

## PART B: NARRATIVE PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

*This Section is based on the information collection submission made by the U.S. Department of Education, Office of Vocational and Adult Education (OMB No. 1830): Consolidated Annual Report (CAR) for the Carl D. Perkins Career and Technical Education Act of 2006.*

Each State must address all the items below, and to the extent possible, use bullets, tables, and charts to summarize key points of its performance in the past program year (July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011). The entire narrative report must not exceed 20 pages.

### 1. Implementation of State Leadership Activities

Section 124(b) and (c) of *Perkins IV* describe the required and permissible uses of State leadership funds, respectively. Provide a summary of your State's major initiatives and activities in each of the required areas, as well as any of the permissible areas that your State has chosen to undertake during the program year.

#### a. Required Uses of Funds

**Conducting an assessment of the vocational and technical education programs funded under *Perkins IV*.**

Secondary--Performance Information System	Postsecondary--Performance Information System
Examines student progress aggregated into a wide variety of configurations.	Examines student progress aggregated into a wide variety of configurations.
Uses locally entered student records.	Uses locally entered student records.
Uses state matching to administrative databases to identify student results for each Perkins sub-indicator.	Uses state matching to administrative databases to identify student results for each Perkins sub-indicator.
Assesses the needs and outcomes of demographic and programmatic subpopulation.	Postsecondary subrecipients use data to assess the needs of subpopulations.
Education for Employment Regional Delivery Systems use results of the state performance report to access core indicators and approve local CTE programs. Results are used for development and revision of regional career and technical education plans.	Community colleges use results of the state performance report related to core indicators. Data are used for development and revision of local CTE plans.
ISBE staff worked directly with subrecipients to assess local program strengths.	ICCB regional consultants worked directly with subrecipients to assess local program strengths.

**Developing, improving, or expanding the use of technology in career and technical education.**

<b>Secondary</b>	<b>Postsecondary</b>
Provided school districts with up-to-date technological tools to track and report data.	ICCB CTE Innovation Grants provided resources to help 1) the development, implementation, expansion, and/or assessment of community college CTE Programs of Study and 2) the application and use of data for CTE program improvement.
Continued modification of tools to comply with grant requirements.	Expanded Illinois Community College Online.
Provided technology integration in district/school improvement plans, curriculum and instruction, and all state and local initiatives.	Continued dedicated funding for technology.
Continued dedication funding for technology.	Ensured that technology benefits high-risk, high-poverty students and colleges.
Provided expansion of high-quality e-learning (online) through the Curriculum Revitalization Project.	ICCB Regional Collaboration Grants are designed to improve, modernize, and enhance CTE programs at the secondary and postsecondary levels.
Provided professional development for pre-service and in-service teachers and other educators.	ICCB piloted the Illinois Programs of Study Expectations Tool as an interactive instrument to help educational partners ensure they are meeting the federal Program of Study requirements and the high standards set in Illinois.
Enabled teachers and other educators to integrate technology.	ICCB launched the Pathways to Results initiative, which focuses on improving student transition results by developing metrics, methods, and tools that community colleges and Partnerships for College and Career Success may use to continually improve the results obtained by students in these career pathways.
	ICCB funded Programs of Study Local Implementation Grants to provide resources to support local efforts to develop, improve, and implement aligned Programs of Study; contribute to improvements in equity and outcomes for students; and evaluate solutions based on previously completed work.

**Offering professional development programs, including providing comprehensive professional development (including initial teacher preparation) for career and technical**

**education teachers, faculty, administrators, and career guidance and academic counselors at the secondary and postsecondary levels.**

- Illinois State University received grant funds for the Illinois Center for Specialized Professional Support (ICSPPS) to provide and implement staff development activities. ICSPPS provided support in the following areas:
  - The New Look Project, including the updated Online Self-Study 2.0, which provides professional development and technical assistance to secondary and postsecondary career and technical educators in identifying and strengthening aspects of their programming for all special population learners.
  - The ICSPPS website (<http://www.icspps.ilstu.edu>), which provides comprehensive access to resources, information, and assistance.
  - The “Ask the Expert” workshop series, which provided comprehensive professional development on “An Introduction to Nontraditional Occupations (NTO), NTO, Why So Few? Women in Sciences, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics Breaking through Barriers for Women and Girls, and *Pink Brain, Blue Brain*: Application of research to instruction and services to nontraditional learners.”
  - The Forum for Excellence, which provides technical assistance and professional development to local projects, CTE administrators, Perkins coordinators, and Partnerships for College and Career Success leadership.
- More than 15 workshops were presented on supporting the success of special populations, nontraditional occupation development, in addition to a webinar on nontraditional best practices.
- An online Illinois Special Populations Professional Development Tool was developed to enhance the resources available to CTE teachers and assist in the success of special populations learners in the CTE classroom. The interactive tool is arranged in modules devoted to each of the special populations.
- Illinois University Council–Illinois State University received grant funds to implement activities and provide support in the following areas:
  - Collaborating with classroom teachers and other state-based stakeholders regarding CTE initiatives.
  - Funding council members for professional development experiences.
  - Promoting and supporting collaborative research with deliverable outcomes among CTE professionals, which can influence the practices of Illinois CTE programs.
  - Designing and delivering professional development activities for pre-service CTE teachers focusing on CTE critical issues.
  - Promoting web presence for Illinois CTE.
- Southern Illinois University received grant funds to organize opportunities for community college and university faculty and staff to learn more about career paths for associate to baccalaureate degrees and to inform and engage students in exploring career paths in their respective disciplines.
- The Regional Collaboration Grant supported innovative regional professional development.
- ICCB developed the Illinois Programs of Study Expectations Tool as an interactive instrument to help educational partners ensure they are meeting the federal Program of Study requirements and the high standards set in Illinois.

**Providing support for career and technical education programs that improve the academic and career and technical skills of students through the integration of academics with career and technical education.**

<b>Secondary</b>	<b>Postsecondary</b>
The HSTW initiative provided funding to assist in integrating and upgrading the rigor of academic and CTE studies.	The ICCB CTE Innovation Grant includes a goal for the “development, implementation, expansion, and/or assessment of community college CTE Programs of Study.”
The Curriculum Revitalization Initiative develops and disseminates revitalized CTE curricula in all content areas.	The Illinois Center for Specialized Professional Support New Look Project focused on the integration of academics and CTE.
Education for Employment Regional Delivery Systems must develop plans, course approvals, and individual budgets to include academic and CTE programs for improving student performance in alignment with the core indicators.	ICCB developed plans, course approvals, and individual budgets to include academic and CTE programs for improving student performance in alignment with the core indicators.
The University of Illinois Project Lead the Way provides exposure to engineering concepts for students in middle-grades and high schools.	ICCB Regional Collaboration Grants are designed to improve, modernize, and enhance CTE programs at the secondary and postsecondary levels.
The Mark Ed consortium provides CTE students with an up-to-date curriculum to assist in academic and CTE integration.	ICCB used the Illinois Programs of Study Expectations Tool as an interactive instrument to help educational partners ensure they are meeting the federal Program of Study requirements and the high standards set in Illinois.
The National Consortium on Health Science and Technology Education is a national partnership with a vested interest in health science technology education that works to stimulate creative and innovative leadership for ensuring a well-prepared healthcare workforce.	ICCB funded Programs of Study Local Implementation Grants to provide resources to support local efforts to develop, improve, and implement aligned Programs of Study, contribute to improvements in equity and outcomes for students, and evaluate solutions based on previously completed work.
The Consortium for Center to Advance the Teaching of Technology and Science is responsible for professional development, curriculum development, and research-related projects, and provides the profession with a special focus on the classroom teacher through a standards-based curriculum provided through the national model program, Engineering by Design.	

**Providing preparation for non-traditional fields in current and emerging professions, and other activities that expose students, including special populations, to high skill, high wage occupations, except that one-day or short-term workshops or conferences are not allowable.**

<b>Secondary</b>	<b>Postsecondary</b>
The Illinois Nontraditional Training and Employment Statewide Resource Center provides resources on gender equity and nontraditional careers.	The Illinois Center for Specialized Professional Support for the New Look Project provides grants to colleges for innovative programs related to nontraditional employment and training.
The Gender Equity Advisory Council works to advise and consult with ISBE in all aspects related to ensuring that all students have equal educational opportunities to pursue high-wage, high-skill occupations leading to economic self-sufficiency.	The Gender Equity Advisory Council ensures that all students have equal educational opportunities to pursue high-wage, high-skill occupations leading to economic self-sufficiency.
State leadership funds were provided to implement activities to expand the knowledge and skills of professionals working with special populations and nontraditional training and employment and to coordinate statewide STEM equity leadership team activities.	ICCB developed plans, course approvals, and individual budgets to include academic and CTE programs for improving student performance in alignment with the core indicators.
Illinois is a member state of the National Alliance for Partnership in Equity, a consortium of organizations working in collaboration to create equitable and diverse classrooms and workplaces. Equity professionals throughout Illinois have the opportunity to gain technical assistance with implementation using Perkins, educational materials that promote equitable learning environments, research documents, and data analysis reports to have an impact on public policy and local program improvement.	The FY11 ICCB Regional Collaboration Grant goal includes identifying model programs and disseminating best practice models among Collaborative members and/or statewide.
	ICCB funded Programs of Study Local Implementation Grants to provide resources to support local efforts to develop, improve, and implement aligned Programs of Study, contribute to improvements in equity and outcomes for students, and evaluate solutions based on previously completed work.

**Supporting partnerships among local educational agencies, institutions of higher education, adult education providers, and, as appropriate, other entities, such as employers, labor organizations, intermediaries, parents, and local partnerships, to enable students to achieve**

**State academic standards, and career and technical skills, or complete career and technical programs of study.**

<b>Secondary</b>	<b>Postsecondary</b>
Continuation of the Education for Employment Regional Delivery Systems districts ensures that the CTE curriculum is aligned to meet state academic standards and CTE standards and skills.	Continuation of the Illinois Community College districts ensures that the CTE curriculum is aligned to meet state academic standards and CTE standards and skills.
University Council on Career and Technical Education worked to sustain and strengthen relationships between and among ISBE and all public institutions of higher education that prepare teachers and counselors in workforce development and/or CTE.	ICCB Regional Collaboration Grants are designed to bring together partnerships of regional stakeholders to improve, modernize, and enhance CTE programs at the secondary and postsecondary levels.
Education for Employment Regional Delivery Systems work with advisory councils that include business, industry, and labor to develop programs of study and ensure that skills are up to date.	ICCB piloted the Illinois Programs of Study Expectations Tool as an interactive instrument to help educational partners ensure they are meeting the federal Program of Study requirements and the high standards set in Illinois.

**Serving individuals in State institutions.**

ISBE and ICCB serve appropriate institutionalized populations under Perkins, in cooperation with the Illinois Department of Corrections and the Illinois Department of Human Services.

- Correctional Institutions
  - Life Skills Centers facilitate the re-entry of released individuals into the workforce by providing services to assist them with obtaining gainful employment.
  - Referrals to the Life Skills Program are made by coordinators at each adult and juvenile Illinois Department of Corrections facility.
  - The correctional education system provides standardized vocational programs through the approval of ICCB.
  - The Illinois Department of Corrections funded several nontraditional training programs, including culinary arts and cosmetology.
- Institutions for Individuals with Disabilities
  - The Illinois Department of Human Services, Office of Rehabilitation Services, Education for Employment Delivery System, comprises three residential facilities administered and operated by the Office of Rehabilitation Services.
  - The Illinois Department of Human Services, Office of Mental Health and Development Disabilities, comprises 12 residential educational facilities and continues to target program completion and employment.

**Providing support for programs for special populations that lead to high skill, high wage and high demand occupations.**

- Illinois State University–State Leadership Activities funds were provided to the Illinois Center for Specialized Professional Support to implement activities that expand the knowledge and skills of professionals working with special populations and nontraditional training and employment.
- The Illinois Center for Specialized Professional Support and the Illinois Office of Educational Services–Nontraditional Training and Employment Resource Center conducted more than 15 workshops on supporting the success of special populations, nontraditional occupation development.
- Southern Illinois University–Nontraditional Training and Employment Resource Center provided technical assistance and web-based resources to assist nontraditional participants.

**Offering technical assistance for eligible recipients.**

<b>Secondary</b>	<b>Postsecondary</b>
During the FY11 school year ISBE implemented a new student course enrollment system, the Illinois State Course System (ISCS), which replaces the Illinois Student Information System (ISIS). The ISCS collects student course-taking data through the ISBE Student Information System (SIS), which is a longitudinal data system that will enable Illinois to track CTE data across multiple years and meet the Perkins IV accountability requirements. ISBE provides training and technical support materials for users.	ICCB launched the Pathways to Results initiative, which focuses on improving student transition results by developing metrics, methods, and tools that community colleges and Partnerships for College and Career Success can use to continually improve the results obtained by students in these career pathways.
ISBE principal consultants provided technical assistance aimed at promoting local program development and innovation.	ICCB staff and regional consultants provided technical assistance aimed at promoting local program development and innovation.
The Illinois Center for Specialized Professional Support grant provides training and technical assistance for secondary Perkins personnel who facilitate the performance improvement of special population learners.	The Forum for Excellence provides technical assistance to local projects for professional development. This event serves as the kick-off for the annual professional development agenda. The topics selected for inclusion are followed up with intensive technical assistance support throughout the year.
The Southern Illinois University Nontraditional grant provides resource materials and training to teachers, administrators, and counselors on nontraditional careers and gender equity in the classroom.	The Illinois Center for Specialized Professional Support supports local projects throughout the state with direct technical assistance on special populations.
The Southern Illinois University Curriculum Revitalization grant provides quality	The Office of Community College Research and Leadership supports local projects

<b>Secondary</b>	<b>Postsecondary</b>
curriculum and instructional improvement resources to Illinois educators.	throughout the state with direct technical assistance on programs of study.
The Perkins IV Technical Assistance grant provides resources to assist in the development of sample programs of study that may be adopted by the district and postsecondary institutions.	ICCB funded grants to support a statewide professional development infrastructure. The Regional Collaboration Grants are designed to promote collaboration and innovation at the secondary and postsecondary levels.
The Illinois Department of Employment Security maintains, updates, and disseminates the career information delivery systems and provides specific products and services that support, improve, and enhance career exploration and technical education programs.	ICCB funded Programs of Study Local Implementation Grants to provide resources to support local efforts to develop, improve, and implement aligned Programs of Study, contribute to improvements in equity and outcomes for students, and evaluate solutions based on previously completed work.
ISBE hosted a series of regional meetings to address the needs of local projects as they prepared their annual plans.	ICCB partnered with the Center for Occupational Research and Development to assess professional development needs related to Programs of Study implementation.

**b. Permissible Activities**

**Improving career guidance and academic counseling programs.**

- Not Applicable.

**Establishing agreements, including articulation agreements, between secondary school and postsecondary career and technical education programs to provide postsecondary education and training opportunities for students.**

- Articulation agreements through the Education for Employment Regional Delivery Systems, local high schools, and postsecondary institutions assist with facilitating program alignment; student transition, shared facilities, equipment, and staff; and cooperative program planning and evaluation. The Illinois Articulation Initiative is a statewide effort to coordinate the articulation process between two- and four-year colleges for a variety of instructional programs.
- The purpose of the ICCB Regional Collaboration Grant is to support the creation and steering of Regional Collaborative of CTE stakeholders designed to promote collaboration and innovation.
- ICCB developed the Illinois Programs of Study Expectations Tool as an interactive instrument to help educational partners ensure they are meeting the federal Program of Study requirements and the high standards set in Illinois.



**Supporting initiatives to facilitate the transition of sub baccalaureate career and technical education students into baccalaureate programs.**

- Joint planning that includes secondary and postsecondary representatives is encouraged to strengthen programs of study at the state and local levels. Regional consortia and community colleges are encouraged to use joint advisory councils that serve secondary and postsecondary levels. Where all tasks for any occupation cannot be taught through secondary course offerings, postsecondary articulation components, as appropriate, have been established.
- The Programs of Study Initiative aligns secondary education, community colleges, and four-year institutions through:
  - Seamless transition
  - Reduced remediation
  - Nonduplicated courses
  - Integrated academic and CTE curricula
  - Standards-based curricula aligned with industry credentials and/or certification
  - Dual-credit opportunities
  - Career and professional development
  - Articulation agreements
  - Partnerships and collaboration
  - Continuous improvement
- Dual or concurrent enrollment ensures involvement of all the appropriate agencies, identifying responsibilities and resources that can be shared to ensure expanded opportunities, efficiency, and quality of the programs. The Illinois Articulation Initiative is a statewide effort to coordinate the articulation process for a variety of instructional programs.
- The ICCB regional Collaboration Grant goal includes identifying model programs and disseminating best practices models among Collaborative members and/or statewide.
- ICCB developed the Pathways to Results initiative, which focuses on improving student transition results by developing metrics, methods, and tools that community colleges and Partnerships for College and Career Success can use to continually improve the results obtained by students in these career pathways.

**Supporting career and technical student organizations.**

Secondary	Postsecondary
Education for Employment Regional Delivery Systems and community colleges work to support activities that are an integral part of the CTE instructional program.	Education for Employment Regional Delivery Systems and community colleges work to support activities that are an integral part of the CTE instructional program.
Each occupational area of the Career and Technical Student Organization has a representative on the Curriculum Revitalization Initiative project. In addition, leadership funds are used to support secondary chapters of CTE student organizations.	ICCB leadership funds are used to support postsecondary chapters of CTE student organizations.

### **Supporting public charter schools operating career and technical education programs.**

- The state supports public charter schools operating approved CTE programs that are part of an Education for Employment region.

### **Supporting career and technical education programs that offer experience in, and understanding of, all aspects of an industry for which students are preparing to enter.**

- Joint planning that includes secondary and postsecondary representatives is encouraged to strengthen programs of study at the state and local levels. Regional consortia and community colleges are encouraged to use joint advisory councils that serve secondary and postsecondary levels. Where all tasks for any occupation cannot be taught through secondary course offerings, postsecondary articulation components, as appropriate, have been established.
- Experience in and understanding of all aspects of the industry is required, including alignment with industry certifications, where available and appropriate.
- In addition to technical skills and industry certifications, approved program content must reflect the integration of academic and workplace skills. Curricula must be aligned with the *Illinois Learning Standards* and industry certifications, when available. Dual-enrollment/dual credit options are encouraged in coordination with ICCB and are viable as part of the program approval process.

### **Supporting family and consumer sciences programs.**

- Illinois did not provide any leadership funding to this activity.

### **Supporting partnerships between education and business or business intermediaries, including cooperative education and adjunct faculty arrangements at the secondary and postsecondary levels.**

- The ICCB Innovation grant was widely used to support partnerships between community colleges and regional business partners.
- ICCB developed the Pathways to Results initiative, which focuses on improving student transition results by developing metrics, methods, and tools that community colleges and Partnerships for College and Career Success can use to continually improve the results obtained by students in these career pathways.
- ICCB funded grants to support a statewide professional development infrastructure. The Regional Collaboration Grants are designed to promote collaboration and innovation between education and business/industry partners.
- ICCB funded Programs of Study Local Implementation Grants to provide resources to support local efforts to develop, improve, and implement aligned Programs of Study, including building partnerships with business partners.

**Supporting the improvement or development of new career and technical education courses and initiatives, including career clusters, career academies, and distance education.**

- Joint planning that includes secondary and postsecondary representatives is encouraged to strengthen programs of study at the state and local levels. Regional consortia and community colleges are encouraged to use joint advisory councils that serve secondary and postsecondary levels. Where all tasks for any occupation cannot be taught through secondary course offerings, postsecondary articulation components, as appropriate, have been established.
- Eligible recipients from the secondary and postsecondary levels of education are encouraged to participate on the Programs of Study committees as they are being developed. They will assist in professional development statewide and regionally to implement the Programs of Study Initiative.
- Perkins funds are used to purchase up-to-date instructional materials. State and local curriculum development will use the latest technology in terms of instructional content and instructional delivery.

**Awarding incentive grants to eligible recipients for exemplary performance or for use for innovative initiatives under section 135(c) (19) of *Perkins IV*.**

- Not Applicable.

**Providing activities to support entrepreneurship education and training.**

- Consortium for Entrepreneurship Education provides professional development and curriculum support to CTE leaders in Illinois.

**Providing career and technical education programs for adults and school dropouts to complete their secondary school education.**

- Not Applicable.

**Providing assistance to individuals who have participated in Perkins-assisted services and activities in continuing their education or training or finding appropriate jobs.**

- The goal of the ICCB Innovation grant is to develop and/or enhance programs and projects that increase learner access to educational opportunities and services that will enable their success.

**Developing valid and reliable assessments of technical skills.**

- Not Applicable.

**Developing or enhancing data systems to collect and analyze data on secondary and postsecondary academic and employment outcomes.**

- The secondary Illinois State Course System (ISCS) was implemented this year. The ISCS is a system designed to allow each school to enroll students in courses (CTE courses) and is tied to state-approved courses. The ISCS is directly linked to the ISBE Student Information System (SIS), a major part of the Illinois Longitudinal Data System (P-20) initiative. With development of the Illinois P-20 enterprise postsecondary and employment data, outcomes will be directly tied to the connection related to the ISBE-assigned unique student identifier.
- In July 2011, an updated data dictionary was issued for the ICCB Management Information System, which is the primary source of the Postsecondary Perkins student tracking and performance measurement data. Employment outcomes for postsecondary students are generated using the Illinois Department of Employment Security Unemployment Insurance (UI) wage records and supplemented with Federal Employment Data Exchange System (FEDES) information. Transfer information is obtained through the National Student Clearinghouse (NSC) Student Tracker data system (<http://www.studentclearinghouse.org/colleges/Tracker/default.htm>). According to the NSC website, the Clearinghouse includes more than 3,300 United States public and private higher education institutions, enrolling approximately 93 percent of the college students nationwide.
- The next generation of the Illinois Postsecondary Perkins Online Data System has been developed, tested, and deployed. (See <http://iccbdbsrv.iccb.org/perfmeasure/home.html>). A companion website for the Career Pathways/Programs of Study (formerly Tech Prep) measures has been developed and is undergoing testing, with an expected launch in spring 2012. Additional training for Postsecondary Perkins Career and Technical Education colleagues on using data for program improvement is being scheduled for spring/summer 2012. Additional efforts to document the impact of CTE on students and communities are being explored.
- In Illinois, substantial work continues on the Illinois Longitudinal Data System, which has been codified in state legislation <http://www.ilga.gov/legislation/publicacts/96/096-0107.htm>, effective July 20, 2009. Illinois was awarded a federal State Longitudinal Data Systems grant, with a start date of July 1, 2009, and an end date of June 30, 2013. <http://nces.ed.gov/Programs/SLDS/pdf/2009illinoisabstract.pdf> and <http://nces.ed.gov/Programs/SLDS/state.asp?stateabbr=IL>.
- Priority components of the Illinois State Longitudinal Data System grant include:
  - Establish a State Education Data Advisory Group.
  - Develop an ISBE P-12 enterprisewide data architecture.
  - Strengthen data quality through a system of data stewards and enhanced procedures for data auditing.
  - Develop an education enterprise warehouse.
  - Link the ISBE-assigned unique student identifier (P-12) with postsecondary and employment data.
  - Use linked data for research and evaluation.
- Illinois was also awarded a State Longitudinal Data Systems Expansion Grant through June 30, 2013, (<http://nces.ed.gov/programs/slids/state.asp?stateabbr=IL>) that includes the following components:
  - Establish a statewide transcript system for middle-grades and high school students.

- Integrate student-level data with teacher and administrator data.
- Continue expansion and development of postsecondary data systems.
- Expand early childhood data collection systems.
- Enhance performance accountability Internet web portal reporting.
- Make out-of-state and Illinois nonpublic transfer information available to more education and workforce agencies through the National Student Clearinghouse.
- Make employment and earnings data available to more education and workforce agencies through Unemployment Insurance Wage Records.
- Acquire hardware, software, and assistance resources to support transparency initiatives.
- Conduct additional research on topics of importance to the community college system.
- Collaborate with the system to identify any needed additional data collection.
- Provide professional development and training on new and enhanced data systems.

**Improving the recruitment and retention of career and technical education teachers, faculty, administrators, or career guidance and academic counselors, and the transition to teaching from business and industry, including small business.**

- The Curriculum Revitalization Project provides a variety of professional development opportunities to CTE teachers throughout the state to build a network of support, in addition to a website to enhance sharing and support for teachers.

**Supporting occupational and employment information resources.**

- Not Applicable.

## **2. Progress in Developing and Implementing Technical Skill Assessments.**

**Section 113(b) of *Perkins IV* describes the core indicators of performance for career and technical education students for which each state is required to gather data and report annually to the Department. Among the core indicators are student attainment of career and technical skill proficiencies, including student achievement on technical assessments aligned with industry-recognized standards, if available and appropriate. [See section 113(b)(2)(A)(ii) of *Perkins IV*.] While the Department recognizes that a state may not have technical skill assessments aligned with industry-recognized standards in every career and technical education program area and for every career and technical education student, the Department asked each state to identify in Part A, Section VI (Accountability and Evaluation) of its new *Perkins IV* State Plan: (1) the program areas for which the state had technical skill assessments; (2) the estimated percentage of students who would be reported in the state's calculation of career and technical education concentrators who took assessments, and (3) the state's plan and timeframe for increasing the coverage of programs and students reported in this indicator in the future. Please provide an update on your state's progress and plan for implementing technical skill assessments with respect to items one through three above.**

To help Illinois collect data for reporting Perkins IV Core Indicator 2S1, Illinois will assess CTE students using ACT WorkKeys tests (Applied Mathematics and Reading for Information). The

program areas that are being addressed for technical skills attainment are the following career clusters: Agriculture, Food, and Natural Resources; Architecture and Construction; Arts, Audio/Video Technology, and Communications; Business Management and Administration; Education and Training; Finance; Government and Public Administration; Health Science; Hospitality and Tourism; Human Services; Information Technology; Law, Public Safety, Corrections, and Security; Manufacturing; Marketing; Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics; and Transportation, Distribution, and Logistics. Approximately 79.8 percent of the Illinois CTE student concentrators were reported with valid WorkKeys scores. Illinois is currently using all of the 16 career clusters and plans to increase the number of students reported by using an improved data-capturing system.

### **3. Implementation of State Program Improvement Plans**

Section 123(a) (1) of *Perkins IV* requires each State, that fails to meet at least 90 percent of an agreed upon State adjusted level of performance for any of the core indicators of performance described in section 113(b)(3) of *Perkins IV*, to develop and implement a program improvement plan, with special consideration given to performance gaps identified under section 113(c)(2) of *Perkins IV*. The plan must be developed and implemented in consultation with appropriate agencies, individuals, and organizations. It must be implemented during the first program year succeeding the program year for which the State failed to meet its State adjusted levels of performance for any of the core indicators of performance.

Please review your State's accountability data in Part D of this report. If your State failed to meet at least 90 percent of a State-adjusted level of performance for any of the core indicators of performance under section 113 of Title I of the Act, please provide a State program improvement plan that addresses, at a minimum, the following items:

- The core indicator(s) that your State failed to meet at the 90 percent threshold.
- The disaggregated categories of students for which there were quantifiable disparities or gaps in performance compared to all students or any other category of students.
- The action steps which will be implemented, beginning in the current program year, to improve the State's performance on the core indicator(s) and for the categories of students for which disparities or gaps in performance were identified.
- The staff member(s) in the State who are responsible for each action step.
- The timeline for completing each action step.

Illinois met at least 90 percent of all of the required postsecondary and secondary state Annual Adjusted Levels of Performance (AALP/Goal).

### **4. Implementation of Local Program Improvement Plans.**

Section 123(b)(1) of *Perkins IV* requires each State to evaluate annually, using the local adjusted levels of performance described in section 113(b)(4) of *Perkins IV*, the career and technical education activities of each eligible recipient receiving funds under the basic grant program (Title I of the Act). Section 123(b)(2) of *Perkins IV* further requires that if

the State, after completing its evaluation, determines that an eligible recipient failed to meet at least 90 percent of an agreed upon local adjusted level of performance for any of the core indicators of performance described in section 113(b)(4) of *Perkins IV*, the eligible recipient shall develop and implement a program improvement plan with special consideration given to performance gaps identified under section 113(b)(4)(C)(ii)(II) of *Perkins IV*. The local improvement plan must be developed and implemented in consultation with appropriate agencies, individuals, and organizations. It must be implemented during the first program year succeeding the program year for which the eligible recipient failed to meet its local adjusted level of performance for any of the core indicators of performance.

Please review the accountability data submitted by your State's eligible recipients. Indicate the total number of eligible recipients that failed to meet at least 90 percent of an agreed upon local adjusted level of performance and that will be required to implement a local program improvement plan for the succeeding program year. Note trends, if any, in the performance of these eligible recipients (i.e., core indicators that were most commonly missed, including those for which less than 90 percent was commonly achieved; and disaggregated categories of students for whom there were disparities or gaps in performance compared to all students).

The following number of eligible recipients failed to meet at least 90 percent of an agreed-upon local adjusted level of performance for the indicators below:

#### Postsecondary

- **1P1: Technical Skill Attainment**—N=1, or 2 percent of eligible recipients, did not meet at least 90 percent of the 70.26 percent AALP/Goal.
- **2P1: Credential, Certificate, or Diploma Completers**—N=1, or 2 percent of eligible recipients, did not meet at least 90 percent of the 52.97 percent AALP/Goal.
- **3P1: Fall-to-Fall Student Retention or Transfer**—N=10, or 21 percent of, eligible recipients did not meet at least 90 percent of the 37.10 percent AALP/Goal.
- **4P1: Student Placement**—N=13, or 27 percent of, eligible recipients did not meet at least 90 percent of the 67.00 percent AALP/Goal.
- **5P1: Nontraditional Participation**—N=25, or 52 percent of, eligible recipients did not meet at least 90 percent of the 20.64 percent AALP/Goal.
- **5P2: Nontraditional Completions**—N=23, or 48 percent of, eligible recipients did not meet at least 90 percent of the 15.06 percent AALP/Goal.

#### Secondary

- **1S1: Academic Attainment-Reading/Language Arts**—N=4, or 7 percent of, eligible recipients did not meet at least 90 percent of the 40.41 percent AALP/Goal. This agreed-upon adjusted level of performance is based on the NCLB baseline.
- **1S2: Academic Attainment-Mathematics**—N=7, or 13 percent of, eligible recipients did not meet at least 90 percent of the 40.10 percent AALP/Goal. This agreed-upon adjusted level of performance is based on the NCLB baseline.
- **2S1: Technical Skill Attainment**—N=1, or 2 percent of eligible recipients, did not meet at least 90 percent of the 41.00 percent AALP/Goal.

- **3S1: Secondary School Completion**—N=4, or 7 percent of, eligible recipients did not meet at least 90 percent of the 95.00 percent AALP/Goal.
- **4S1: Student Graduation Rate**—N=4, or 7 percent of, eligible recipients did not meet at least 90 percent of the 95.00 percent AALP/Goal. This agreed-upon adjusted level of performance is based on the NCLB baseline.
- **5S1: Secondary Placement**—7, or 13 percent of, eligible recipients did not meet at least 90 percent of the 73.00 percent AALP/Goal. One factor in this underperformance is that at the secondary level, Illinois is trying to establish an agreement with the Federal Employment Data Exchange System project and participation will increase the numbers once the agreement has been established. Because Illinois no longer collects social security numbers, this indicator is more challenging to meet. Illinois is considering obtaining clearinghouse data for more opportunities.
- **6S1: Nontraditional Participation**—All eligible recipients met at least 90 percent of the 16.00 percent AALP/Goal.
- **6S2: Nontraditional Completion**—All eligible recipients met at least 90 percent of the 13.90 percent AALP/Goal.

**The state notes trends, if any, in performance of the eligible recipients who failed to meet one or more indicators.**

### **Postsecondary**

- Statewide, Illinois postsecondary actual level of performance exceeded the adjusted level of performance/target on four core measures:
  - 1P1 Technical Skill Attainment
  - 2P1 Credential, Certificate, or Degree Attainment
  - 3P1 Student Retention or Transfer
  - 5P2 Nontraditional Completion
- Statewide, Illinois postsecondary actual level of performance did not exceed the adjusted level of performance/target but met the 90 percent adjusted level of performance threshold on the remaining two core measures:
  - 4P1 Student Placement
  - 5P1 Nontraditional Participation
- The FY2011 postsecondary response is focused on the three core measures where a substantial portion—one quarter or more—of the colleges did not meet 90 percent of the state AALP/Goal.
- **Illinois Core Indicator 4P1 Student Placement Performance was below the target but met the 90 percent threshold.** Statewide, Illinois performance for core indicator 4P1 Student Placement was 65.81 in FY 2011, which was 98.22 percent of the AALP/Target of 67.00 percent. Compared with FY 2010, performance increased 1.91 percent. Over the past three years, the average performance level for this indicator in Illinois was 67.50 percent.

Results for FY 2011 show the male placement rate was 58.61 percent, compared with 71.13 percent among females. Males were at 87.48 percent of the AALP/Target versus 106.16 percent of the AALP/Target for females.



Overall, minority students missed the placement target by registering a 58.80 percent placement rate (87.76 percent of AALP). For comparative purposes, the placement rate for white students was 69.94 percent (104.39 percent of AALP). Among minority populations, Hispanic/Latino students were the only group to meet the performance target (68.12 percent, or 101.67 percent of AALP). The remaining minority populations registered placement rates below 90 percent of the AALP/Target: Asian (52.24 percent actual performance and 80.96 percent of AALP), American Indian (54.46 percent actual performance and 81.28 percent of AALP) and African American (55.27 percent actual performance and 82.49 percent of AALP).

One special population student group was successful in meeting the performance goal for indicator 4P1: single parents (67.37 percent actual performance and 100.55 percent of AALP). Two groups reported placement rates above the 90 percent threshold: economically disadvantaged (65.57 percent actual performance and 97.87 percent of AALP) and nontraditional enrollees (63.56 percent actual performance and 94.87 percent of AALP). The remaining groups registered placement rates in the mid to upper 80 percent range, compared with the AALP/Target: individuals with disabilities (58.34 percent actual performance and 87.07 percent of AALP), displaced homemakers (57.95 percent actual performance and 86.49 percent of AALP), limited English proficient (56.72 percent actual performance and 84.66 percent of AALP).

- Illinois Core Indicator 5P1: Postsecondary Nontraditional Participation Performance was below the target but met the 90 percent threshold.** Overall, the actual Illinois performance of postsecondary core indicator 5P1: Nontraditional Participation, was 19.29 percent in FY 2011. While the current Illinois performance did not meet 100 percent of the AALP/Target of 20.64 percent, 93.47 percent of the goal was met. The Illinois statewide performance decreased slightly (-0.35 percent) compared with last year. The FY2011 performance was in line with the three-year average for Illinois (19.21).

On Postsecondary Nontraditional Participation, female students performed well, while males underperformed. During FY 2011, females performed at 25.32 percent, compared with 13.58 percent for males in nontraditional fields for their gender. Hence, female participation was 122.67 percent of the AALP/Target, while male nontraditional participation was 65.79 percent.

Overall, minority student participation in nontraditional programs (22.51 percent) was higher than enrollment by white students (17.79 percent). Overall, minority student participation in nontraditional programs was 109.06 percent of the goal in FY 2011, versus 86.19 percent for white students. All minority student populations met the nontraditional participation goal in FY 2011.

Limited English proficient students participated at the 24.47 percent level and were the only special population group that was successful in meeting the Nontraditional Participation performance goal in FY 2011. Special populations that were not successful in meeting the performance goal were: displaced homemakers (13.13 percent), single parents

(15.27 percent), tech prep students (16.32 percent), economically disadvantaged students (18.58 percent), and individuals with disabilities (18.65 percent).

- **Illinois Core Indicator 5P2: Postsecondary Nontraditional Completion Exceeded Target Performance.** However, there were 23 colleges that performed below 90 percent of the target. Statewide Illinois performance for core indicator 5P2: Nontraditional Completion was 15.47 in FY 2011, which was 102.72 percent of the AALP/Target. Compared with FY 2010, performance increased 0.76 percent. Over the past three years the average performance level for this indicator in Illinois was 14.71 percent.

Results for FY 2011 show the male nontraditional graduation rate was 21.49 percent, compared with 11.30 percent among females. Males were at 142.69 percent of the AALP/Target versus 75.03 percent of the AALP/Target for females.

Overall, minority students exceeded the performance goal by registering a 19.05 percent nontraditional completion rate. This is substantially higher than the nontraditional completion rate for white students (13.69 percent). All minority populations exceeded the nontraditional graduation goal.

Limited English proficient (20.61 percent) students were the only special population group to successfully meet the performance target for indicator 5P2. Two groups exceeded 90 percent of the target: individuals with disabilities (14.98 percent actual performance and 99.47 percent of AALP) and economically disadvantaged (14.37 percent actual performance and 95.42 percent of AALP). The remaining three groups registered nontraditional completion rates below 90 percent of the AALP/Target: Tech Prep (5.19 percent actual performance and 34.46 percent of AALP), displaced homemakers (9.80 percent actual performance and 65.07 percent of AALP), and single parents (13.17 percent actual performance and 87.45 percent of AALP).

### **Secondary**

- The secondary trends show that males and females are not meeting the indicators. Similarly, African American, Hispanic/Latino, and individuals with two or more races are not meeting the indicators. The secondary trends also show that, among special populations, many students are failing to meet the goals.

### **Postsecondary**

- At the postsecondary level, colleges complete the Postsecondary Perkins Program Improvement Plan on measures when they perform below expectations. Colleges are required to look at performance for subpopulations, including special populations, and develop concrete measurable plans and strategies to address those areas where performance is low. (See <http://www.iccb.org/postsecondary.html> and Perkins Forms 2, 3, 4 and 7.)

ICCB regional program staff consult with the institutions on their priorities, plans, and progress throughout the year. State staff help regional and local officials address issues as they arise. The Illinois Postsecondary Perkins Online Data System (PODS) web portal contains four years of detailed performance information for all measures and all

subpopulations. (See <http://iccbdbsrv.iccb.org/perfmeasure/home.html>.) College officials have been provided training about how to use the data contained in PODS to promote program improvement. Institutional researchers have also been provided with an overview of PODS and encouraged to collaborate with career and technical education staff on program improvement initiatives. The next round of PODS and program improvement regional training sessions will be conducted during February through May 2012.

Through the PODS system, all colleges have access to information for their college and every other community college in the state. They can identify best-in-class performers, compare themselves with their Peer Institutions (defined based on factors at the state level) and neighboring institutions, and have the ability to create custom Peer Groups for comparative purposes. Colleges are encouraged to examine their outcomes based on where they fall into quartiles and based on the standard deviation. A fifth year of trend data will be added to the PODS data system in spring 2012. The companion Programs of Study/Pathways web portal is also scheduled for deployment in spring 2012. This data system contains measures of transitions from secondary to postsecondary career and technical education and into the workforce.

Additionally, PODS is beginning to be used in the Pathways to Results program improvement initiative. Pathways to Results has grown out of the work done in Postsecondary Perkins and places an emphasis on including an equity analysis when improvement strategies are being formulated and implemented. For more on this topic, see <http://64.107.108.147/programsofstudy/improvement.html>. Pathways to Results is being used by a broad cross section of the community college population and is beginning to take hold among a group of secondary providers.

### **Secondary**

- At the secondary level, each of the eligible recipients is required to submit the Strategies for Improvement Plan, addressing each of the indicators they fail to meet. This plan also addresses techniques for implementation of improvements in the upcoming year.

## **5. Tech Prep Grant Award Information**

**Section 205 of *Perkins IV* requires each eligible agency that receives a tech prep allotment to annually prepare and submit to the Secretary a report on the effectiveness of the tech prep programs that were assisted, including a description of how grants were awarded in the State. Please provide a description of how grants were awarded during the program year, including a listing of the consortia that were funded and their funding amounts.**

**Please review the accountability data submitted by your State's consortia as described in section 203(e) of *Perkins IV*. Indicate the total number of consortia that failed to meet an agreed upon minimum level of performance for any of the indicators of performance. Note trends, if any, in the performance of these consortia (i.e., the indicators that were most commonly missed, number of years the consortia omitted the indicators).**

Illinois Tech Prep Consortia are provided an annual allocation of federal title funds based on 1) the number of high schools in each consortia and 2) the number of secondary teachers at each

high school. Illinois used all of its Title II (Tech Prep) allocation for activities under section 203 (tech prep programs) of Perkins IV during the reporting year. Illinois allocated \$3,924,328 (96.9 percent) in federal Title II funds among the 40 Tech Prep Partnerships in fiscal year 2011.

ICCB releases Annual Grant Guidelines for Perkins Title II projects and the 40 local consortia are required to write their annual grant plans to comply with these guidelines. In FY11, one original and three copies of the FY11 Tech Prep program proposal were submitted to ICCB by May 22, 2010. The following six items were required to be completed and included as part of the FY11 Tech Prep Consortium proposal:

1. Grant Proposal Cover Sheet
2. Partnership Profile Worksheet
3. Partnerships for College and Career Success Component Forms
4. FY11 Partnerships for College and Career Success Budget Worksheet
5. FY11 Partnerships for College and Career Success Transit Entity Annual Plan and Budget Worksheets (*if necessary*)
6. Local Program of Study Inventory

Upon receipt of the complete proposals–

1. The consortium directors received e-mail messages confirming that all components were received and being evaluated.
2. ICCB staff reviewed the proposals. Each individual grant plan was reviewed by at least two ICCB staff members and/or regional CTE consultants. ICCB then contacted the consortium directors to:
  - a) Request more specific information, clarification, and/or supporting data, or
  - b) Confirm that the proposals had been approved as submitted.
3. Following staff approval, ICCB forwarded to the consortium directors final grant agreement forms, which included:
  - a) All requisite certification and assurance forms,
  - b) The approved budget (including information on financial drawdown methods and other fiscal procedures), and
  - c) The scope of work (as submitted in the proposal).

The final grant agreement forms were signed by the official of the fiscal agent authorized to submit the proposal (e.g., community college president, superintendent, or board officer) and returned to ICCB in order to activate the grant. Audits are based on the information provided in the signed grant agreement.

**FY 2011 Partnerships for College and Career Success (Tech Prep)  
Federal Perkins IV, Title II, Allocation Table**

PCCS #	Tech Prep Consortium	FY 2010 Allocation	FY 2011 Preliminary Allocation
7070	Career Development System	\$86,734	<b>\$86,734</b>
7060	Career Preparation Network	\$64,842	<b>\$64,842</b>
5080	CCC/CPS	\$495,168	<b>\$495,168</b>
5070	Danville	\$62,119	<b>\$62,119</b>
7100	DAOES/COD	\$202,239	<b>\$202,239</b>
7030	Des Plaines Valley TP	\$76,368	<b>\$76,368</b>
5090	Elgin CC TP	\$96,169	<b>\$96,169</b>
7470	ESL Regional Voc. System	\$47,362	<b>\$47,362</b>
7540	Franklin Co. Reg. Del. TP	\$66,540	<b>\$66,540</b>
7360	Heartland /McLean/DeWitt	\$88,607	<b>\$88,607</b>
7390	Heartland Region TP/Richland	\$65,967	<b>\$65,967</b>
5190	Highland Area TP	\$68,381	<b>\$68,381</b>
5290	IL Eastern TP Cons.	\$74,916	<b>\$74,916</b>
5130	Ill. Valley/SRAVTE	\$71,182	<b>\$71,182</b>
5300	John A. Logan TP	\$65,194	<b>\$65,194</b>
5250	Joliet J.C./TREES	\$133,890	<b>\$133,890</b>
5200	Kankakee/Iroquois TP	\$68,650	<b>\$68,650</b>
5010	Kaskaskia	\$65,723	<b>\$65,723</b>
7180	Kishwaukee Education TP	\$57,724	<b>\$57,724</b>
7090	Lake Co. AVS	\$157,643	<b>\$157,643</b>
5170	Lakeland/EIEFES	\$108,587	<b>\$108,587</b>
5260	Lincoln Land TP Partner	\$173,735	<b>\$173,735</b>
5280	McHenry Co. TP	\$82,169	<b>\$82,169</b>
7050	Moraine Area Career System	\$90,067	<b>\$90,067</b>
7040	Morton Region TP Cons.	\$50,007	<b>\$50,007</b>
5120	N.W. Suburban ETC	\$129,222	<b>\$129,222</b>
7020	NSERVE Region TP Con.	\$107,879	<b>\$107,879</b>
7340	Parkland College TP	\$93,743	<b>\$93,743</b>
7220	Quad City/Tri-County	\$93,914	<b>\$93,914</b>
5360	River Bend TP/Lewis & Clark	\$94,138	<b>\$94,138</b>
5110	RVC/CEANCI	\$103,017	<b>\$103,017</b>
5060	Sauk Valley/WHTSD	\$65,561	<b>\$65,561</b>
5310	Shawnee TP	\$56,689	<b>\$56,689</b>
7555	Southeastern IL TP Cons.	\$58,431	<b>\$58,431</b>
5220	Southwestern IL TP	\$108,760	<b>\$108,760</b>
5140	Tech Prep for Central Illinois	\$130,965	<b>\$130,965</b>
7140	VALEES	\$91,670	<b>\$91,670</b>
7280	West Central Illinois	\$107,963	<b>\$107,963</b>
7250	West Central Reg./J. Wood	\$62,393	<b>\$62,393</b>
	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$3,924,328</b>	<b>\$3,924,328</b>

The state used all or a portion of its Title II (Tech Prep) allotment for activities under section 203 (tech prep programs) of Perkins IV during the reporting year.

- Illinois used all of its Title II (Tech Prep) allocation for activities under section 203 (tech prep programs) of Perkins IV during the reporting year. Illinois allocated \$3,924,328 (96.9 percent) in federal Title II funds among the 40 Tech Prep Partnerships in FY 2011.

**The eligible agency describes how grants were awarded in the state during the program year (competitive basis or formula)?**

- Illinois used a formula allocation method to allocate all of its \$3,924,328 in federal Title II funds among the 40 Tech Prep Partnerships in FY 2011.

**The eligible agency indicates the total number of consortia that failed to meet an agreed-upon minimum level of performance for any of the indicators of performance.**

- ICCB, in coordination with ISBE, worked diligently to develop a performance management framework for the Title II Tech Prep consortia in Illinois. This system promotes data-driven decision-making and increased accountability within the Title II system and could have ultimately been linked to the larger and more comprehensive Statewide Longitudinal Data System that Illinois is creating in collaboration with ED. At present, the performance reporting and management framework for Title II is still in development.

**The state notes trends, if any, in the performance of the consortia that failed to meet an indicator.**

# Interim Financial Status Report (FSR) Form

I. State Name: Illinois

II. Federal Funding Period: 07/01/10 to 09/30/11

III. Reporting Period: 7/01/10 to 09/30/11

IV. Accounting Basis: Cash

V. Grant Award Numbers: State Basic Grant (Title I): V048A100013  
Tech Prep Grant (Title II): V243A100013

VI. Title I Grant Award Amount: \$45,107,893

VII. Title II Grant Award Amount: \$4,049,330

VIII. Title II Funds Consolidated with Title I Funds: \$45,964,027

IX. Total Title I Funds (Title I Award + Title II Consolidated Funds): \$41,993,986

X. Total Title II Funds Remaining (Title II - title II Consolidated Funds): \$3,970,041

Row	Population	1 Net Outlays Previously Reported	2 Total Outlay: this report period	3 Program Income Credits	4 Net Outlays this report period (Column 2-3)	5 Net Outlays to Date (Column 1+4)	6 Non-Federal share of outlays	7 Total Federal share of outlays (Column 5-6)	8 Federal share of unliquidated obligations	9 Fed. share of outlays & unliquidated obligations (Column 7 + 8)	10 Federal Funds Authorized in State Plan	11 Balance of Unobligated Federal funds (Column 10-9)
A	*TOTAL TITLE I FUNDS*											
B	LOCAL USES OF FUNDS											
C	RESERVE											
D	Funds for Secondary Recipients	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
E	Funds for Postsecondary Recipients	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
F	Total (Row D + E)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
G	FORMULA DISTRIBUTION											
H	Funds for Secondary Recipients	0.00	23000369.00	0.00	23000369	23000369	0.00	23000369	170661.00	23171030	24282588.00	1111558.00
I	Funds for Postsecondary Recipients	0.00	15190651.00	0.00	15190651	15190651	0.00	15190651	0.00	15190651	15740453.00	549802.00
J	Total (Row H + I)	0.00	38191020.00	0.00	38191020	38191020	0.00	38191020	170661.00	38361681	40023041.00	1661360.00
K	TOTAL LOCAL USE OF FUNDS (Row F + J)	0.00	38191020.00	0.00	38191020	38191020	0.00	38191020	170661.00	38361681	40023041.00	1661360.00
L	STATE LEADERSHIP											
M	Non-Traditional Training and Employment	0.00	150000.00	0.00	150000	150000	0.00	150000	0.00	150000	150000.00	0.00
N	State Institutions	0.00	451079.00	0.00	451079	451079	0.00	451079	0.00	451079	451079.00	0.00
O	Other Leadership Activities	0.00	2810819.00	0.00	2810819	2810819	0.00	2810819	35974.00	2846793	3385384.00	538591.00
P	TOTAL STATE LEADERSHIP (Row M + N + O)	0.00	3411898.00	0.00	3411898	3411898	0.00	3411898	35974.00	3447872	3986463.00	538591.00
Q	STATE ADMINISTRATION											
R	TOTAL STATE ADMINISTRATION	0.00	1099018.00	0.00	1099018	1099018	914585.00	184433	0.00	184433	1098389.00	913956.00
S	TOTAL TITLE I FUNDS (Row K + P + R)	0.00	42701936.00	0.00	42701936	42701936	914585.00	41787351	206635.00	41993986	45107893.00	3113907.00
T	*TOTAL TITLE II FUNDS*											
U	Funds for State Administration	0.00	122666.00	0.00	122666	122666	0.00	122666	0.00	122666	125000.00	2334.00
V	Funds for Local Consortia	0.00	3847375.00	0.00	3847375	3847375	0.00	3847375	0.00	3847375	3924330.00	76955.00
W	TOTAL TITLE II FUNDS (Row U + V)	0.00	3970041.00	0.00	3970041	3970041	0.00	3970041	0.00	3970041	4049330.00	79289.00

Comment:

# Final Financial Status Report (FSR) Form

I. State Name:	Illinois	VI. Title I Grant Award Amount:	\$44,837,143
II. Federal Funding Period:	07/01/10 to 09/30/11	VII. Title II Grant Award Amount:	\$4,049,330
III. Reporting Period:	07/01/09 - 09/30/11	VIII. Title II Funds Consolidated with Title I Funds:	\$48,886,473
IV. Accounting Basis:	Cash	IX. Total Title I Funds (Title I Award + Title II Consolidated Funds):	\$44,837,143
V. Grant Award Numbers: State Basic Grant (Title I):	V048A090013	X. Total Title II Funds Remaining (Title II - title II Consolidated Funds):	\$4,049,330
	Tech Prep Grant (Title II): V243A090013		

Row	Population	1 Net Outlays Previously Reported	2 Total Outlay: this report period	3 Program Income Credits	4 Net Outlays this report period (Column 2-3)	5 Net Outlays to Date (Column 1+4)	6 Non-Federal share of outlays	7 Total Federal share of outlays (Column 5-6)	8 Federal share of unliquidated obligations	9 Fed. share of outlays & unliquidated obligations (Column 7 + 8)	10 Federal Funds Authorized in State Plan	11 Balance of Unobligated Federal funds (Column 10-9)
A	*TOTAL TITLE I FUNDS*											
B	LOCAL USES OF FUNDS											
C	RESERVE											
D	Funds for Secondary Recipients	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
E	Funds for Postsecondary Recipients	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
F	Total (Row D + E)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.00
G	FORMULA DISTRIBUTION											
H	Funds for Secondary Recipients	24332141.00	601881.00	0.00	601881	24934022	0.00	24934022	0.00	24934022	24934022.00	0.00
I	Funds for Postsecondary Recipients	15197305.00	488209.00	0.00	488209	15685514	0.00	15685514	0.00	15685514	15685514.00	0.00
J	Total (Row H + I)	39529446.00	1090090.00	0.00	1090090	40619536	0.00	40619536	0.00	40619536	40619536.00	0.00
K	TOTAL LOCAL USE OF FUNDS (Row F + J)	39529446.00	1090090.00	0.00	1090090	40619536	0.00	40619536	0.00	40619536	40619536.00	0.00
L	STATE LEADERSHIP											
M	Non-Traditional Training and Employment	150000.00	0.00	0.00	0	150000	0.00	150000	0.00	150000	150000.00	0.00
N	State Institutions	448371.00	0.00	0.00	0	448371	0.00	448371	0.00	448371	448371.00	0.00
O	Other Leadership Activities	1536172.00	795162.00	0.00	795162	2331334	0.00	2331334	0.00	2331334	2331334.00	0.00
P	TOTAL STATE LEADERSHIP (Row M + N + O)	2134543.00	795162.00	0.00	795162	2929705	0.00	2929705	0.00	2929705	2929705.00	0.00
Q	STATE ADMINISTRATION											
R	TOTAL STATE ADMINISTRATION	557264.00	2032425.00	0.00	2032425	2589689	1301787.00	1287902	0.00	1287902	1287902.00	0.00
S	TOTAL TITLE I FUNDS (Row K + R)	42221253.00	10917677.00	0.00	3917677	46138930	1301787.00	44837143	0.00	44837143	44837143.00	0.00
T	*TOTAL TITLE II FUNDS*											
U	Funds for State Administration	123298.00	1702.00	0.00	1702	125000	0.00	125000	0.00	125000	125000.00	0.00
V	Funds for Local Consortia	3919333.00	4997.00	0.00	4997	3924330	0.00	3924330	0.00	3924330	3924330.00	0.00
W	TOTAL TITLE II FUNDS (Row U + V)	4042631.00	6699.00	0.00	6699	4049330	0.00	4049330	0.00	4049330	4049330.00	0.00

Comment:



## Student Enrollment Form of CTE Participants

State: Illinois  
Program Year: 2010-2011

Line	Population	Number of Secondary Students	Number of Postsecondary Students	Number of Adult Students	Number of Secondary Tech Prep Students	Number of Postsecondary Tech Prep Students
1	Grand Total	309722	200643	N/P	38283	3676
2	<b>GENDER</b>					
3	Male	169780	97810	N/P	18412	2412
4	Female	139942	102833	N/P	19871	1264
5	<b>RACE/ETHNICITY * (1977 Standards)</b>					
6	American Indian or Alaskan Native					
7	Asian or Pacific Islander					
8	Black (not Hispanic)					
9	Hispanic					
10	White					
11	Unknown					
12	<b>RACE/ETHNICITY* (1997 Revised Standards)</b>					
13	American Indian or Alaska Native	1079	1040	N/P	111	10
14	Asian	9897	7146	N/P	1041	52
15	Black or African American	45673	29671	N/P	5998	274
16	Hispanic/Latino	49352	16675	N/P	5898	362
17	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	297	512	N/P	22	8
18	White	196965	132124	N/P	24558	2669
19	Two or More Races	6459	5211	N/P	655	136
20	Unknown (Postsecondary Only)		8264	N/P		165
21	<b>SPECIAL POPULATION AND OTHER STUDENT CATEGORIES</b>					
22	Individuals With Disabilities (ADA)		4976	N/P		212
23	Disability Status (ESEA/IDEA) (Secondary Only)				5711	
24	Economically Disadvantaged	115430	46696	N/P	13147	1195
25	Single Parents	396	5833	N/P	97	22
26	Displaced Homemakers	242	846	N/P	22	0
27	Limited English Proficient	6146	3710	N/P	495	33
28	Migrant Status	110			11	
29	Nontraditional Enrollees	193057	28689	N/P	26579	490

---

**Comment:** Illinois no longer collects adult data.

## Student Enrollment Form of CTE Concentrators

State: Illinois

Program Year: 2010-2011

Row	Population	Agri., Food, & Nat. Resources	Archit., & Const.	Arts, A/V Tech., & Comm.	Bus., Manag'nt. , & Admin	Education , & Training	Finance	Gov't., & Public Admin.	Health Science	Hospitalit y & Tourism	Human Services	Info. Tech.	Law, Public Safety, & Security	Manufact.	Marketing , Sales, & Services	Science, Tech., Engineeri ng, &	Transp., Distrib., & Logistics	Total
1	SECONDARY																	
2	Female	891	48	33	3425	1141	19	0	3148	1134	3017	1584	560	3200	152	3	43	18398
3	Male	1771	691	58	4297	160	26	0	840	929	421	2708	1327	2968	111	10	1002	17319
4	Total	2662	739	91	7722	1301	45	0	3988	2063	3438	4292	1887	6168	263	13	1045	35717
5	POSTSECONDARY																	
6	Female	293	143	380	1918	226	17	0	10972	762	1633	288	1098	131	216	109	72	18258
7	Male	927	2126	383	837	255	8	0	2208	609	251	915	2106	1753	142	61	1917	14498
8	Total	1220	2269	763	2755	481	25	0	13180	1371	1884	1203	3204	1884	358	170	1989	32756
9	ADULT																	
10	Female	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	0
11	Male	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	0
12	Total	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	N/P	0
13	GRAND TOTAL (Lines 4+8+12)	3882	3008	854	10477	1782	70	0	17168	3434	5322	5495	5091	8052	621	183	3034	68473

**Comment:** For Postsecondary, unknowns are included with Health Science. Illinois no longer collects adult data.

**Student Accountability Forms for the Section 113 Core Indicators of Performance (Title I)  
Secondary Level  
Core Indicator 5S1: Placement**

State: Illinois  
Program Year: 2010-2011

Line	Population	Number of Students in the Numerator	Number of Students in the Denominator	Adjusted Level of Performance	Actual Level of Performance	Actual vs. Adjusted Level of Performance	Met 90% of Adjusted Level of Performance
1	Grand Total	10384	14126	73.00%	73.51%	E	Y
2	<b>GENDER</b>						
3	Male	5652	7845		72.05%		
4	Female	4732	6281		75.34%		
5	<b>RACE/ETHNICITY* (1977 Standards)</b>						
6	American Indian or Alaskan Native				XXX%		
7	Asian or Pacific Islander				XXX%		
8	Black (not Hispanic)				XXX%		
9	Hispanic				XXX%		
10	White				XXX%		
11	Unknown				XXX%		
12	<b>RACE/ETHNICITY* (1997 Revised Standards)</b>						
13	American Indian or Alaska Native	28	35		80.00%		
14	Asian	177	221		80.09%		
15	Black or African American	1472	2370		62.11%		
16	Hispanic/Latino	1069	1451		73.67%		
17	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	N/P	N/P		XXX%		
18	White	7563	9944		76.06%		
19	Two or More Races	75	105		71.43%		
20	<b>SPECIAL POPULATION AND OTHER STUDENT CATEGORIES</b>						
21	Individuals With Disabilities (ADA)				XXX%		
22	Disability Status (ESEA/IDEA)	1435	2175		65.98%		
23	Economically Disadvantaged	2672	4041		66.12%		
24	Single Parents	49	70		70.00%		
25	Displaced Homemakers	2	4		50.00%		
26	Limited English Proficient	49	66		74.24%		
27	Migrant Status	0	0		XXX%		
28	Nontraditional Enrollees	1870	2590		72.20%		
29	Tech Prep	10384	14126		73.51%		
30	<b>DISAGGREGATE INDICATORS</b>						
31	Advanced Training & Postsecondary Education	7971			XXX%		
32	Employment	8577			XXX%		
33	Military	N/P			XXX%		

**Comment:**

**Student Accountability Forms for the Section 113 Core Indicators of Performance (Title I)  
Postsecondary Level  
Core Indicator 1P1: Technical Skill Attainment**

State: Illinois  
Program Year: 2010-2011

<i>Line</i>	<i>Population</i>	<i>Number of Students in the Numerator</i>	<i>Number of Students in the Denominator</i>	<i>Adjusted Level of Performance</i>	<i>Actual Level of Performance</i>	<i>Actual vs. Adjusted Level of Performance</i>	<i>Met 90% of Adjusted Level of Performance</i>
1	Grand Total	22779	28925	70.26%	78.75%	E	Y
2	<b>GENDER</b>						
3	Male	8693	11803		73.65%		
4	Female	14086	17122		82.27%		
5	<b>RACE/ETHNICITY * (1977 Standards)</b>						
6	American Indian or Alaskan Native				XXX%		
7	Asian or Pacific Islander				XXX%		
8	Black (not Hispanic)				XXX%		
9	Hispanic				XXX%		
10	White				XXX%		
11	Unknown				XXX%		
12	<b>RACE/ETHNICITY* (1997 Revised Standards)</b>						
13	American Indian or Alaska Native	58	76		76.32%		
14	Asian	885	1068		82.87%		
15	Black or African American	2730	3649		74.82%		
16	Hispanic/Latino	1768	2347		75.33%		
17	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0		XXX%		
18	White	17161	21561		79.59%		
19	Two or More Races	0	0		XXX%		
20	Unknown	177	224		79.02%		
21	<b>SPECIAL POPULATION AND OTHER STUDENT CATEGORIES</b>						
22	Individuals With Disabilities (ADA)	769	1034		74.37%		
23	Economically Disadvantaged	7330	9349		78.40%		
24	Single Parents	830	1060		78.30%		
25	Displaced Homemakers	183	229		79.91%		
26	Limited English Proficient	379	483		78.47%		
27	Nontraditional Enrollees	2961	3870		76.51%		
28	Tech Prep	760	1070		71.03%		

**Comment:**

**Student Accountability Forms for the Section 113 Core Indicators of Performance (Title I)  
Postsecondary Level  
Core Indicator 2P1: Credential, Certificate, or Degree**

State: Illinois  
Program Year: 2010-2011

Line	Population	Number of Students in the Numerator	Number of Students in the Denominator	Adjusted Level of Performance	Actual Level of Performance	Actual vs. Adjusted Level of Performance	Met 90% of Adjusted Level of Performance
1	Grand Total	18031	28925	52.97%	62.34%	E	Y
2	<b>GENDER</b>						
3	Male	6655	11803		56.38%		
4	Female	11376	17122		66.44%		
5	<b>RACE/ETHNICITY* (1977 Standards)</b>						
6	American Indian or Alaskan Native				XXX%		
7	Asian or Pacific Islander				XXX%		
8	Black (not Hispanic)				XXX%		
9	Hispanic				XXX%		
10	White				XXX%		
11	Unknown				XXX%		
12	<b>RACE/ETHNICITY* (1997 Revised Standards)</b>						
13	American Indian or Alaska Native	46	76		60.53%		
14	Asian	680	1068		63.67%		
15	Black or African American	2003	3649		54.89%		
16	Hispanic/Latino	1306	2347		55.65%		
17	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0		XXX%		
18	White	13856	21561		64.26%		
19	Two or More Races	0	0		XXX%		
20	Unknown	140	224		62.50%		
21	<b>SPECIAL POPULATION AND OTHER STUDENT CATEGORIES</b>						
22	Individuals With Disabilities (ADA)	593	1034		57.35%		
23	Economically Disadvantaged	5737	9349		61.36%		
24	Single Parents	662	1060		62.45%		
25	Displaced Homemakers	155	229		67.69%		
26	Limited English Proficient	267	483		55.28%		
27	Nontraditional Enrollees	2303	3870		59.51%		
28	Tech Prep	484	1070		45.23%		
29	<b>DISAGGREGATE INDICATORS</b>						
30	Credential	0			XXX%		
31	Certificate	6425			XXX%		
32	Degree	11606			XXX%		

**Comment:**

**Student Accountability Forms for the Section 113 Core Indicators of Performance (Title I)  
Postsecondary Level**

**Core Indicator 3P1: Student Retention or Transfer**

State: Illinois

Program Year: 2010-2011

<i>Line</i>	<i>Population</i>	<i>Number of Students in the Numerator</i>	<i>Number of Students in the Denominator</i>	<i>Adjusted Level of Performance</i>	<i>Actual Level of Performance</i>	<i>Actual vs. Adjusted Level of Performance</i>	<i>Met 90% of Adjusted Level of Performance</i>
1	Grand Total	10561	22561	37.10%	46.81%	E	Y
2	<b>GENDER</b>						
3	Male	4494	9822		45.75%		
4	Female	6067	12739		47.63%		
5	<b>RACE/ETHNICITY* (1977 Standards)</b>						
6	American Indian or Alaskan Native				XXX%		
7	Asian or Pacific Islander				XXX%		
8	Black (not Hispanic)				XXX%		
9	Hispanic				XXX%		
10	White				XXX%		
11	Unknown				XXX%		
12	<b>RACE/ETHNICITY* (1997 Revised Standards)</b>						
13	American Indian or Alaska Native	44	83		53.01%		
14	Asian	303	628		48.25%		
15	Black or African American	1293	2855		45.29%		
16	Hispanic/Latino	1019	1959		52.02%		
17	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	34	93		36.56%		
18	White	6960	15098		46.10%		
19	Two or More Races	0	0		XXX%		
20	Unknown	908	1845		49.21%		
21	<b>SPECIAL POPULATION AND OTHER STUDENT CATEGORIES</b>						
22	Individuals With Disabilities (ADA)	448	844		53.08%		
23	Economically Disadvantaged	3809	8068		47.21%		
24	Single Parents	488	1094		44.61%		
25	Displaced Homemakers	98	196		50.00%		
26	Limited English Proficient	203	399		50.88%		
27	Nontraditional Enrollees	1477	2998		49.27%		
28	Tech Prep	573	1042		54.99%		

**Comment:**

**Student Accountability Forms for the Section 113 Core Indicators of Performance (Title I)  
Postsecondary Level  
Core Indicator 4P1: Student Placement**

State: Illinois  
Program Year: 2010-2011

Line	Population	Number of Students in the Numerator	Number of Students in the Denominator	Adjusted Level of Performance	Actual Level of Performance	Actual vs. Adjusted Level of Performance	Met 90% of Adjusted Level of Performance
1	Grand Total	22471	34147	67.00%	65.81%	D	Y
2	<b>GENDER</b>						
3	Male	8515	14527		58.61%		
4	Female	13956	19620		71.13%		
5	<b>RACE/ETHNICITY* (1977 Standards)</b>						
6	American Indian or Alaskan Native				XXX%		
7	Asian or Pacific Islander				XXX%		
8	Black (not Hispanic)				XXX%		
9	Hispanic				XXX%		
10	White				XXX%		
11	Unknown				XXX%		
12	<b>RACE/ETHNICITY* (1997 Revised Standards)</b>						
13	American Indian or Alaska Native	61	112		54.46%		
14	Asian	1081	1993		54.24%		
15	Black or African American	3245	5871		55.27%		
16	Hispanic/Latino	2214	3250		68.12%		
17	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0		XXX%		
18	White	15302	21878		69.94%		
19	Two or More Races	0	0		XXX%		
20	Unknown	568	1043		54.46%		
21	<b>SPECIAL POPULATION AND OTHER STUDENT CATEGORIES</b>						
22	Individuals With Disabilities (ADA)	437	749		58.34%		
23	Economically Disadvantaged	5506	8397		65.57%		
24	Single Parents	1123	1667		67.37%		
25	Displaced Homemakers	113	195		57.95%		
26	Limited English Proficient	848	1495		56.72%		
27	Nontraditional Enrollees	2077	3268		63.56%		
28	Tech Prep	3736	4407		84.77%		
29	<b>DISAGGREGATE INDICATORS</b>						
30	Apprenticeship	0			XXX%		
31	Employment	22471			XXX%		
32	Military	0			XXX%		

**Comment:**



**Student Accountability Forms for the Section 113 Core Indicators of Performance (Title I)  
Postsecondary Level**

**Core Indicator 5P1: Nontraditional Participation**

State: Illinois

Program Year: 2010-2011

<i>Line</i>	<i>Population</i>	<i>Number of Students in the Numerator</i>	<i>Number of Students in the Denominator</i>	<i>Adjusted Level of Performance</i>	<i>Actual Level of Performance</i>	<i>Actual vs. Adjusted Level of Performance</i>	<i>Met 90% of Adjusted Level of Performance</i>
1	Grand Total	28689	148706	20.64%	19.29%	D	Y
2	<b>GENDER</b>						
3	Male	10362	76330		13.58%		
4	Female	18327	72376		25.32%		
5	<b>RACE/ETHNICITY * (1977 Standards)</b>						
6	American Indian or Alaskan Native				XXX%		
7	Asian or Pacific Islander				XXX%		
8	Black (not Hispanic)				XXX%		
9	Hispanic				XXX%		
10	White				XXX%		
11	Unknown				XXX%		
12	<b>RACE/ETHNICITY * (1997 Revised Standards)</b>						
13	American Indian or Alaska Native	160	773		20.70%		
14	Asian	1497	5457		27.43%		
15	Black or African American	4705	22304		21.09%		
16	Hispanic/Latino	3118	13587		22.95%		
17	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	87	385		22.60%		
18	White	17374	97656		17.79%		
19	Two or More Races	808	3689		21.90%		
20	Unknown	940	4855		19.36%		
21	<b>SPECIAL POPULATION AND OTHER STUDENT CATEGORIES</b>						
22	Individuals With Disabilities (ADA)	732	3924		18.65%		
23	Economically Disadvantaged	7070	38059		18.58%		
24	Single Parents	701	4592		15.27%		
25	Displaced Homemakers	89	678		13.13%		
26	Limited English Proficient	767	3135		24.47%		
27	Tech Prep	491	3009		16.32%		

**Comment:**

**Student Accountability Forms for the Section 113 Core Indicators of Performance (Title I)  
Postsecondary Level  
Core Indicator 5P2: Nontraditional Completion**

State: Illinois  
Program Year: 2010-2011

Line	Population	Number of Students in the Numerator	Number of Students in the Denominator	Adjusted Level of Performance	Actual Level of Performance	Actual vs. Adjusted Level of Performance	Met 90% of Adjusted Level of Performance
1	Grand Total	4704	30407	15.06%	15.47%	E	Y
2	<b>GENDER</b>						
3	Male	2676	12453		21.49%		
4	Female	2028	17954		11.30%		
5	<b>RACE/ETHNICITY * (1977 Standards)</b>						
6	American Indian or Alaskan Native				XXX%		
7	Asian or Pacific Islander				XXX%		
8	Black (not Hispanic)				XXX%		
9	Hispanic				XXX%		
10	White				XXX%		
11	Unknown				XXX%		
12	<b>RACE/ETHNICITY* (1997 Revised Standards)</b>						
13	American Indian or Alaska Native	22	133		16.54%		
14	Asian	346	1546		22.38%		
15	Black or African American	861	4486		19.19%		
16	Hispanic/Latino	490	2894		16.93%		
17	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	19	64		29.69%		
18	White	2681	19580		13.69%		
19	Two or More Races	120	638		18.81%		
20	Unknown	165	1066		15.48%		
21	<b>SPECIAL POPULATION AND OTHER STUDENT CATEGORIES</b>						
22	Individuals With Disabilities (ADA)	111	741		14.98%		
23	Economically Disadvantaged	1256	8742		14.37%		
24	Single Parents	176	1336		13.17%		
25	Displaced Homemakers	20	204		9.80%		
26	Limited English Proficient	235	1140		20.61%		
27	Tech Prep	12	231		5.19%		

**Comment:**

**Student Accountability Forms for the Section 203 Indicators of Performance (Title II)**  
**SECONDARY LEVEL**

State: Illinois  
Program Year: 2010-2011

Line	Indicator Number	Performance Indicator	Number of Students in the Numerator	Number of Students in the Denominator	Percent of Students
1	1STP1	Enroll in postsecondary education	11123	29943	37.15
2	1STP2	Enroll in postsecondary in the same field or major	1244	11123	11.18
3	1STP3	Complete a State or industry-recognized certification or licensure	19205	38403	50.01
4	1STP4	Complete course(s) that award postsecondary credit.	5948	38403	15.49
5	1STP5	Enroll in remedial mathematics, writing, or reading course(s).	4410	11123	39.65

**Comment:**

**Student Accountability Forms for the Section 203 Indicators of Performance (Title II)**  
**POSTSECONDARY LEVEL**

State: Illinois  
Program Year: 2010-2011

Line	Indicator Number	Performance Indicator	Number of Students in the Numerator	Number of Students in the Denominator	Percent of Students
1	1PTP1	Employment in related field after graduation.	6431	12516	51.38
2	1PTP2	Complete a State or industry-recognized certificate or licensure	484	1070	45.23
3	1PTP3	On-time completion of a 2-year degree or certificate.	191	1070	17.85
4	1PTP4	On-time completion of a baccalaureate degree program.	238	4227	5.63

**Comment:**