 10000001110110 1 1001 1109 0 |
| Use correct | The teacher will model | The teacher will introduce | Given a statement or question | Given two simple sentences, | The student will be asked to write an |
| punctuation. | the correct use of | the rules of punctuation | and punctuation cards/cues, the | the student will identify the | example of a simple "question |
| | punctuation. The | using available resources | student will select the correct | correct end punctuation for | sentence" and use the correct end |
| | students will work in | familiar to the students. The | end punctuation for the sentence. | each sentence. | punctuation. |
| | pairs using peer editing | teacher will use interactive | | | |
| | to determine if their | writing to guide students in | | | |
| | sentences have correct | applying the rules of end | | | |
| | punctuation. The | punctuation. The students | | | |
| | students will write new | will write sentences | | | |
| | sentences illustrating the | illustrating the proper use of | | | |
| | proper use of | punctuation. | | | |
| | punctuation. | | | | |

Composition (Standards B & C)

Expository Essay: Write an expository composition explaining or interpreting a specific topic based upon background experiences or information provided in the prompt. Expository (Focus): The clarity with which a composition presents and maintains a clear main idea or point of view.

3.3.15 Maintain logic throughout.

| 3.3.15 Maintain 10 | V 0 | | | 1 | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Critical Function | Suggested General | Suggested Modified | Possible Assessment Activity 1 | Possible Assessment Activity 2 | Possible Assessment Activity 3 |
| | Education Activity | Instructional Activity | | | |
| Maintain the | The teacher will share | The teacher will share | Given an idea and two choices, the | Given several sentences, some of | Given several sentences from |
| focus of the | student expository writing | student expository writing | student will select the choice that | which represent the same topic | two different paragraphs, the |
| writing. | samples that are good | samples that are good | best goes with the idea, in order to | and the others representing a | student will organize the |
| | examples of staying on | examples of staying on | maintain focus. | different topic, the student will | sentences, using a graphic |
| | topic. The teacher will | topic. The teacher will | | select the series of sentences that | organizer or other available |
| | review the four | then review the four | | maintains focus. | resource familiar to the student, |
| | characteristics from the | characteristics from the | | | in correct order to maintain logic |
| | state writing rubric with | state writing rubric with | | | of each paragraph. |
| | the students. In whole or | the students. Using the | | | |
| | small group(s), the | interactive writing | | | |
| | students will evaluate two | process, the teacher and | | | |
| | to three student samples | students will generate an | | | |
| | of expository writing for | expository essay focused | | | |
| | staying on topics. Using | on the topic. The | | | |
| | level four of the rubric to | students will evaluate the | | | |
| | assist them, they will | samples of expository | | | |
| | write an evaluation of | essays focused on a topic. | | | |
| | each writing sample. | | | | |
| | Finally, the students will | | | | |
| | complete a self- | | | | |
| | evaluation of their own | | | | |
| | expository writing, | | | | |
| | checking that they have | | | | |
| | stayed on topic and then | | | | |
| | conference with the | | | | |
| | teacher for feedback. | | | | |

Composition (Standards B & C)

Expository Essay: Write an expository composition explaining or interpreting a specific topic based upon background experiences or information provided in the prompt. Expository (Organization): The clarity of the logical flow of ideas and the explicitness of the text structure or plan (coherence and cohesion).

3.3.21 Include evident structure (beginning, middle, and end).

| Critical Function | Suggested General | Suggested Modified | Possible Assessment Activity 1 | Possible Assessment Activity 2 | Possible Assessment Activity 3 |
|-------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Education Activity | Instructional Activity | | | |
| Write expository | The teacher will share | The teacher will share | Given a series of simple sentence | Given a series of simple | Given an expository essay topic |
| compositions that | work samples of effective | work samples of effective | captions that "tell" the | sentence captions that "tell" the | that targets evident structure, the |
| ave a clear | expository writing that | expository writing that | introduction, body, and conclusion | introduction, body, and | student will write an expository |
| ntroduction, | have an "evident | have an "evident | of an expository essay and a | conclusion of an expository | essay. |
| ody, and | structure" (clear | structure" (clear | choice of two possible sequences, | essay, the student will sequence | |
| onclusion | beginning, middle and | beginning, middle and | the student will identify the | the introduction, body, and | |
| evident | end). The teacher will | end). The teacher will | appropriate sequence that | conclusion pictures/text in the | |
| tructure). | then review the | then review the | illustrates an "evident structure." | correct order. | |
| | characteristics of an | characteristics of an | | | |
| | evident structure using | evident structure using | | | |
| | the state rubric. In whole | the state rubric. In whole | | | |
| | or small group(s), the | or small group(s), the | | | |
| | students will evaluate two | students will evaluate two | | | |
| | to three other student | to three other student | | | |
| | samples of expository | samples of expository | | | |
| | writing looking for | writing looking for | | | |
| | evident structure and | evident structure and | | | |
| | using the state rubric. | using the state rubric. The | | | |
| | Students will write a | teacher and students will | | | |
| | rationale for their | create an expository essay | | | |
| | evaluation. Finally, the | using an interactive | | | |
| | students will complete a | writing procedure. The | | | |
| | self-evaluation of their | students will evaluate | | | |
| | own expository writing | samples of "evident | | | |
| | targeting "evident | structure" in expository | | | |
| | structure," then | compositions. | | | |
| | conference with the | | | | |

Illinois Alternate Assessment Writing Frameworks Priorities Grade 3

| teacher for feedback. | | | |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| | 1 | | |

IAA Writing Priorities Grade 3-November 14, 2006