## Driving Towards Program Improvement: Informing Illinois Teacher Preparation Accountability Structure

### PEP Steering Committee Meeting #3 August 31, 2016 9:00am-12:00pm

#### **Notes**

#### **Objectives**

Participants will

- Provide input on the proposed system of indicators and measures
- Review the draft program report and discuss its utility and possible improvements
- Inform and discuss dissemination of the pilot
- Understand next steps for PEP members

#### Attendees:

Session/ Topic	Objectives	Content
Set Up (30 minutes)		
Welcome (15 minutes)	Participants will be welcomed back and review the agenda for the day.	
Pilot Process (45 minutes)	Participants will provide input to sharpen the pilot process.	<ul> <li>Participants provided feedback and raised questions about the pilot, including:         <ul> <li>Ensuring data validity and reliability</li> <li>Suggesting collaboration with IACTE</li> <li>Developing research questions for the pilot process</li> </ul> </li> <li>Next steps for the pilot include:         <ul> <li>Applications have been released by ISBE</li> <li>Due date for all applications is Friday, October 7</li> <li>Webinars will be held in September by ISBE to provide introductory information</li> <li>Face to face meetings for pilot participants will begin in October</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Recommending Indicators and	Participants will	Participants provided overall feedback for the indicators and measures included in the draft

# Driving Towards Program Improvement: Informing Illinois Teacher Preparation Accountability Structure

Measures (70 minutes)	review and provide	accountability system, including:
, ,	high level input on	Continued conversation about the use of percentile rank versus cut scores
	the draft indicators	Importance of collecting a wide range of measures to account for shifting priorities
	and measures proposed in the new accountability system.	Participants also provided feedback for the four groups of indicators/measures, including Candidates/Completer Profile, Knowledge of Teaching, Teaching Skill and Addressing State Needs. Comments included:  O Question about whether GPA is a valuable accountability tool Concerns that some programs have very little leverage on candidate diversity, since recruitment is often run by a different office Suggestion to report performance at established performance levels, vs. percentile Concern about finding valid/reliable instrumentation for assessing teaching skill Need to align terminology and measures etc., e.g. how to rank high need schools Consider using a common rubric, e.g. Danielson framework  The PEP Committee indicated agreement that the indicators and measures, with revisions
Novt Stone and Wran Un	Participants will	<ul> <li>suggested today, can be moved forward for further exploration in the pilot stage.</li> <li>PEP intends to re-convene in December</li> </ul>
Next Steps and Wrap Up (Education First) (30 minutes)	Participants will reflect on progress	PEP Intends to re-convene in December
(Education First) (50 minutes)	made over the	
	course of the day,	
	provide feedback	
	•	
	on the steering	
	committee meeting and be informed of	
	next steps.	